2011

Technical Assignment 1



Thomas J Kleinosky – Structural Option Patient Care Pavilion; Albany, NY 9/23/2011 Advisor: Dr. Hanagan

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 2 |
|---|----|
| Introduction | |
| Structural Overview | 5 |
| Foundation | 5 |
| Floor System | 6 |
| Lateral System | 7 |
| Design Codes and Standards | |
| Materials | 9 |
| Gravity Loads | |
| Dead Loads | |
| Live Loads | |
| Snow Load | |
| Wind Loads | |
| Seismic Loads | |
| Gravity Load Checks | 20 |
| Decking | 20 |
| Beam & Girder | 20 |
| Column | 20 |
| Conclusion | 22 |
| Appendix A: Snow Load and Drift Hand Calculations | 23 |
| Appendix B: Wind Hand Calculations | |
| Appendix B.1 | |
| Appendix C: Seismic Hand Calculations | |
| Addendix D: Gravity Load Check Hand Calculations | |

Executive Summary

The purpose of the first technical report is to analyze, understand, and report the existing structural conditions for the Patient Care Pavilion in Albany, NY. The Patient Pavilion is an expansion of the Albany Medical Center Hospital (AMCH) campus, completion scheduled for June of 2013. The Patient Pavilion consists of two phases, Phase 1 is to construct a new six-story medical center, and Phase 2 is a four story vertical expansion of the Patient Pavilion. The structural analysis and design of the hospital was for a ten-story building, preventing the task of reinforcing lower existing members for the vertical expansion.

Dead and live loads were established through analysis of the structure, its building components, both architectural and structural, and the occupancy use of each level. loads were found per ASCE7-05. Dead and live loads were obtained per the ASCE7-05 and then verified with the specified dead and live loads on the structural drawings. Assumptions of gravity loads were deemed accurate, with little discrepancy, live loads were verified to be accurate without discrepancy.

Gravity, wind, and seismic loads were calculated to provide a preliminary basis to verify the existing typical members and to find lateral forces needed in future tech reports. Gravity spot checks were performed on five different gravity components: floor deck, composite beam, composite girder, interior column, and exterior column. All gravity components were found to be adequate per specified dead and live loads.

The seismic base shear calculated was within 5% of the base shear indicated on the structural drawings. Comparing the resultant base shears for wind and seismic, the seismic base shear is over two times larger than the wind base shear, therefore seismic will control the lateral design of the Patient Pavilion. The large base shear is likely due to having a soil rating of D and a seismic occupancy of IV.

Introduction

The Patient Pavilion is located in Albany, NY, at the intersection of New Scotland Avenue and Myrtle Avenue, on the eastern end of the existing Albany Medical Center Hospital (AMCH) campus. Constructed as an expansion to the AMCH, the Patient Pavilion utilizes pedestrian bridges to tie into an existing parking structure across New Scotland Avenue, as well as tying into an existing building on the AMCH campus (See Figure 1).

The Patient Pavilion will retain the architectural style, forms, and materials of downtown Albany and the AMCH campus, as specified in the City of Albany Zoning Ordinance. The façade primarily consists of brick and stone with punched windows and white stone accenting the upper levels. To add emphasis to the pedestrian walkway over New Scotland Avenue, metal paneling and glazed aluminum curtain-walls added an integrated modern look to the traditional façade.



The Patient Pavilion consists of two phases; Phase 1 (See Figure 1 – Pedestrian Bridges Figure 2) contains the demolition of an existing building

on the AMCH campus, and the construction of a six story medical center, and Phase 2 (See Figure 3) is a future four story vertical expansion of the Patient Pavilion. The building height of Phase 1 is 75 feet above grade and the vertical expansion of Phase 2 will increase the building height to 145 feet above grade. Due to a small site and large square footage demands, the building cantilevers over the site on the side of New Scotland Avenue, demanding for the design of cantilevered plate girders to support a column load from stories 2-10.

This patient care facility, contributes 229 patient beds, 20 operating rooms, and Figure 2 – Phase 1 of Patient Pavilion; 1000 new permanent jobs to the AMCH campus. The 348,000 square foot expansion consists of six stories above grade with a four story vertical expansion in the future. Phase 1 construction on the Patient Pavilion began in September of 2010 and projects to finish in June of 2013.

To better understand the terminology used for referring to designated levels, an architectural elevation is provided on the next page.



Initial Design



Figure 3 – Phase 2 of the Patient Pavilion; Vertical Expansion

Thomas J. Kleinosky

[TECHNICAL ASSIGNMENT 1]

September 23, 2011



Figure 4 – South Elevation

Structural Overview

The majority of the Patient Pavilion rests on 36" thick mat foundation, and some piles located near existing buildings. The floor system utilizes composite beams, girders, and slabs to carry the loads derived from ASCE07-02. The lateral forces are collected on the brick non-bearing façade, transfers in to the slab and is distributed to the foundation/grade by the integration of braced and moment frames. On the southern end of the site, 62" deep plate girders are utilized to cantilever nine stories over the edge of the site. Multi-story trusses are utilized to carry multiple levels with a large clear span, these are located over the emergency access ramp and at the pedestrian bridge that ties into an existing AMCH building (*Figure 5*).



