# CAMBRIA SUITES HOTEL Pittsburgh, PA

TECHNICAL REPORT 1 Structural Concepts & Existing Conditions



Adam Kaczmarek | Structural Professor Linda Hanagan October 4, 2010

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents2
Executive Summary
Introduction: Cambria Suites Hotel4
Structural System Summary5
Foundation System5
Superstructure System7
Lateral System
Code & Design Requirements9
Materials10
Design Load Summary11
Lateral Loads
Wind Analysis12
Seismic Analysis17
Spot Checks19
Conclusion21
Appendix A: Building Layout22
Appendix B: Snow Analysis25
Appendix C: Wind Analysis28
Appendix D: Seismic Analysis
Appendix E: Member Spot Checks41
Appendix F: Construction Photos

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### **Executive Summary**

The following technical report describes the structural concepts, as well as, the existing structural conditions of the Cambria Suites Hotel to better understand the structural design of the building. Along with this summary, an analysis of the structural system is provided for gravity and lateral loading through the use of detailed descriptions and diagrams. The analysis includes dead, live, snow, wind, and seismic loading. An identification of the structural materials and design codes used for the actual design will also be within the report. Building plans and detailed computations performed will be provided in an Appendix at the end of the report.

Cambria Suites is a 7-story, all-suite hotel located in Pittsburgh, PA. This luxury hotel is located directly adjacent to the new CONSOL Energy Center, home of the Pittsburgh Penguins, and will accommodate 142 guests and also offer a state-of-the-art fitness center and pool. The hotel is approximately 120,000 square feet and reaches a building height of 86'-10" above the Plaza level (102'-2" to the High Roof level). The typical floor system is 10" precast hollow concrete plank floors while the ground floor is a reinforced concrete slab on grade. The typical floor height is 10'-0" and the lobby entrance extends to 22'-0". The foundation is designed as a combination of drilled cast-in-place caissons which are combined with grade beams which transfer all loads to bedrock. The gravity system consists of an integrated reinforced concrete masonry wall and steel beam/column structure. The lateral resisting system is comprised of reinforced concrete masonry shear walls.

To fully understand the lateral system of the structure, an analysis of the wind and seismic loads was done according to ASCE 7-05. The ASCE 7-05 was also the code referenced by the structural engineers at Atlantic Engineering Services (AES), in the design of Cambria Suites. The wind in the North/South direction was found to control of the East/West wind direction due to the longer façade perpendicular to the North/South direction. Seismic loads were examined using the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure and it was found that the base shear due to seismic loads was slightly larger than the wind load base shear. Thus, seismic loads will control when determining lateral force on the structure.

Spot checks were performed for various floor framing elements within gravity load areas of the structure. Spot checks were performed on an interior gravity column, beam, and P.C. plank floor to validate the member sizes chosen by AES professionals. All members were found to be adequately designed. Any overdesigns were a result of only considering gravity loads and not taking into account the lateral forces that are in contact with the building.

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### Introduction: Cambria Suites Hotel

Cambria Suites Hotel is the newest, upscale, contemporary all-suite hotel located at 1320 Center Avenue in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. This luxury hotel is built adjacent to the new CONSOL Energy Center, home to the Pittsburgh Penguins hockey team, and numerous concerts and special events. The 142-suite hotel contains a total of 7 levels above grade and was built on a quite challenging site. The hotel will have a variety of room suites, such as the double/queen suite, king suite, one bedroom suite, deluxe tower king suite, and hospitality suite.

The Plaza Floor level will mainly consist of a few bistro-style restaurants which open to an outdoor terrace which will overlook the city of Pittsburgh and the CONSOL Energy Center. At the Hotel Floor level, guests will be greeted by an airy two-story lobby where they can take part in a state-of-the-art fitness center or the relaxing indoor pool and spa. There are also two meeting rooms and a board room for guest use, as well as, a large kitchen/bar off of the lobby entrance. At the North end of the Second Floor level, a steel Porte Cochere will be cantilevered to cover part of the main entrance. In addition, the property will feature an 1800 square foot presidential suite with one of a kind skyline view of downtown Pittsburgh and a 7<sup>th</sup> floor concierge lounge that will offer a wet bar and lounge space for guests to use and enjoy.

The hotel is fully landscaped and will also have an exclusive 143 space onsite parking garage with access to the CONSOL Energy Center for event patrons staying at the property. The Hotel Floor level will have a precast concrete pedestrian bridge leading to the top level of the parking garage. The bridge is supported by the hotel and the garage. The South end of the bridge will be supported by the garage on slide-bearings to allow for differential lateral movement between the two structures. The exterior of Cambria Suites Hotel is mainly brick and cast-stone veneer, architectural decisions made to resemble the bordering CONSOL Energy Center. A lighter color brick is used from the 2<sup>nd</sup>-Roof Floor levels, with the addition of a cast-stone band at Floor levels 2 and 7. The darker color brick is used from the 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor level and below, as well as vertical strips to separate window pairings and to accent building corners.

The following report will take a closer look into the structural concepts and existing conditions Cambria Suites' structural system. To get a better understanding of how the structural components work, a detailed description of the foundation, floor system, gravity system, and lateral system is provided.

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### Structural System

### Foundation

The geotechnical engineering study for the Cambria Suites Hotel was completed by GeoMechanics Inc. on December 29, 2008. In the study, the site of Cambria Suites Hotel is underlain by sedimentary rocks of the Conemaugh group of rocks of Pennsylvania age. The Conemaugh group is predominantly comprised of clay stones and sandstones interbedded with thin limestone units and thin coal beds. The soil zone conditions consisted of materials of three distinct geologic origins: man-made fill, alluvial deposits, and residual soils. The fill in the hotel test borings was placed in conjunction with the recent demolition and regarding of St. Francis Hospital in order to build Cambria Suites and CONSOL Energy Center. Ground water exists locally as a series of perched water tables located throughout the sol zone and new the upper bedrock surface. Excavations in soils and bedrock can be expected to encounter perched water. The volume of inflow into excavations should be relatively minor, should diminish with time and should be able to be removed by standard pump collection/pumping techniques. The report also states that the most economical deep foundation solution for Cambria Suites included a system of drilled-in, cast-in-place concrete caissons with grade beams spanning between adjacent caissons to support the anticipated column and wall loads of the structure. With varying types of bedrock on site, the allowable end bearing pressure ranges from 8, 16, and 30 KSF. As for the floor slab, GeoMechanics Inc. recommended to place a ground floor slab on a minimum six-inch thick granular base and to provide expansion joints between the ground floor slab and any foundation walls and/or columns. This is done to permit independent movement of the two support systems.

As a result of GeoMechanic's geotechnical study, the foundation of Cambria Suites Hotel incorporates a drilled cast-in-place concrete caissons and grade beams designed to support the load bearing walls and columns. The ground floor is comprised of a 4" concrete slab on grade, as well as, 10" precast concrete plank in the Southern portion of the building. The 4" concrete slab on grade is reinforced with 6x6-W1.4 welded wire fabric and has 4000 PSI normal weight concrete. The slab increases to 8" in thickness with #5 @ 16" O.C. in the South-West corner of the building, and increases to 24" with #5 @ 12" O.C. within the core shear walls where the elevator shaft is located. For the majority of the slab on grade, the slab depth is 14'-0" below finish grade.

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

The drilled cast-in-place caissons extend anywhere from 20-30 feet deep below grade and are socketed at least 3' into sound bedrock. Caisson end bearing capacity is 30 KSF (15 ton/SF) on Birmingham Sandstone bedrock. The caissons are designed with a compressive strength of 4000 PSI, range from 30-42 inches in diameter, and are spaced approximately between 15' and 30' apart (refer to Appendix A). Typical caissons terminate at the Plaza level and are tied into a grade beam with #3 ties @ 12" O.C. (horizontal reinforcement) and 4-#6 dowels (vertical reinforcement) embedded at least two feet into the drilled caisson. Where steel columns are located, a pier is poured integrally with the grade beam and reinforced with 8-#8 vertical bars and #3 @ 8" O.C. horizontal ties. (As shown in Figures 1.1 & 1.2)



The grade beams have a compressive strength of 3000 PSI and range from 30-48 inches in width and 36-48 inches in depth. Each grade beam is reinforced with top and bottom bars which vary according to the size of the beam. Grade beams span between drilled cast-in-place caissons which transfer the loads from bearing walls, shear walls, and columns into the caissons. From the caissons, the loads are then transferred to bedrock. (As shown in Figures 1.1 & 1.3)



The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### Superstructure System

The typical floor system of Cambria Suites Hotel consists of 10" precast hollow-core concrete plank with 1" leveling topping. The precast plank allowed for quicker erection, longer spans, open interior spaces, and serves as an immediate work deck for other trades. Concrete compressive strength for precast plank floors is 5000 PSI and uses normal weight

concrete. The typical spans of the plank floors range from 30'-0" to 40'-0". The floor system is supported by exterior load bearing concrete masonry walls, as well as, interior steel beams and columns.

