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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Technical Report I is an existing conditions report for the Layfield Tower, a hospital expansion in Salisbury, Maryland. In this report descriptions are made of the building codes, materials and the structural systems. From this information it was then possible to perform a structural analysis of the building. The building was checked for dead and live loading, snow loading, wind loading, and seismic loading. Also, a spot check was performed on an interior beam. The results were compared with those of the original design and found to be within a reasonable tolerance. Many of the results had slight differences with the original design and this is likely because of minor differences in the assumptions made.


## INTRODUCTION

The Layfield Tower is part of an expansion and renovation project at Peninsula Regional Medical Center. It is located at 100 East Carroll Street in Salisbury, MD. It is a 200,000 square foot facility that will house a new emergency/trauma center, pediatric unit, intensive care unit, cardiac and thoracic and vascular unit and a neurosciences and stroke unit. The building also features a helipad on the lower roof with access to the third floor of the main tower. There is a connection to the existing hospital at the northeast corner. Construction on Layfield Tower was completed in 2008.

The structure is divided into two parts: the east side (Area A) with three stories and the west (Area B) with one story. An expansion joint connects the two sections of the building.

This report will give an overview of the existing structural system of the building as well as a general structural analysis.

## CODES AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES

## Codes

The structural design of the Layfield Tower conforms to the requirements of the Maryland Building Performance Standards (MBPS) which has adopted the 2003 International Building Code (IBC) and ASCE 7-02. Structural steel design used the AISC Manual of Steel Construction Load and Resistance Factor Design, Second Edition, 2003. Concrete design used American Concrete Institute, ACI 318-02.

For this report, the latest versions of these codes were used. IBC 2006 and ASCE 7-05 were used for design loads and structural analysis. ACI 318-08 was used for structural concrete design and AISC Manual of Steel Construction, Load and Resistance Factor Design, Fourth Edition 2007 for structural steel.

## Material Properties

| Steel Members |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| W-Shapes | ASTM A992, Grade 50 |
| Channels, Angles, Plates, Bars | ASTM A36 or A572, Grade 50 |
| HSS Sections | ASTM A500, Grade B |
| Structural Pipe | ASTM A53, Type E or S, Grade B |
| Braced Frame Members | ASTM A992 or A36 |
| Steel Reinforcement | Grade 60 |


| Concrete |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Footings | 3000 psi | 145 pcf |
| Slab-on-grade | 3500 psi | 145 pcf |
| Foundation walls | 4000 psi | 145 pcf |
| Suspended slabs | 4000 psi | 145 pcf |
| Slabs on Metal Deck | 3000 psi | 115 pcf |
| Building frame members | 4000 psi | 145 pcf |
| Building walls | 4000 psi | 145 pcf or 115 pcf |
| Precast panels | 5000 psi |  |

## STRUCTURAL SYSTEM

## Foundation

The foundation of Layfield Tower consists of cast-in-place reinforced concrete walls with spread footings along the perimeter of the building and spread footings underneath all interior columns. The wall footings vary from $6^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to $24^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ and are 24 inches thick. Typical column footings in Area A are $12^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{x}$ $12^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ and 35 inches thick. In Area B typical column footings are $8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime} \times 8^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ and 24 inches thick. On the south side of both Areas $A$ and $B$ the basement floor is either $2^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ or $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ below the basement floor on the north so the footings on the south side are all lower than those on the north side.

## Superstructure

The main structural system is made up of structural steel W-shape members. Most connections are shear connections. The typical beam size in Area A (Figure 1) is $\mathrm{W} 18 \times 35$ space at $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ on center and in Area B (Figure 2) it is $\mathrm{W} 18 \times 35$ also spaced at $10^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ on center. Girders are typically W21x50 in both areas. Columns in Area $A$ are various W 12 sizes. In Area $B$ the typical column size is $\mathrm{W} 12 \times 53$. The most typical bay is $30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ by $30^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$, but there are also column spacings of $28^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}, 27^{\prime}-8^{\prime \prime}$, and $26^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$.


Figure 1, Area A


Figure 2, Area B

The north canopy is has a lower canopy comprised of W10x33 and W12x60members and a cantilevered canopy with w10x33's. Its columns are either w10X33 or W12x106. The south canopy has W24x68 girders and W12x35 beams. The south canopy columns are HSS10x10x5/8.

## Floor System

Floor slabs are 3-1/4" lightweight concrete on $3^{\prime \prime}$ deep 20 gage, galvanized composite metal deck for a total thickness of $6-1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$. They are reinforced with $6 \times 6 \mathrm{~W} 2.1 \times \mathrm{W} 2.1$ welded wire fabric. All shear studs are $3 / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 53 / 16^{\prime \prime}$. The floor slab of the connector corridor is $4-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ normal weight concrete on $3^{\prime \prime}$ deck.

## Roof System

The roof structural system of Area A is similar to the other floors. The roof of Area B has a typical beam size of W16x26 spaced at $10^{\prime}-0$ on center. Girders are similar to the rest of the building.

## Lateral System

The lateral structural system is composed of braced frames, one in each direction. W12's are the typical members for the braced frames. All of the main frames are one bay wide, extending the full height of the building, and most are located along the perimeter walls of both Areas A and B. In Area A there is one near the elevator shafts located in the center of the building. Figures 3 and 4 show the locations of the braced frames by the orange highlighted lines. All penthouses as well as the heliport are braced along all sides.


Figure 3, Lateral Braces Area A


Figure 4, Lateral Braces Area B
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## STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

## Dead Loads

| Floor Area | Load (psf) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Partitions | 20 |
| Suspended Ceilings | 3 |
| Ductwork and Piping | 5 |
| Lights | 2 |
| Sprinklers | 2 |
| Fireproofing | 2 |
| Structural Steel Framing | 8 |
| 6 1/4" Floor Slab (LW) | 47 |
| 7 1/2" Floor Slab (NW) | 75 |
| Hanging Load in Mechanical Rooms | 65 |


| Roof Area | Load(psf) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Suspended Ceilings | 3 |
| Ductwork and Piping | 5 |
| Lights | 2 |
| Sprinklers | 2 |
| Fireproofing | 2 |
| Structural Steel Framing | 8 |
| Metal Roof Deck | 2 |
| Roofing and Insulation | 12 |
| Re-roofing Allowance | 5 |
| Joists and Bridging | 3 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ 1/4" Floor Slab (LW) | 47 |
| Hanging Load in Mechanical Rooms | 65 |

## Live Loads

| Floor Area | Load(psf) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Elevator Penthouse | 150 |
| Mechanical Rooms | 15 |
| Office Areas | 50 |
| Toilets | 60 |
| Corridors | 80 |
| Minimum for Design | 80 |


| Roof Area | Load(psf) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimum | 20 |
| Rain | 26 |
| Rain-on-Snow Surcharge | 5 |

## Snow Load

Snow load was calculated in accordance with section 7 of ASCE 7-05. The roofs were also checked unbalanced snow loads and drifting snow.

| 50-year Recurrence Ground Snow Load, $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | 20 psf |
| :--- | :--- |
| Exposure Factor, $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.9 |
| Thermal Factor, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | 1.0 |
| Importance Factor, $\mathbf{I}$ | 1.2 |
| Flat-Roof Snow Load, $\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | 24 psf |

## Wind Loads

Wind loads were designed in accordance with section 6 of ASCE 7-05. Areas $A$ and $B$ are separated by an expansion joint so they were treated as individual buildings in this analysis. The connecting corridor is also assumed to be part of Area A so the projected surface area in the north-south direction is larger than actual. Also, interference of the wind forces from the existing hospital structures is neglected. These assumptions will produce conservative results in the analysis. Method 2 was used for the analysis of Area A. Below is a list of the factors obtained based on the assumptions.

- Basic Wind Speed, V = 110 mph
- Wind Directionality Factor, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}=0.85$
- Building category = IV
- Importance Factor = 1.15
- Exposure Category $=\mathrm{C}$
- Topographic Factor, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{zt}}=1.0$
- External Pressure Coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}}=0.8$
- External Pressure Coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{I}}=-0.5$
- External Pressure Coefficient, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{s}}=-0.7$


Figure 5. East-West Wind Pressures


Figure 6, North-South Wind Pressures

Method 1 was used for Area B since its mean roof height is only $16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$. Below is a list of the factors used in this analysis.