The Plaza level floor system is a combination of 10" precast concrete plank, 8" precast concrete plank and 4" slab on grade. Since there is no basement in the North-East section of the hotel due to the fitness center and pool, the site was excavated properly in order to place the 4" slab on grade and 8" precast concrete plank. The 4" slab on grade will be for the fitness center where as the 8" concrete plank will surround the pool area. (As shown in Figure 2.1)



Figure 2.1

Since the masonry bearing walls are typically located on the perimeter of the hotel, steel beams and columns were

needed thru the center of the building to support the precast concrete plank floors. Steel beam sizes range from W16x26 to W24x94, and steel column sizes range from W8x58 to W18x175. Each column connects into concrete piers within the grade beams via base plates which vary in size. Base plates use either a 4-bolt or 8-bolt connection, typically using 1" A325 anchor bolts which extend 12" or 18" respectively into the concrete pier.



The steel beams vary in length from 13'-0" to 19'-0" and typically span in the East-West direction. Exterior bearing masonry walls and the steel beams will take a reaction load from the precast concrete plank flooring, as well as other loads from levels above, which will then transfer thru steel columns and exterior bearing walls and thus transferring all loads to the foundation system. (As shown in Figure 2.2)

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

The roof structural system at both the Second level and main Roof level uses untopped 10" precast concrete plank. Reinforced concrete masonry extends passed the Roof level to support a light gauge cornice which wraps the entire building. A high roof is constructed for hotel identification purposes and uses 10"-16 GA light gauge roof joists @ 16" O.C., supported by 8"-20 GA light gauge wall framing below. W8x21 hoist beams support the top of the elevator shaft which rest on  $\frac{1}{2}$ "x7"x7" base plates. There are a total of eight drains located on the roof for the drainage system. (As shown in Appendix A)

### Lateral System

The lateral system for the Cambria Suites hotel consists of reinforced concrete masonry shear walls. The exterior shear walls, as well as the core interior shear walls, are constructed of 8" concrete masonry, with the exception of a few 12" concrete masonry walls on the lower floor levels. All shear walls are solid concrete masonry walls which extend the entire height of the structure without openings for windows or doors. The core shear walls are located around the staircases and elevator shafts. The exterior shear walls are scattered around the perimeter of the building. (As shown in Figure 3.1) Shear walls supporting the Plaza level to the Third Floor level have a compressive strength of 2000 PSI. All other shear walls support a compressive strength of 1500 PSI. In addition, all concrete masonry shall be grouted with a minimum compressive strength of 3000 PSI. Typical reinforcement for all shear walls is comprised with #5 bars at either 8" O.C. or 24" O.C.

Wind and seismic loads, as well as gravity loads, are transferred to the foundation by first traveling thru the rigid diaphragm; the precast concrete plank floor system. Loads are then transferred to the concrete masonry shear walls. From there, loads are transferred down to the preceding floor system and/or transferred the entire way to the grade beam foundation, finally travelling thru the concrete caissons which are embedded in bedrock.



The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### **Codes and Requirements**

- International Building Code (IBC), 2006 (As amended by the City of Pittsburgh)
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-05), American Society of Civil Engineers
- Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-05), American Concrete Institute
- Specifications for Structural Concrete (ACI 301-05), American Concrete Institute
- PCI Design Handbook Precast/Prestressed Concrete Institute
- The Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI 530), American Concrete Institute
- Specifications for Masonry Structures (ACI 530.1), American Concrete Institute
- Specifications for Structural Steel Buildings Allowable Stress Design and Plastic Design (AISC), American Institute of Steel Construction

Professor Linda Hanagan Technical Report 1	The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010
Materials	
Reinforced Concrete	
Caissons & Piers	f' <sub>c</sub> = 4000 PSI
Grade Beam Foundations	f'c = 3000 PSI
Slabs on Grade	f' <sub>c</sub> = 4000 PSI
Walls	f' <sub>c</sub> = 4000 PSI
Exterior Bar or Wire Reinforcement Slabs	f' <sub>c</sub> = 5000 PSI
Reinforcement Steel	
Deformed Bars	ASTM A615, Grade 60
Welded Wire Fabric	ASTM A185
Structural Steel	
Structural W Shapes	ASTM A992
Channels	ASTM A572, Grade 50
Steel Tubes (HSS Shapes)	ASTM A500, Grade B
Steel Pipe (Round HSSS)	ASTM A500, Grade B
Angles & Plates	ASTM A36
Structural Shapes & Rods	ASTM A123
Bolts, Fasteners, & Hardware	ASTM A153
Masonry	
8" & 12" CMU	f <sup>*</sup> <sub>m</sub> = 2000 PSI
Grout	f <sup>°</sup> c = 3000 PSI

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Design Load Summary

Live Loads (LL)			
Area	AES Design Load (PSF)	ASCE 7-05 Load (PSF)	Design Load (PSF)
Public Areas	100	100	100
Lobbies	100	100	100
First Floor Corridors	100	100	100
Corridors above First Floor	40	40	40
Private Hotel Rooms	40	40	40
Partitions	15	≥15	15
Mechanical	150	150	150
Stairs	100	100	100
Roof	20	20	20
Dead Loads (DL)			
Material	AES Design Load (PSF)	ASCE 7-05 Load (PSF)	Design Load (PSF)
10" Concrete Plank	Unknown		91
8" Masonry Wall (Fully Grouted)	Unknown		91
8" Masonry Wall (Partially Grouted			60
w/ Reinf. @ 24" O.C.)	Unknown		09
8" Masonry Wall (Partially Grouted			60
w/ Reinf. @ 48" O.C.)	Unknown	Section 3.1	00
Steel	Unknown		varies
Partitions	Unknown		15
MEP	Unknown		10
Finishes & Miscellaneous	Unknown		5
Roof	Unknown		20
*Snow Load (SL)			
Area	AES Design Load (PSF)	ASCE 7-05 Load (PSF)	Design Load (PSF)
Flat Roof	21	21	21
*Refer to Appendix B fo	or Snow Analysis		

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Lateral Loads

### Wind Analysis

In the following wind analysis, wind loads were determined according to ASCE 7-05, Chapter 6. This is the same code that Atlantic Engineering Services referenced when calculation the wind loads. Since the overall building height of Cambria Suites hotel reaches 86'-10" (High Roof extends to 102'-2"), it is required to determine the wind loads through the use of Section 6.5: Method 2 – Analytical Procedure because it exceeds the 60'-0" maximum building height stated in Section 6.4: Method 1 – Simplified Procedure. The wind variables used during this analysis to calculate the design wind pressures are located in Table 1a. For detailed equations and base calculations used for this procedure, refer to Appendix C. The North/South and East/West wind directions are labeled on the typical floor plan in Figure 4.1.



The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

Win	ASCE Reference			
Basic Wind Speed	V	90 mph	Fig. 6-1	
Directional Factor	K <sub>d</sub>	0.85	Table 6-4	
Importance Factor	I	1.0	Table 6-1	
Occupancy Category		II	Table 1-1	
Exposure Category		В	Sec. 6.5.6.3	
Enclosure Classification		Enclosed	Sec. 6.5.9	
Building Natural Frequency	n <sub>1</sub>	1.47 (Rigid)	Eq. C6-19	
Topographic Factor	K <sub>zt</sub>	1.0	Sec. 6.5.7.1	
Velocity Pressure Exposure Coefficient evaluated at Height Z	Kz	varies	Table 6-3	
Velocity Pressure at Height Z	q <sub>z</sub>	varies	Eq. 6-15	
Velocity Pressure at Mean Roof Height	<b>q</b> <sub>h</sub>	17.1	Eq. 6-15	
Gust Effect Factor	G	0.85	Sec. 6.5.8.1	
Product of Internal Pressure Coefficient and	cc	0.18		
Gust Effect Factor	GC <sub>pi</sub>	-0.18	Fig. 0-5	
External Pressure Coefficient (Windward)	C <sub>p</sub>	0.80 (All Values)		
External Pressure Coefficient (Leeward)	C <sub>p</sub>	-0.5 (N/S Direction, L/B = 0.45) -0.2 (E/W Direction, L/B = 2.22)	Fig. 6-6	

## Table 1a: Wind Variables

### \*Equation C6 - 19:

 $f_{n1} = (150/H)$  where H = building height (ft.)

 $f_{n1} = (150/102.167) = 1.47 \ge 1 \text{ Hz}$   $\therefore$  The building is considered rigid

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

The wind pressures in the North/South direction were determined and are located in the following table, (Table 1b). This wind direction is of more concern since the wind contacts a building length of 219'-8", compared to 98'-11" in the East/West direction. The direction of wind is adjacent to a road that services the front of hotel, and a parking garage that does not extend passed the Hotel level of Cambria Suites. Neither obstruction from the front or back of the hotel will cause a significant wind load blockage to the structure. An elevation view of the hotel is provided in Figure 4.2 which shows the wind loads of the windward and leeward pressures at each level, as well as the base shear. A basic loading diagram is also provided in Figure 4.4 to show wind loads and story shears.

	Wind Loads (North/South Direction)												
	B = 219'-8" L = 98'-11"												
Level	Height Above Ground, z (ft)	Story Height (ft.)	Kz	q <sub>z</sub>	Wind Press	ure (PSF)	Total Pressure (PSF)	Force of Windward Pressure Only (k)	Force of Total Pressure (k)	Windward Shear Story (k)	Total Story Shear (k)	Windward Moment (ftk)	Total Moment (ft k)
	(10.)				Windward	Leeward							
High Roof	102.167	15.333	1.00	17.6	15.0	-10.35	25.4	6.90	11.68	6.90	11.68	652.05	1103.77
Roof	86.833	10	0.95	16.7	14.4	-10.35	24.8	31.63	54.48	38.53	66.16	2588.38	4458.26
7	76.833	10	0.92	16.2	14.1	-10.35	24.5	30.97	53.82	69.50	119.98	2224.67	3866.05
6	66.833	10	0.88	15.5	13.6	-10.35	24.0	29.88	52.72	99.38	172.7	1847.57	3259.84
5	56.833	10	0.84	14.8	13.1	-10.35	23.5	28.78	51.62	128.16	224.32	1491.75	2675.62
4	46.833	10	0.79	13.9	12.5	-10.35	22.9	27.46	50.30	155.62	274.62	1148.73	2104.20
3	36.833	10	0.74	13.0	11.9	-10.35	22.3	26.14	48.99	181.76	323.61	832.11	1559.50
2	26.833	10	0.63	11.1	10.6	-10.35	21.0	23.28	46.13	205.04	369.74	508.27	1007.16
1	14.833	12	0.56	9.87	9.79	-10.35	20.1	25.81	52.98	230.85	422.72	227.98	467.97
В	0	14.833	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	230.85	422.72	0	0

### Table 1b: North/South Wind Loads

Σ Windward Story Shear =	230.85	kips
Σ Total Story Shear =	422.72	kips
Σ Windward Moment =	11521.52	ft-k
Σ Total Moment =	20502.36	ft-k

15,0 PSF	102,167		
ILI, ILI PSF	84.833		>
14,1 PSF	76.833		->
13.4 PSF	_ 66-833'		>
13,1 BF	56.8 <u>3</u> 3'		->
12,5 BF	46.833		, 25 25
11.9 PE	- 36.833'		> Pr
	26.833'		>
10,6 131	14,833		>
9,79 BF			_
*	*	BASE = 422.72 K	*

<u>Figure 4.2</u> North/South Wind Pressures

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

The wind pressures in the East/West direction were determined and are located in the following table, (Table 1c). Since there are buildings adjacent to Cambria Suites on both the East and West side, wind blockage can have an effect on the full wind loading for the structure. However, wind loading in this direction must be examined as if these surrounding buildings were not present. An elevation view of the hotel is provided in Figure 4.3 which shows the wind loads of the windward and leeward pressures at each level, as well as the base shear. A basic loading diagram is also provided in Figure 4.5 to show wind loads and story shears.

	Wind Loads (East/West Direction)												
	B = 98'-11" L = 219'-8"												
Level	Height Above Ground, z	Story Height (ft.)	Kz	qz	Wind Press	ure (PSF)	Total Pressure (PSE)	Force of Windward Pressure Only (k)	Force of Total Pressure (k)	Windward Shear Story	Total Story	Windward Moment (ft -k)	Total Moment (ft
	(ft.)				Windward	Leeward	(151)		riessure (k)	(K)	Shear (K)	(it. K)	K)
High Roof	102.167	15.333	1.00	17.6	15.0	-5.98	21.0	6.90	9.660	6.90	9.660	652.05	912.87
Roof	86.833	10	0.95	16.7	14.4	-5.98	20.4	14.24	20.18	21.14	29.84	1165.30	1651.39
7	76.833	10	0.92	16.2	14.1	-5.98	20.1	13.95	19.88	35.09	49.72	1002.07	1428.04
6	66.833	10	0.88	15.5	13.6	-5.98	19.6	13.45	19.39	48.54	69.11	831.65	1198.94
5	56.833	10	0.84	14.8	13.1	-5.98	19.1	12.96	18.89	61.50	88.0	671.76	979.13
4	46.833	10	0.79	13.9	12.5	-5.98	18.5	12.36	18.30	73.86	106.3	517.06	765.54
3	36.833	10	0.74	13.0	11.9	-5.98	17.9	11.77	17.71	85.57	124.01	374.67	563.76
2	26.833	10	0.63	11.1	10.6	-5.98	16.6	10.49	16.42	96.06	140.43	229.03	358.50
1	14.833	12	0.56	9.87	9.79	-5.98	15.8	11.62	18.75	107.68	159.18	102.64	165.62
В	0	14.833	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107.68	159.18	0	0

Σ Windward Story Shear =	107.68	kips
Σ Total Story Shear =	159.18	kips
Σ Windward Moment =	5546.23	ft-k
Σ Total Moment =	8023.79	ft-k



Figure 4.3 East/West Wind Pressures

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### Wind Load Diagrams



Note: Seismic Loads are the same in both North/South and East/West direction because the structural type is the same in both directions (Table 12.8-2)

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

### Seismic Analysis

In the following seismic analysis, seismic loads were determined according to ASCE 7-05, Chapters 11 and 12. As identified in Section 1613 of the International Building Code (IBC), Cambria Suites Hotel is to be designed and constructed to resist the effects of earthquake motions. According to IBC 2006 criteria, Site Class for Seismic Design of "C" should be used for existing conditions. Other variables used in this analysis that are needed to calculate base shear and overturning moments, according to ASCE 7-05, are located in Table 2a.

Seismic Design	Variable	S	ASCE References		
Site Class	С				
Occupancy Category		1	1	Table 1-1	
Importance Factor		1	.0	Table 11.5-1	
Structural System		Ordinary F Masonry S	Reinforced hear Walls	Table 12.2-1	
Spectral Response Acceleration, short	S <sub>s</sub>	0.1	125	Fig. 22-1 thru 22-14	
Spectral Response Acceleration, 1 s	S <sub>1</sub>	0.0	)49	Fig. 22-1 thru 22-15	
Site Coefficient	Fa	1	.2	Table 11.4-1	
Site Coefficient	F <sub>v</sub>	1	.7	Table 11.4-2	
MCE Spectral Response Acceleration, short	S <sub>ms</sub>	0.	15	Eq. 11.4-1	
MCE Spectral Response Acceeration, 1 s	S <sub>m1</sub>	0.0	833	Eq. 11.4-2	
Design Spectral Acceleration, short	S <sub>ds</sub>	0.1	100	Eq. 11.4-3	
Design Spectral Acceleration, 1 s	S <sub>d1</sub>	0.0	)55	Eq. 11.4-4	
Seismic Design Category	S <sub>dc</sub>	ŀ	4	Table 11.6-2	
Response Modification Coefficient	R	2	.0	Table 12.2-1	
Building Height (above grade)(ft)	h <sub>n</sub>	102	.167		
		North/South	East/West		
Approximate Period Parameter	Ct	0.02	0.02	Table 12.8-2	
Approximate Period Parameter	х	0.75	0.75	Table 12.8-2	
Calculated Period Upper Limit Coefficient	C <sub>u</sub>	1.7	1.7	Table 12.8-1	
Approximate Fundamental Period	Ta	0.643	0.643	Eq. 12.8-7	
Fundamental Period	Т	1.09	1.09	Sec. 12.8.2	
Long Period Transition Period	Τ <sub>L</sub>	12	12	Fig. 22-15	
Seismic Respose Coefficient	Cs	0.025	0.025	Eq. 12.8-2	
Structural Period Exponent	k	1.295	1.295	Sec. 12.8.3	

### Table 2a

Note: Seismic Loads are the same in both North/South and East/West direction because the structural type is the same in both directions (Table 12.8-2) well as the total building weight. Using the story weight values, the base shear and overturning

sheet calculations used to determine the building

weight, as well as, the base shear and overturning

Professor Linda Hanagan Technical Report 1

(As shown in Figure 5.1)

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010



Figure 5.1 Seismic Loading Diagram

Base Shear and Overturning Moment Distribution										
Story	لم (f+)	Story Weight	h <sup>k</sup>	6	Lateral Force	Story Shear	NA (f+ L)			
	n <sub>x</sub> (11)	(k)	w <sub>x</sub> n <sub>x</sub>	$C_{vx}$	F <sub>x</sub> (k)	V <sub>x</sub> (k)	w <sub>x</sub> (тt-к)			
High Roof	102.167	7.92	3168	0.001	0.52	0.52	48.78			
Roof	86.833	1878.42	608681	0.195	99.18	99.70	8116.49			
7	76.833	2333.98	645478	0.207	105.18	204.88	7555.37			
6	66.833	2333.98	538841	0.173	87.80	292.68	5429.14			
5	56.833	2410.92	451222	0.145	73.53	366.21	3811.07			
4	46.833	2410.92	351194	0.113	57.23	423.44	2393.96			
3	36.833	2410.92	257312	0.083	41.93	464.85	1334.71			
2	26.833	2383.72	168804	0.054	27.51	492.87	573.04			
1	14.833	2829.80	93003	0.030	15.15	508.03	112.39			
В	0	1320.07	0	0	0	508.03	0			
			3117703							

### Table 2b

Total Building Weight =	20321	k
Base Shear =	508.03	k
Total Moment =	29374.97	ft-k

Note: Since the basement level is mainly above grade ( $\approx$ 75%), the building weight of this level is included in the seismic analysis.