- Basic Wind Speed, V=110 mph
- Importance Factor, I = 1.15
- Exposure Category = C
- Mean Roof Height $=16^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$
- Adjustment Factor, $\lambda=1.22$

| Horizontal Pressures (psf) |  |  |  | Vertical Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H |
| 19.2 | -10 | 12.7 | -5.9 | -23.1 | -13.1 | -16 | -10.1 |
| Adjusted Pressures (psf) |  |  |  | Adjusted Pressures (psf) |  |  |  |
| 23.424 | -12.2 | 15.494 | -7.198 | -28.182 | -15.982 | -19.52 | -12.322 |



Figure 7, ASCE-7 Fig. 6-2 MWFRS Method 1

## Seismic Loads

Seismic Loads were designed in accordance with sections 11 and 12 of ASCE 7-05. The geotechnical information was unavailable for this report so the site class was assumed to be site class D because that is what was used in the original design of the building. The short period spectral response acceleration, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s}}$, and the one-second period acceleration, $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, were found using the USGS Seismic Design Values for Buildings found at http://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/hazmaps/design. By doing so, these values are lower than those used by the structural engineer and thus conservative in comparison.

- $\quad S s=0.124$
- $\mathrm{S} 1=0.045$
- $\quad$ SDS $=0.132$
- $\quad$ SD1 $=0.072$
- Seismic Design Category C
- Response Modification Factor, $\mathrm{R}=3$
- Importance Factor, I = 1.5

|  | Area A | Area B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Base Shear | 630 kips | 592 kips |
| Overturning Moment | $79061 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{kips}$ | 24234 ft -kips |

## CONCLUSION

The analysis performed was found to be comparable to the original analysis. Some assumptions may have caused minor differences in some of the results. Building Category IV was used in the analysis while the original design was a category III. This seemed to have no effect on the outcome of the calculations. Wind and seismic loads were found to be within a five percent tolerance of the results found by the structural engineer. A spot check was performed on the typical interior beam which was sized just as in the original design.
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## APPENDIX

Snow Load
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{g}}=20 \mathrm{psf}$ (Figure 7-1)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}=0.9$ (Table 7-2)
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.0$ (Table 7-3)
I = 1.2 (Table 7-4)
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{g}} \leq 20 \mathrm{psf}$, therefore $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{l} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{g}}$
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{l} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{g}}=1.2(20)=24 \mathrm{psf}$

[^0]
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Area A, North-South Wind Loads

| Location | Height(ft) | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | External Pressure |  |  | Internal Pressure |  |  | Combined Pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | G | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $q G_{f} C_{p}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{GC}_{\text {pi }}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{pi}}$ | ( $+\mathrm{Gc}_{\text {pi }}$ ) | (-Gc $\mathrm{p}_{\text {pi }}$ ) |
| Windward | 70 | 1.17 | 35.43 | 0.844 | 0.8 | 23.92 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 17.54 | 30.30 |
|  | 54 | 1.106 | 33.49 | 0.844 | 0.8 | 22.61 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 16.23 | 28.99 |
|  | 35 | 1.01 | 30.58 | 0.844 | 0.8 | 20.65 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 14.27 | 27.03 |
|  | 16 | 0.86 | 26.04 | 0.844 | 0.8 | 17.58 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 11.21 | 23.96 |
| Leeward | ALL | 1.17 | 35.43 | 0.844 | -0.5 | -14.95 | 35.43 | -0.18 | -6.377 | -21.33 | -8.57 |
| Side Wall | ALL | 1.17 | 35.43 | 0.844 | -0.7 | -20.93 | 35.43 | -0.18 | -6.377 | -27.31 | -14.55 |

## Area A, East-West Wind Loads

Gust effect factor assumed to be 0.85 , because structure is rigid.

| Location | Height(ft) | $K_{z}, K_{h}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | External Pressure |  |  | Internal Pressure |  |  | Combined Pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | qG $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{pi}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{GC}_{\mathrm{pi}}$ | $\left(+\mathrm{Gc}_{\mathrm{pi}}\right)$ | (-Gc $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) |
| Windward | 70 | 1.17 | 35.43 | 0.850 | 0.8 | 24.09 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 17.71 | 30.47 |
|  | 54 | 1.106 | 33.49 | 0.850 | 0.8 | 22.77 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 16.40 | 29.15 |
|  | 35 | 1.01 | 30.58 | 0.850 | 0.8 | 20.80 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 14.42 | 27.17 |
|  | 16 | 0.86 | 26.04 | 0.850 | 0.8 | 17.71 | 35.43 | 0.18 | 6.377 | 11.33 | 24.08 |
| Leeward | ALL | 1.17 | 35.43 | 0.850 | -0.5 | -15.06 | 35.43 | -0.18 | -6.377 | -21.43 | -8.68 |
| Side Wall | ALL | 1.17 | 35.43 | 0.850 | -0.7 | -21.08 | 35.43 | -0.18 | -6.377 | -27.46 | -14.70 |