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Spot Checks

Spot checks were performed on a few different floor framing elements to confirm AES's structural design. The spot checks performed are show in the Figures 5.1. Make note that all spot checks are done considering applied gravity loads only. Therefore, slight variations in calculations may occur due to the fact that lateral loads are present and will affect the overall design of the structural members. For detailed calculations of the spot checks, please refer to Appendix E.



<u>Figure 5.1</u> Spot Check Locations for Interior Column, Beam, and P.C. Hollow Core Plank

A spot check of an interior steel column (C-3) on the Fifth Level was performed using the floor weights calculated from the seismic analysis, as well as, the live loads present at each floor. Tributary area was also considered for the interior column and a live load reduction was taken into account on all reducible live loads (Refer to Table 3a). A detailed summary of the accumulated floor load on the column at each level is provided in Appendix E. Since the column being referenced has an un-braced length of 30' in the x-axis and a braced

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

length of 10' in the y-axis, the effective length,  $KL_{eff}$ , was necessary to calculate. Axial forces due to gravity loads only were applied to each column and no moments or additional forces due to lateral loads were taken into account for this study.

	Column Loads								
Level Supported	Tributary Area (SF)	Dead Load (PSF)	Live Load (PSF)	LL Reduction (PSF)	Dead Load (k)	Live Load (k)	Total Load (1.2D+1.6L) kips	Accumulated Load (k)	
Roof	455.05	124.29	20	12.04	56.6	9.1	82.4	82.4	
7	455.05	154.44	55	33.11	70.3	25.0	124.4	206.8	
6	455.05	154.44	55	33.11	70.3	25.0	124.4	331.2	
5	455.05	159.53	55	33.11	72.6	25.0	127.2	458.3	
4	455.05	159.53	55	33.11	72.6	25.0	127.2	585.5	
3	455.05	159.53	55	33.11	72.6	25.0	127.2	712.7	
2	455.05	157.73	55	33.11	71.8	25.0	126.2	838.8	
1	455.05	174.29	100	100	79.3	45.5	168.0	1006.8	
В	455.05	103.07	100	100	46.9	45.5	129.1	1135.9	

Та	ble	3a
	~ ~ ~	~ ~

A spot check of an interior steel beam on the Fifth Level was performed. The detailed calculations, which can be found in Appendix E, show that the W10x49 can carry the bending moment due to the weight of the P.C. plank and construction load. The beam is also checked for the factored moment due to dead and live loads, as well as, maximum allowable deflection and deflection under construction loads.

The final spot check was performed on the typical P.C. hollow core plank above the Hotel Level. This was done to examine that the maximum span of the plank could safely withstand the dead and live loads from each floor level. The calculation verifies that the plank is adequate by checking the technical specifications for the concrete plank from the manufacturer (Pittsburgh Flexicore).

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Conclusion

Through analyzing the existing structural conditions of the Cambria Suites Hotel, a better understanding of the design decisions and how the structure works was made. After an analysis of the gravity and lateral loads, it is found that Cambria Suites Hotel was designed according to code and can withstand all forces against the structure.

Various calculations were done on the gravity members to verify the structural design. A spot check of an interior column was analyzed to carry gravity loads of the preceding floors. The calculation concluded that the column referenced was adequate to carry the dead and live loads being transferred to it. The interior beam spot check was performed for exposure to gravity loads. This examination concluded that the member was sufficient to carry the design forces. The final spot check was done on the pre-cast concrete hollow core planks. It is verified by calculation, that the concrete plank can withstand the design forces of each typical floor above the Hotel Level.

Wind and seismic analysis were performed according to ASCE 7-05 to check the lateral forces against the structure. It was found that the North/South wind loads controlled over the East/West wind loads. This is highly reasonable due to the fact that the façade perpendicular to the North/South wind direction is much longer than that of the East/West wind direction. When comparing the base shears of wind and seismic loads, it was found that the seismic loads control over the wind loads without considering torsion effects. The structural system is comprised of reinforced masonry walls with a steel beam and column interior. The lateral system consists of reinforced masonry shear walls which extend through the entire height of the building without any openings for windows and/or doors. This justifies why the columns and beams were over designed because lateral forces were excluded from the spot checks. Further in depth research and calculations of Cambria Suites Hotel will include these additional forces.

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Appendix A: Building Layout



Foundation Plan



Plaza Level Framing Plan





Second Level Framing Plan







The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Appendix B: Snow Analysis

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	JOB TECH, REPORT 1- C SHEET NO. 1 CALCULATED BY A, KACZ MAREK CHECKED BY SCALE	OF 3 DATE DATE
SNOW LOADS		
· GROUND SNOW LOAD (Pg) Pg=	25 BF	(FIG. 7-1)
Note: AES used pg = 30 PS	F	
· FLAT ROOF SNOW LOAD (PP)		
pf = 0,7 CeCt Ipg > 20		(Eq. 7-1)
Ce = Exposure Factor =	1.0 * Partially Exposed * Exposure B	(TABLE 7-2)
Ct = THERMAL FACTOR =	1.0	(TABLE 7-3)
I = IMPORTANCE FACTOR =	= 1.0 * Occupancy II	(TABLE 7-4)
PF = 0.7 (1.0) (1.0) (25)	PSF) = 17.5 4 Zo(1.0)	= 20
	- NOT OK	
USE pg = 30 PSF TO BE CO.	NSERUATIVE	
Dt = 017 (110) (110) (30 F	SF) = 21 7 20(1.1) =	20 V
	ok	
$p_g = 30 \text{ BF}$ $p_f$	= 21 PSF	

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	CHECKED BY	DATE
Stoow DRIFT		(SECT. 7.7.1)
- Must be calculated due to high	reaf that rises about	e
Main root level. High Roof		
lu lu	2	
	urchange Load	Main Roof
he ha		
ho I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	y y y y y y y y y	
k	N N	(FIG. 7-B)
lu = length of roof upwind drif	+ (f+)	
he = dear height from top of halo	and say , land the	
closest point on high roc	£. (A)	
hd = height of spow drift (f	+)	
W = distance from eave to ride	e (ff)	
fa = ndd		
$\gamma = 0.13 p_g + 14 = 0.13$	(30 PSF) + 14 = 17.9 PC	FL 30 PCF V
hu = 0 40 3 1 4 - 1 + 1		
11a = 0.43V Au Upg + 10 -	- 1,5	
$l_{u} = 30' - 8'' (N)$ $l_{u} = 30' - 8'' (E)$	(s) (w)	
(-)		

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	SHEET NO. <u>3</u> CALCULATED BY <u>A, KACZMAREK</u> CHECKED BY SCALE	of <u>3</u>
SUOL DRIFT (cont.)		
hd = 1.88' (w/s) 1.88' (E/w)		
$h_b = P_3 / S = \frac{30}{17.9}$	= 1.676'	
$ _{11} = 4h_{11} = 4(100) =$	7.52' × 7'-6"4" IN	B) E (E/W)

### The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Appendix C: Wind Analysis

		JOB TECH	REPORT 1 - CAL	CULATIONS			
		SHEET NO.	4 12	OF			
Atlantic Engine	Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222		CALCULATED BY _A, KACZMAREK DATE				
650 Smithfield Street  Pittsburgh  Pennsylva				DATE			
AES		SCALE					
Libron Lanne							
WIND COADS							
Merilio 2 :	ANALITICAL	DESCEDURE					
I CIMOD 2	ANACTICAL	FOLLOOPEL					
· WIND MARIARIE	-						
V = 90 m	ob						
K1 = 0.85	P						
I = 1.0							
FURNERE :	B						
K== 1.0							
1165 - 110							
	1 =1. =1	HEIGHT	K=				
(TARIE 1-2)	R	O	0'				
CASE 2	I	141-10"	0.510				
	2	210-10"	0.63				
NOTE : TITEPPOLATE	3	34-10"	0.74				
Ka VALVES	4	46-10"	0.79				
16 1000	5	56-10"	0.84				
	6	Lelé-10"	0,88				
	7	76-10"	0.92				
	ROOF	86'-10"	0.95				
	HIGH ROOF	102'- 2"	1.00				
$Q_P = 0.0r$	256 Ka Kat K	VII	(Fo	(6-15)			
75 76		VARIES BY LEVEL	1-4				
		punctes of cever					
07 =	0.00256 K= (10	)(0,85)(902)(1	.0)				
7-							
* TH	IS IS COMPLETED	FOR ALL LEVE	ELS				
AL	D PUT IN TA	BLE					
EXAMPL	E @ LEVEL 1	: 92 = 0.007	56 (0,56) (10)(0)	(0) (902) (10)			
		= 9,87	7 PSF				

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	SHEET NO CALCULATED BY CHECKED BY SCALE	2 2MAREK	OF
WIND LOADS (CONT.)	86.833 +	02.147	= 94.5 <sup>1</sup>
GN & MEAN FOUR HEIGHT -	E- 2		₩ Kzt = 0.97
	$\overline{z}' = o_i (e_i) = o_i (e_i)$	94.5')=	56.7' > Zmw = 3
9h = 0.00256 (0.97) (1	·•)(•,85)(90 <sup>2</sup> )(1.	0) = 1	7.10 PSF
Cp - EXTERNAL PRESSURE COEFFIC	IETS		
NORTH / SOUTH	EAST / WEST		
WWDWARD = 0.8 LEEWARD = -0.5	WINDWARD = LEEWARD =	0.8 - 0.Z	
L/B = 0.45	L/B = 2.22		
L= 98.92' B=219.67'	L= 219.67' B=	98.9Z'	
UIND PRESSURE			
Pz = gzGCp - ghGCpi	(WINDWAZD)	GCpi = FOR E	t 0.18
Ph = qhGCp - qhGCpi	(LEEWARD)	BUILT	DINGS
NORTH/SOUTH EXAMPLE : @ LEVEL	1		
$P_{z} = 9.87(0.85)(0.8) - 17.1$ $= 9.79 \text{ psf}$	0(-0.18)		
Ph = 17.10(0.85)(-0.5) - 17.10	0 (0.18)		

	JOB TECH, REPORT I - CALC	OF	
Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	CALCULATED BY A, KACEMAREK DATE DATE DATE DATE		
WIND LOADS (CONT.)			
EAST/WEST EXAMPLE : Q LEVEL 1			
Pz = 9.87 (0.85) (0.8) - 17.10 (- = 9.79 FSF	one)		
Ph = 17,10(0.85)(-0.2) - 17,11 $= -5.9B BF$	0(0118)		
* WIND PRESSURES CALCULATED FOR EA	CH STORY AND POT IN T.	ABLE	
· FORCE OF WINDWARD ONLY			
Fw = B (story height) pe			
N/S EXAMPLE : @ LELEL 1	$F_{\omega} = (Z19, \omega7')(12')(9.79)$	= 25.BI K	
· FORCE OF TOTAL PRESSURE			
N/S EXAMPLE : @ LEVEL 1	FT = (Z19.67')(12')(20.1 PS	лғ) = <u>52,98 к</u>	
· WINDWARD SHEAR STORY			
N/S EXAMPLE : @ LELEL 7	F = Fw e (Hich Roof + Roof = 6.90 + 31.63+30.97	+ 7) = 69,5 K	
· TOTAL STORK SHEAR			
N/S EXAMPLE : Q LEVEL 7 F	== FT @ (HIGH ROOF + E = 11.68 + 54,48 + 53.	$2\infty F + 7$ ) 82 = <u>119.981</u>	

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Appendix D: Seismic Analysis

Seismic Force Resisting System: Floor Weights						
Basement						
Approximate A	rea:	12,808	SF			
Floor to Floor He	ight:	14.833	ft.			
Wa	alls:		Sup	perimpose	d:	
Perimeter:	681.5	ft.	Partitions:	15	PSF	
Height:	14.833	ft.	MEP:	10	PSF	
Unit Weight:	91	PSF	Finishes:	5	PSF	
Weight =	919.89	k	Weight =	384.24	k	
		Slab:				
	Slab W	/eight Not Ind	cluded			
		Columns:				
Shape	Quantity	Weight (PLF)	Column Height (ft)	Total Weight (k)		
W8x58	1	58	12	0.696		
W10x45	1	45	12	0.54		
W10x60	1	60	12	0.72		
W10x77	5	77	12	4.62		
W10x88	1	88	12	1.056		
W10x100	5	100	12	6		
W18x175	1	175	12	2.1		
			Weight =	15.732	k	
		Beams:				
Shape	Quantity	Weight (PLF)	Beam Length (ft)	Total Weight (k)		
W8x15	1	15	14	0.21		
			Weight =	0.21	k	
1	otal Weig	t of Floor =	1320.07	k		
			103.07	PSF		

Seism	ic Force Re	sisting Syste	m: Floor We	eights	
		Floor 1			
Approximate A	rea:	16,236	SF		
Floor to Floor He	Floor to Floor Height:		ft.		
W	alls:		Sup	perimpose	d:
Perimeter:	763.91	ft.	Partitions:	15	PSF
Height:	12	ft.	MEP:	10	PSF
Unit Weight:	91	PSF	Finishes:	5	PSF
Weight =	834.19	k	Weight =	487.08	k
		Slab:			
Thickness:	10	in.			
Unit Weight:	91	PSF			
Weight =	1477.476	k			
0		Columns:			
				Total	
Shape	Quantity	Weight	Column	Weight	
0.156	Quantity	(PLF)	Height (ft)	(k)	
W8x58	1	58	12	0.696	
W10x45	1	45	12	0 54	
W10x+5	1	60	12	0.72	
W10x00	5	77	12	1.62	
\\/10x98	1	99	12	1.02	
VV10x00		100	12	1.050 C	
W10X100	5	175	12	21	
VV10X1/5	1	1/5	12	2.1	1.
		Boomer	weight =	15.732	ĸ
		Deditis.		Total	
Chana	Quantity	Weight	Beam	IOLdi Maiaht	
Snape	Quantity	(PLF)	Length (ft)	weight	
		45		(K)	
W8x15	1	15	14	0.21	
W8x15	1	15	8	0.12	
W8x15	1	15	7.83	0.12	
W16x26	1	26	5	0.13	
W16x26	1	26	13	0.34	
W18x35	1	35	15.42	0.54	
W18x35	1	35	11.17	0.39	
W18x55	1	55	18	0.99	
W18x55	1	55	22	1.21	
W18x60	1	60	17.17	1.03	
W18x60	1	60	17.33	1.04	
W18x86	1	86	21.4	1.84	
W24x55	2	55	16	1.76	
W24x62	1	62	19.56	1.21	
W24x76	1	76	26.5	2.01	
W24x94	1	94	25.33	2.38	
			Weight =	15.32	k
Total Weight of Floor =					
	lotal Weig	sht of Floor =	2829.80	k	

Seism	ic Force Re	sisting Syste	m: Floor We	eights	
		Floor 2			l
Approximate A	rea:	15,113	SF		
Floor to Floor He	Floor to Floor Height:		ft.		
W	Walls:		Su	perimpose	d:
Perimeter:	753.9	ft.	Partitions:	15	PSF
Height:	10	ft.	MEP:	10	PSF
Unit Weight:	69	PSF	Finishes:	5	PSF
Weight =	520.191	k	Weight =	453.39	k
		Slab:			
Thickness:	10	in.			
Unit Weight:	91	PSF			
Weight =	1375.283	k			
-		Columns:			
				Total	
Shape	Quantity	Weight	Column	Weight	
·	,	(PLF)	Height (ft)	(k)	
W10x45	1	45	10	0.45	
W10x60	1	60	10	0.6	
W10x77	5	77	10	3.85	
W10x88	1	88	10	0.88	
W10x100	5	100	10	5	
110/100		100	Weight =	10 78	k
		Beams:	Weight -	10.70	ľ
				Total	
Shano	Quantity	Weight	Beam	Woight	
Shape	Quantity	(PLF)	Length (ft)	(k)	
\A/Qv1E	1	15	7 02	(٨)	
VV8X13 \\/\/\%\21	1	21	12	0.12	
W16v26	1	26	12	0.37	
W10x20	1	20	15 15	0.34	
W10x20	1	20	7.42	0.40	
VV10X20	1	20	7.25	0.19	
VV10x77	1	25	38	2.93	
VV 18X 35		35	19	0.67	
VV 18X35		35	1/.1/	0.60	
W18X40	2	40	16	1.28	
W18X40		40	17.33	0.69	
W18x50		50	13.55	0.68	
W18x50	2	50	19	1.90	
W18x55	1	55	19.56	1.08	
W18x46	1	46	22	1.01	
W18x60	1	60	22	1.32	
W18x76	1	76	26.5	2.01	
W18x311	1	311	25.33	7.88	
W24x55	1	55	11.17	0.61	
			Weight =	24.07	k
	Total Weig	t of Floor sht of Floor sht of Floor sht of Floor should be should be should be should be should be should be s	2383.72	k	
			157.73	PSF	