| Contemincus 48 Stupes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 ASCE 7 Stendard$\mathrm{Zip} \text { Code }+21801$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Spectral Rasponse Acosleratione 8 s and \$1 |  |  |
| Ss and 81 = Mapped Spoctral Asceleration Wabes |  |  |
| Ste Cbese $0-F \mathrm{Fa}=1.0 \mathrm{Fv}=1.0$ |  |  |
| Osts are bosed on a oibs dey pid sposing |  |  |
| Period | Centrio | id Sa |
| (sec) | (c) |  |
| 02 | 8120 | (S5, Sle Clase 0) |
| 10 | 0.044 | (31, Ste Class B) |
| Pariod | Mrodmum Sa |  |
| (anc) | (a) |  |
| 0.2 | 0.124 | [S3, Sin Clasa B] |
| 1.0 | 0.045 | \{St, Ste Clans 8) |
| Period | Minimam 38 |  |
| (enc) | (c) |  |
| 0.2 | 0.118 | (3s, Stu Cast Bj |
| 1.0 | 0.044 | (S1, Ste Class 日) |


| Corberminous 48 stifes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2005 ASCE 7 Stincturd |  |
| Zp Code $=21001$ |  |
| Spectos flesponst Acisaliprobons 5Me and SM1 |  |
| Sils + FaSs and SM1 = Fv31 |  |
| Sto Clas D-5a $-16 \mathrm{Fy}=2.4$ |  |
| Period | 8 a |
| (exc) |  |
| 0.20 | 1588 (SMs, Ste Clase D) |
|  | 0.107 (3M1, Sima Clsme D) |

Conbarminous 49 Stahas
2005 ASCE 7 Sienclard
Zp Codu - 21501

Sth Class D - Fa $=1.8, F e=24$


Area A Seismic Calculations

| Ss | 0.124 |
| :--- | ---: |
| S1 | 0.045 |
| Fa | 1.6 |
| Fv | 2.4 |
| Sms | 0.148 |
| Sm1 | 0.108 |
| SDs | 0.132 |
| SD1 | 0.072 |
| SDC | $C$ |
| $T$ | 1.348 |
| Ta | 0.484 |
| Cu | 1.7 |
| CuTa | 0.8228 |
| Cs | 0.0437 |


| Floor | Height <br> (ft.) | Area <br> (sf) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Load } \\ & \text { (ksf) } \end{aligned}$ | Weight (kips) | k | $w_{x} h_{x}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | $C_{v x}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Moment <br> ft-kips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 23319 | 0.154 | 3591 | 1.424 |  |  |  | 35291 |
| 2 | 16 | 23319 | 0.154 | 3591 | 1.424 | 186165 | 0.0556 | 35.0 | 25215 |
| 3 | 35 | 23319 | 0.154 | 3591 | 1.424 | 567523 | 0.1695 | 106.7 | 13914 |
| 5 | 54 | 23319 | 0.154 | 3591 | 1.424 | 1052348 | 0.3143 | 197.9 | 4642 |
| Roof | 70 | 23319 | 0.156 | 3638 | 1.424 | 1542603 | 0.4607 | 290.1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total $=$ | 14411 |  | 3348639 |  | 629.8 | 79061 |

[^1]Area B Seismic Calculations

| Ss | 0.124 |
| :--- | ---: |
| S1 | 0.045 |
| Fa | 1.6 |
| Fv | 2.4 |
| Sms | 0.148 |
| Sm1 | 0.108 |
| SDs | 0.132 |
| SD1 | 0.072 |
| SDC | C |
| T | 0.414 |
| Ta | 0.16 |
| Cu | 1.7 |
| CuTa | 0.272 |
| Cs | 0.066 |


| Floor |  | Area <br> (sf) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Load } \\ & \text { (ksf) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Weight (kips) | k | $w_{x} h_{x}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vx}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | Moment <br> ft-kips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 24093 | 0.154 | 3710 | 2 |  |  |  | 16853 |
| Roof | 16 | 24093 | 0.156 | 3759 | 2 | 962178 | 0.3437 | 203 | 7382 |
| Helipad | 35 |  |  | 1500 | 2 | 1837500 | 0.6563 | 389 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total $=$ | 8969 |  | 2799678 |  | 592 | 24234 |

Base Shear
592 kips



[^0]:    Rain Load
    $R=5.2\left(d_{s}+d_{h}\right)$
    $d_{s}=4.0 \mathrm{in}$.
    $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}=1.0 \mathrm{in}$.
    $R=5.2(4.0+1.0)=26.0 \mathrm{psf}$

[^1]:    Base Shear
    630 kips