Seismic Force Resisting System: Floor Weights							
	l	Floors 3 thru	5				
Approximate A	rea:	15,113	SF				
Floor to Floor He	ight:	10	ft.				
W	alls:	-	Superimposed		d:		
Perimeter:	815.33	ft.	Partitions:	15	PSF		
Height:	10	ft.	MEP:	10	PSF		
Unit Weight:	69	PSF	Finishes:	5	PSF		
Weight =	562.5777	k	Weight =	453.39	k		
Slab:							
Thickness:	10	in.					
Unit Weight:	91	PCF					
Weight =	1375.283	k					
		Columns:					
		Maight	Column	Total			
Shape	Quantity	weight	Column	Weight			
		(PLF)	Height (ft)	(k)			
W10x33	1	33	10	0.33			
W10x45	1	45	10	0.45			
W10x49	4	49	10	1.96			
W10x54	1	54	10	0.54			
W10x68	6	68	10	4.08			
			Weight =	7.36	k		
		Beams:					
		Waight	Boom	Total			
Shape	Quantity	(DLC)	Dedill	Weight			
		(PLF)	Length (It)	(k)			
W8x15	1	15	7.83	0.12			
W16x26	1	26	15.42	0.40			
W16x26	1	26	11.17	0.29			
W18x35	1	35	18	0.63			
W18x35	1	35	17.17	0.60			
W18x40	1	40	13	0.52			
W18x40	2	40	16	1.28			
W18x40	1	40	17.33	0.69			
W18x50	2	50	19	1.90			
W18x50	1	50	13.55	0.68			
W18x50	1	50	22	1.10			
W18x55	1	55	19.56	1.08			
W18x60	1	60	26.5	1.59			
W18x65	1	65	22	1.43			
	ļ		Weight =	12.31	k		
-	Total Weig	t of Floor =	2410.92	k			
			159.53	PSF			

Seismic Force Resisting System: Floor Weights							
		Floors 6 thru	7				
Approximate A	rea:	15,113	SF				
Floor to Floor He	ight:	10	ft.				
Wa	Walls:		Superimposed:				
Perimeter:	815.33	ft.	Partitions:	15	PSF		
Height:	10	ft.	MEP:	10	PSF		
Unit Weight:	60	PSF	Finishes:	5	PSF		
Weight =	489.198	k	Weight =	453.39	k		
Slab:							
Thickness:	10	in.					
Unit Weight:	91	PCF					
Weight =	1375.283	k					
	1	Columns:	<b></b>	-	<b></b>		
		Weight	Column	Total			
Shape	Quantity	(PLF)	Height (ft) Weight				
				(k)			
W10x33	13	33	10	4.29			
			Weight =	4.29	k		
	1	Beams:					
		Weight	Beam	Total			
Shape	Quantity	(PLF)	Length (ft)	Weight			
		( /		(k)			
W8x15	1	15	7.83	0.12			
W16x26	1	26	15.42	0.40			
W16x26	1	26	11.17	0.29			
W18x35	1	35	18	0.63			
W18x35	1	35	17.17	0.60			
W18x40	1	40	13	0.52			
W18x40	1	40	16	0.64			
W18x40	1	40	17.33	0.69			
W18x50	1	50	19	0.95			
W18x50	1	50	13.55	0.68			
W18x50	2	50	22	2.20			
W18x55	1	55	19.56	1.08			
W18x60	1	60	26.5	1.59			
W18x65	1	65	22	1.43			
			Weight =	11.82	k		
-	Fotal Weig	t of Floor =	2333.98	k			
			154.44	PSF			

Seismic Force Resisting System: Floor Weights							
	Roof						
Approximate A	rea:	15,113	SF				
Wa	Walls:		Superimposed:				
Perimeter:	789.58	ft.					
Height:	4	ft.	MEP:	10	PSF		
Unit Weight:	60	PSF	Roof Mat:	10	PSF		
Weight =	189.4992	k	Weight =	302.26	k		
Slab:							
Thickness:	10	in.					
Unit Weight:	91	PCF					
Weight =	1375.283	k					
		Beams:					
Shape	Quantity	Weight (PLF)	Beam Length (ft)	Total Weight (k)			
W8x21	1	15	7.83	0.12			
W16x26	1	26	13	0.34			
W16x26	1	26	15.42	0.40			
W18x35	2	35	16	1.12			
W18x35	1	35	18	0.63			
W18x35	1	35	17.17	0.60			
W18x40	1	40	19.56	0.78			
W18x40	1	40	17.33	0.69			
W18x50	1	50	22	1.10			
W18x50	1	50	13.55	0.68			
W18x50	2	50	19	1.90			
W18x60	1	60	26.5	1.59			
W18x65	1	65	22	1.43			
			Weight =	11.38	k		
1	Fotal Weig	ht of Floor =	1878.42	k			
			124.29	PSF			

Seismic Force Resisting System: Floor Weights					
		High Roof			
Approximate Area: 576			SF		
			Su	perimpose	ed:
		Roof Mat:	10	PSF	
			Weight =	5.76	k
		<b>Roof Joists:</b>			•
Unit Weight:	5	PLF			
Weight =	2.16	k			
1	Total Weig	ght of Floor =	7.92	k	
			13.75	PSF	

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	JOB <u>TECH</u> , <u>REPO</u> SHEET NO. <u>1</u> CALCULATED BY <u>A</u> , <u>KA</u> CHECKED BY <u>SCALE</u>	OF 4 CZMAREK DATE DATE
SEISMIC LOADS		
· SITE CLASS C - Very Dense Soil	& Soft Rock	(TABLE 20.3-1)
· OCCUPANCY CATEGORY II		(74BLE 1-1)
· IMPORTANCE FACTOR : 1.0		(TABLE 11.5-1)
· SPECTRAL RESPONSE ACCLERATION, SHI & SPECTRAL RESPONSE ACCELERATION, I	ort (SS) S (SI)	(FIG. 22-1 thru 22-14)
$S_{5} = 0.125$ $S_{1} = 0.049$		
• SITE COEFFICIENTS (Fa $\ddagger$ F Fa = 1, 2 Fv = 1, 7	τ)	(TABLE 11.4-1 \$ 11.4-2)
<ul> <li>SMS = FaSs</li> <li>1,2(0,125)</li> <li>SMS =</li> </ul>	0,15	(Eq. 11.4-1)
$S_{DS} = \frac{2}{3}(S_{MS})$ = $\frac{2}{3}(0.15)$ Sos =	0.100	(Eq. 11,4-3)
· SMI = FUSI = 1.7 (0.049) [SHI =	0.0833	(Eq. 11.4 - 2)
• $501 = \frac{2}{3} 541$ = $\frac{2}{3} (0.0833)$ (Sp1 =	0.055	(Eq. 11,4-4)

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	JOB <u>TECH</u> , <u>REPORT 1 - CALCULATIONS</u> SHEET NO. Z OF <u>4</u> CALCULATED BY <u>A, KACZMAREK</u> DATE CHECKED BY DATE SCALE
$\frac{\text{Seishic Loads (cont.)}}{\text{Ta} = C_{t} h_{n}^{*}}$ = 0.02 (102.167) <sup>0.75</sup>	$(E_q, 12, 8-7)$
• Cu = 1.7	(TABLE 12.8-1)
• $T = TaCu$ = 0.643 (1.7) [T	= 1.09 5
• $C_{s} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{DI} \\ T(R/T) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.055 \\ 1.09(2/1) \end{bmatrix}$ Sos $0.100$ (R/T) = (2/1) Soi TL $(2/1)$ MIN. $T^{2}(R/T) = (1.09)^{2}(2/1)$	$= 0.025 \ z \ 0.01$ $= 0.05 \ z \ 0.01$ $AES used this value in their calculations$ $= 0.278 \ z \ 0.01$
WHERE = R = Z I = 1.0 TL = 12	(TABLE 12,2-1) (TABLE 11,5-1) (FIG. 22-15)
• $k = 0.75 + 0.5(T)$ = 0.75 + 0.5(1.09)	(SEC. 12.8.3)

Atlantic Engineerin 650 Smithfield Street • Suit Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania	ng Services e 1200 15222	JOB TECH. SHEET NO. CALCULATED BY CHECKED BY SCALE	REPORT I - CAL 3 A. KACZMAREK	OF DATE DATE
SEISMIC LOADS (	cont.)			
· SEE EXCEL SPREAD	SHEETS FOR FU	OOR WEIG	- #75	
FLOOR	APPROX. FLOOR	AREA	TOTAL WEIGHT	
В	12808 5	F	103.07 PS1	F
1	16236 3	F	174.29 PSF	Ŧ`
2	15/13 5	F	157.73 PSI	F
3-5	15113 5	F	159.53 PS	F
6-7	15113 51	=	154,44 PSF	
ROOF	15113 SF		124.29 ASF	
HIGH ROOF	576 SF		13.75 PSF	
	B (103,07) + 14 15713)(159,53) + 4 (13,75) 21 k	236 (174,: Z (15113)	29) + 15113 (15 )(154,44) + 1511	7.73) 3(124.29)
· BASE SHEAR (V	>			
V = CsWT	= 0.025 (203	521)		
( V =	508.025 K			
· whxk (varies e	height)			
EXAMPLE @ LEVE	$L   : w_x = z$	829.8 K	, hx = 14.833	, k=1.295
	$w_{x}h_{x}^{*} = 2829.8$	(14,833	) = 9300	3.1 ft.k

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	JOB <u>JECH-REPORT I - CAUCOLATIONS</u> SHEET NO. <u>4</u> of <u>4</u> CALCULATED BY <u>A. KACZMAREK</u> DATE CHECKED BY <u>DATE</u> SCALE
SEISMIC LOADS (CONT.)	
· Zwihik => sum of whx of all	floors = 3117703 f+-k
• $C_{VX} = \frac{\omega_x h_x^k}{\Xi \omega_i h_i^k}$ (varies e he	eight) (Eq. 12,B-12) 93003
EXAMPLE & LEVEL 1 : Cus	x = 3117703 = 0.030
• Fx = Cux (V)	(Eq. 12,18-11)
EXAMPLE & LEVEL 1 = FX	= 0.0298(508.025K) = [15.15K]
· STORY SHEAR (Vx)	
$V_x = F_x (e \ level) + F_x (e$	all levels above)
EXAMPLE @ LEVEL 7: Vx =	Fx(HR) + Fx(RooF) + Fx(7) 0.52 + 99,18 + 105,18
V× e	07 = 204.88 K
· MOMENTS (MX)	
Mx = (Tributary Floor Area Heigh	+)(Fx)
EXAMPLE @ LEVEL 7 = Mx =	((76.833+66.833)/2) (105.18)
M× e	7 = 7555.39 ft.k

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Appendix E: Spot Checks

	JOB TECH. REPORT   - CAL	CULATIONS
	SHEET NO.	OF 3
	CALCULATED BY A, KACZMAREK	DATE
Atlantic Engineering Services		DATE
Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222		DATE
AES	SCALE	
INTERIOR COLUMIN SPOT CHEC	K	
(3)	· Column C-3	, FIFTH LEVEL
16-0" 11-2"	· check based	on axial-gravity
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	loods only	
0		
8		
110 + 11,167 1 /	291 + 381	
· TRIDINTADU ADEA = ( Z )(	7) = 455.05	L Z
INDUMNI AND THE	2 / 400.00	14
· LIVE LOADS		
Hotel Rooms (6 floors) = 40 PSF		
Roof = 20 PSF		
Partitions = 15 PSF		
Lobby = 100 PSF		
D D		
· I WE LEAD FLEMENT FACTOR (K)		
CIVE COND ELEPICAL FACTOR (NEL)		
V - 11 (C - 1	X	
Nu = 4 (tar colum	nos	
· LIVE LOAD REDUCTION		
- only for hotel rooms, roof, & pas	titions	
- KUAT 2 400 Ft2		

	JOB TECH REPORT 1 - CA	LCULATIONS
	SHEET NO. 2	OF 3
Atlantic Engineering Services	CALCULATED BY A, KACZMAREK	DATE
650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200	CHECKED BY	DATE
AES Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	SCALE	
725		
COLUMN SPOT CHECK (CONT.)		
· REDUCED HOTEL LIVE LOAD		
15		
$l = l_0 \left( 0.25 + J_{V,A} \right)$	(Ea	4-1 ASCE 7-05)
	(4	
0.25 + (4/455.05)		
1 - 1 /		
L = Lo(0.60Z)		
= 40 (0:602)		
= 24.08 PSF		
· REDUCED PARTITION LIVE LOAD		
L = 10 (01602)		
= 15 (0,602)		
= 9.03 PSF		
· REDUCED ROOF LIVE LOAD		
L= Lo (0.602)		
= 20 (0,602)		
= 12.04 PSF		
· DEAD LOADS		
- botel somes & sonf		
- malinde 10" sleh, hanlls, à s	SUDEFINIDOSED LEAD LEADS	
include to statig waiting to	apo mpono citta ionas	
* ReG- to Second Evel Son	endehaat	
There's to beismic taket opin	Eausneet	
P		
FOR AT = IFILING REF		
FLOOD (0-7 - D4144 DF		
FLOORS 5-3 = 157,53 PSF		
FLOOR C = 157.73 13F		
FLOOR 1 = 174,29 PSF		
5050000+ = 10 5.07 PSF		

		2010 mar 102		2		2	
		SHEET NO.	A KAC	ZMAREV		2	
Atlantic Engineering Services		CALCULATED				DATE	
Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222		CHECKED BI	-		DATE		
AES		SCALE					
A LA FOR OUTLY	1. 1.						
COLUMN SPOT CHECK (	canti)						
REDUCTION LIVE LOAD							
ROOM # FLOORS	6 611	VE LOAPS	_				
GUEST ROOM (0		33,11 PS	F				
LOBBY 1		100 PSF					
ROOF		12.04 PSF	-				
N Raca In Erral Same	debart C	Access	lated of	will lade			
neter to Lacer sprea	LISPEET TO	- accumi	licites n	nial_coads			
LOAD COMBINATION							
10-1							
$\Delta C = 1i2D + 1i6L$							
For Column C-3 on +	the FIFTH	Level,	which	supports			
levels 6th - ROOF =>	$P_{1} = 331$	ZK		_			
	14 - 001						
	_						
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE	NGTH						
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE	NGTH						
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE	NGTH 9						
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE. Column C-3 : WIDX41 L = 30'-0"							
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 : $\omega 10 \times 4^{\circ}$ $L = 30^{\circ} - 0^{\circ}$ $K Lece = \frac{30^{\circ}}{10} = \frac{30^{\circ}}{10}$	9 9 - = 17.5	z <del>ft</del> .					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 : WID × 41 L = 30' - 0'' $K Leff = \frac{20'}{(7/5)} = \frac{30'}{1.71}$	9 - = 17.5	z <del>;</del> ;					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 = $\omega 10 \times 4^{1}$ L = 30' - 0'' KLERE = $\frac{30'}{171}$ $\overline{1}$	9 = = 17.5	z <del>ft</del> ,					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 : WID × 4 L = 30' - 0'' $K Lege = \frac{1}{(5\pi/5)} = \frac{30'}{1.91}$ $F_x = 4.35$ C = 7.54	9 = = 17.5	Z <del>S+</del> ,					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 : WID × 41 L = 30' - 0'' $K Leff = \frac{L}{(Fr/Fy)} = \frac{30'}{1.71}$ Fx = 41.35 Fy = 2.54	9 - = 17.5	Z <del>[+</del> ,					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 = $\omega 10 \times 4^{1}$ L = $30' - 0''$ KLeve = $\frac{30'}{171}$ $F_x = 4.35$ $F_y = 2.54$ $F_x/F_y = 1.71$	9 = = 17.5	Z <del>[1</del> ,					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 : WID × 4 L = 30'-0" KLess = $\frac{30'}{17}$ $F_{x} = 41.35$ $F_{y} = 2.54$ $F_{x}/F_{y} = 1.71$	9 = = 17.5	Z <del>[+</del> ,					
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 : WID × 41 L = 30' - 0'' KLERE = $\frac{20'}{(5/5')} = \frac{30'}{1.71}$ Fx = 4.35 Fy = 2.54 Fx/Fy = 1.71 Interpolate between b	9 - = 17.5 (L = 17'	z ft. ond Kl	= 18'				
AVAILABLE COLUMN STRE Column C-3 = $\omega 10 \times 41$ L = $30' - 0''$ KLese = $(\sqrt{15}) = \frac{30'}{1.71}$ $f_x = 4.35$ $f_y = 2.54$ $f_x/ry = 1.71$ Interpolate between 1	<pre></pre> <pre>&lt;</pre>	z ft. and KL	= 18'				

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	SHEET NO CALCULATED BY CHECKED BY SCALE	l (aczmArek	of <u>3</u> Date
INTERIOR BEAM SPOT	CHECK		
2 3	•	5th Level	Beam
13'-0" 15'-5" (Ke'-0" 11'-	2",	WIBX 4D	
1 - 1 - 1 - 1	7		
38			
	-I		
-			
54			
*			
· LOADS			
LIVE LOAD : 40 PSF (Hote	Rooms)		
+ 15 PSF (Pert	I LIVE LOOD		
33.100 1018			
15			
$L = L_0 \left( 0.75 + \sqrt{K_u} \right)$	AT ) Whe	ere Ku =	2 (columns)
= 55 (0.25 + 15	=\	Ar =	16: (38'+29)
= 38.95 PSF		=	536 ft2
DEAD (DAD : DIDET / P.	Plank		
IO BE (Sup	ermoosed DL)		
+ 5 BF (FN	nishes)		
IOCE PSF TOTAL	L DEAD LOAD		

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	JOB <u>TECH. REPORT I - C</u> SHEET NO. Z CALCULATED BY <u>A. KACZMAREK</u> CHECKED BY SCALE	
· LOAD COMBINATION		
Wu = 1.70 + 1.6L = 1.70 = 1.89.	(100 BF) + 1,4 (38.95 BF) 5 BF	s
TRIBUTARY WIDTH = (38+29	() = 33,5'	
$\omega_{u} = 189.5 \text{ Psf}(33.5')$	= 6.35 KLF	
$M_{u} = \frac{\omega_{u}l^{2}}{8} = \frac{\omega_{i}35(16)}{8}$	= 203.2 flik	
Deff. = 33,5'(12") = 402"		<b>T</b>
$\frac{SPAN}{4} = \frac{16'(1z^n)}{4} = \frac{18}{4}$	4 P.C. PLANK FLOOR	10"
· CHECK DEFLECTION UNDER CONSTRU	CTION LOADS	
Aconst. = 5Witcone 14 384 EI		
Weare = 91 PSF (3315	5') = 3.048 KLF	
$\Delta_{allow} = \frac{1}{360} = 5wcone + \frac{14}{51}$	$\frac{16'(12'')}{360} = 0.533''$ (3.048)(16) <sup>4</sup>	
I Reg, = 384 Denne, E = 384 Tem = 209 2 104	4 (0,533) (29000) × 1778	
$I_x = 600 \text{ in}^4 \gg 299.2$	in 4 = Ireq OK	
6018×40		

Atlantic Engineering Services 650 Smithfield Street • Suite 1200 Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	JOB TECH, REPORT I - CAU SHEET NO. 3	OF 3
	CHECKED BY	DATE
· CHECK BENDING FOR CONSTRUC	TION	
Wenc. = 3,048 KLF		
WLIVE = 20 PSF (33,5') =	0.670 KLF	
Wu = 1.2 (3:048) + 1.6 (0	21670)	
= 4.73 KLF		
$M_{u} = \frac{\omega_{u}l^{2}}{8} = \frac{4.73(16)^{2}}{8} = \frac{4}{8}$	151,3(e ft.k	
фМn = 294 ft. k 7 151. - WIB×40	36 ftik = Mu : OK	
· AUAILABLE STRENGTH IN FLEXURE	(TABLE 3-19) STEEL MANUA	
ASSUME ZQn = 588		
200 588	= 3.4	
$a = 0.85(f_c^2)(berr) = 0.85(4)(4)$	3) - 2.4	
$Y_z = 10^{11} - 9_z = 10^{11} - 3_z$	z = 8.2" (Round durn -	o 7")
$\omega 18 \times 40$ $\gamma_{z} = 7.0 EQ$	= 538 K @ PNA @ TFL	
=> dM_ = 704 G	K > ZO3, Z A.K = Mu	
	- OK	
· DEFLECTION (TABLE 3-20) STEEL M	ANUAL	
$Y_{2} = 70$ $W_{11} = 38$	95 (33,5') = 1,30 KLF	
Ib=2110 1000 =	1/ = 16'(12) = 522"	
Emiles	4 340 340	
$\Delta u = \frac{5 \omega u x}{384 E I_{6}} = \frac{5 (1.30)(10}{384 (2900)(2)}$	(15) × 1778	
$\Delta u = 0.032'' \le 0.533'' =$	AALLOW . OK	

Atlantic Engineering Services S03 Smithied Storet + Sure 120 Philburgh + Permykania 1522		JOB TECH, REPORT 1- CALC	DLATIONS
Atlantic Engineering Services asso somethied store: Sour 1200 Prisoury - Permythana 15222 eccast Houlew Core PLANK SPot CHECK - For typical floors above Hotel Level · Max Span = 38'-0" · 10" thick concrete plank , 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 RsF DL = 15 RsF (Bartitian) IO RSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF Total DL ZOAD ConBIWATION LC: 1.2 DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.46(40) = [100 RSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to IDS RSF (untroped) or IZO RSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	Atlantic Engineering Services	SHEET NO.	OF
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		CALCULATED BY A. KACZMAREK	DATE
PRIMAPIPPER PERINA SPOT CHECK • For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = $3B' - 0''$ • 10" thick concrete plank , 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 BF DL = 15 PSF (Brititians) 10 BF (MEP) + S PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF Toral DL <u>LOAD ConBWATION</u> LC : 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 BSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pithsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 3E'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	650 Smithfield Street = Suite 1200	CHECKED BY	DATE
ECAST Hould Core PLANK SPOT CHECK • For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = 3B'-0" • 10" thick concrete plank, 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 BF DL = 15 BF (Bartitions) 10 BF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF Toral DL <u>LOAD ConBINATION</u> LC : 1.2 DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 BSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications; a plank spenning 38'-0" con safely be loaded to 105 BF (untoped) or 120 BSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	S Pittsburgh • Pennsylvania 15222	SCALE	
ECAST Hollow Core PLANK SPOT CHECK • For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = 3B'-0" • 10" thick concrete plank, 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 BSF DL = 15 BSF (Brithians) 10 BSF (MEP) + 5 BSF (Frishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL ZOAD CONBINATION LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 BSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pritsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 3E'-0" can safely be loaded to 1.95 BSF (Untopped) or 120 BSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.			
ECAST HOUGOU CORE PLANK SPOT CHECK • For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = 38'-0" • 10" thick concrete plank, 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 RSF DL = 15 RSF (Brtitians) 10 RSF (MER) + 5 RSF (Finishes) 30 RSF TOTAL DL <u>LOAD CONBUNATION</u> LC: 1.2 DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 RSF] The Hanufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pritisburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" con safely be loaded to 105 RSF (intopped) or 120 RSF (2" topping), Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.			
ECAST Hould Core PLANK SPOT CHECK • For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = 38'-0" • 10" thick concrete plank, 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 BF DL = 15 PSF (Brtitians) 10 PSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL <u>COAD CONBINATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spenning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.			
• For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = 38'-0" • 10" thick concrete plank, 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 BF DL = 15 BF (Brtitians) 10 PSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL <u>LOAD CONBINATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.46(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pritisburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	RECAST HOLLOW CORE PLANK SPOT	CHECK	
• For typical floors above Hotel Level • Max Spon = 38'-0" • 10" thick concrete plank, 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 BF DL = 15 BF (Brtitians) 10 PSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF Tatal DL <u>LOAD CONBINATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (interped) or 120 PSF (2" topping), Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.			
• Max Span = $38'-0''$ • 10" thick concrete plank , 4'0" wide sections LL = 40 BSF DL = 15 RSF (Birtitions) 10 RSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL <u>LOAD CONBWATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 RSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning $38'-0"$ can safely be loaded to 105 RSF (untopped) or 120 RSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	. For typical floors above Hotel	Level	
• 10" thick concrete plank , 4'-0" wide sections LL = 40 RSF DL = 15 RSF (Bartitians) 10 RSF (MEP) + 5 RSF (Emishes) 30 RSF TOTAL DL <u>ZOAD CONBWATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 RSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 RSF (untopped) or 120 RSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	· Max Span = 38'-0"		
LL = 40  BsF $DL = 15  PsF (Bartitians)$ $10  PsF (MEP)$ $+ 5  PsF (Finishes)$ $30  PsF Total DL$ $LOAD COMBWATION$ $LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100  BsF]$ The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" con safely be loaded to 105 PsF (intopped) or 120 PsF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	· 10" thick concrete plank, 4	-0" wide sections	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			
DL = 15 BF (Brtitions) 10 PSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL 20AD COMBWATION 20: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pritsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	11 = 40 BE		
ID PSF (MEP) + 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL <u>LOAD COMBINATION</u> LC: 1.2 DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load cambination, the planks are adequate.	DL = 15 BE /B-tition		
+ 5 PSF (Finishes) 30 PSF TOTAL DL <u>LOAD COMBINATION</u> LC: 1.2 DL + 1.4LL = 1.2 (30) + 1.6 (40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	ID RE (MER)	>>	
30 PSF TOTAL DL 20AD COMBINATION 202: 1.2 DL + 1.42L = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	+ 5 PSE (Frinker)		
30 PSF TOTAL DL <u>LOAD COMBINATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	CEMISNES,		
<u>LOAD CONBUNATION</u> LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	30 PSF Torres N		
<u>LOAD</u> CONBINATION LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	JE IST ISTAL DL		
LC: 1.2 DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.			
LC: 1.2DL + 1.4LL = 1.2(30) + 1.6(40) = [100 PSF] The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	ZOAD COMBINATION		
The Manufacturer of the hollow core precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	11. 100 1111 - 12/2	LILLIN - Trop are	-1
The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicare. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	$\mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{H} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{H} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$	) + 1.6(40) - 100 PSI	
The Manufacturer of the hollow care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 3B'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.			
The Manufacture of the homos care precast plank is Pittsburgh Flexicate. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load cambination, the planks are adequate.	The Mar Column Cilling		
plank is fittsburgh Flexicore. According to their 10" precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 38'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	The Manufacture of the	horrow care precast	
their 10 precast plank technical specifications, a plank spanning 3B'-0" can safely be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	plank is Pittsburgh Fle	XICOTE. According to	
a plank spanning 38-0 can sately be loaded to 105 PSF (untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	their to precast plank t	echnical specifications	t
to 105 PSF (Untopped) or 120 PSF (2" topping). Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	a plank spanning 38-0	can sately be loaded	1
Through the use of this load combination, the planks are adequate.	to 105 PSF (untopped)	or 120 PSF (2" topp	9).
planks are adequate.	Through the use of this Ic	and combination, the	
	planks are adequate.		

The Pennsylvania State University October 4, 2010

## Appendix F: Construction Photos