Figure 5 - Span over Emergency Acess Ramp

Foundation

Vernon Hoffman PE Soil and Foundation Engineering performed the geotechnical report for the Patient Pavilion site. Procedures used were site boring, vane shear testing, pressure testing, and cone testing. Soil testing concluded that foundations must be designed to a net bearing pressure of

3000psf. Design ground water level was reported to be between 4' and 10' throughout the site. After a full analysis

of the site, the geotechnical report recommended the building to sit on a mat foundation resting on a controlled fill.

Abiding to the analysis, the majority of the Patient Pavilion sits on a 36" mat foundation resting on a 4" mud slab with a 12" compacted aggregate base. However, 20'-0" deep piles are utilized in order to prevent unwanted settlement of the existing buildings. Piles are utilized in place of shallow foundations because piles will control settlements and provide uplift resistance more effectively than shallow foundations.

Foundation walls are utilized along existing building C and along New Scotland Avenue to lessen the demand on the excavation shoring, these walls also serve the purpose of shear walls in the lateral system. Backfilling behind these walls was needed to provide construction access for equipment and materials to build the pile caps and grade beams.

Floor System

The Patient Pavilion utilizes 3"x20ga galvanized steel deck with 3 1/2" topping, reinforced with #4's at 16" O.C., this floor system is typical throughout the levels, unless otherwise noted. On level 2, the floor slab is thickened with a 3" lightweight concrete topping in order to reduce from vibrations in the operating rooms. The entry level utilizes an 8" lightweight concrete slab on 3 1/2"x16ga composite metal deck because of longer deck spans and larger live loads. In areas where radiation is prevalent, the slabs above and below that level are stiffened with a steel plate anchored to the slab with angles. These plates are located on levels 2 and 3 and their function is to provide a shield from the radiation for adjacent areas, refer to *Figure 6* for slab details.



Typical beam spacing throughout is 10'-0" O.C., creating a 10'-0" deck span requirement, all beams are composite beams, typically W12's. However, on the Basement Level and Level 2, typical beams range from W16's to W18's. Reasons for deeper beams are that the live load requirements on the Entry Level through Level 2 (*See Table 5*) are greater than the other floors. However, the Basement Level and Level Two

utilize deeper beams than the Entry Level and Level 1 due to greater floor-to-floor height on the basement level and Level 2 so there is no framing depth restriction.

Typical beams span 27'-4", these beams sit on girders that typically span 30'-0". Girder sizes range from W14's to W18's, however, on the Basement Level and Level 2 girder sizes fluctuate from W18's to W24's. A typical girder span is 30'-0", combined with the beam span produces a typical bay size of 27'-4" by 30'-0".

A demand for specialty framing is needed in certain areas in this project; on the southern end of the site, a column is cantilevered 18' over the edge of the site resting on a 62" plate girder. The pedestrian bridge on the tieing into the existing AMCH building spans 83' over another existing AMCH building. The bottom two levels of this bridge, a two-story truss was designed by Ryan-Biggs, consisting of W10x77's and W10x100's.

Lateral System

The lateral system for the Patient Pavilion predominantly consists of braced frames, with some moment frames. Within the structure, there are 14 braced frames and 5 moment frames, because of the locations of the braced frames, Chevron bracing is utilized to allow openings for doorways and corridors, see *Figure 8* for a typical braced frame. Figure 7 shows the locations of the braced and moment frames, the location of some braced frames fluctuate from level to level. For instance, braced frame 13 is braced between the Basement Level through Level 2 and from Level 2 up is a moment frame.

The braced frames along the western side of the site sit on retaining walls in the basement, which also act as concrete shear walls. A strong connection is required to transfer the shear load from the column into the concrete shear wall, for these connections a 30"x30"x3½" baseplate with a 2" diameter anchor bold anchored 42" into the wall is specified. Diagonal bracing on the lower levels range from W10's to W12's and HSS8x6's to HSS8x8's on the upper levels. Heavier bracing on the lower levels provides a greater resistance to shear, which increases as the force moves down the frame. Columns used in these lateral resisting frames range from W14x43 to W 14x233.



Figure 8 – Typical Braced Frame

Design Codes and Standards

Ryan-Biggs Associates abided by these standards and codes when developing the design of the Patient Pavilion:

- 4 AISC 13th Edition Manual
- AISC Specification 360-05
- 4 2007 Building Code of New York State (BCNYS)
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE7-02)
- 🖊 AISC Manual of Steel Construction, Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD)

These are the standards and codes I utilized:

- **4** AISC 14th Edition Manual
- AISC Specification 360-10
- 4 2006 International Building Code (IBC 2006)
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE7-05)
- AISC Manual of Steel Construction, Load Resistance Factor Design (LRFD)

Materials

The structural materials designated by the AISC 13th Ed. were used in the design of the Patient Pavilion by Ryan-Biggs (See *Table 1*), listed below are the various capacities for the large variety of structural elements. The materials were specified on the General Notes page, S001, on the Construction Documents provided via Gilbane Building Company. All steel materials below are according to ASTM standards.

Table 1 – Material Properties

| Material Properties | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Material | | Strength | | | |
| Rolled Steel | Grade | f _y = ksi | | | |
| W Shapes | A 992 | 52 | | | |
| C, S, M, MC, and HP Shapes | A 36 | 36 | | | |
| Plates, bars, and angles | A 36 | 36 | | | |
| HSS pipe | A53 type E or S Grade B | 35 | | | |
| Reinforcing Steel | A 615 | 60 | | | |
| Concrete | Weight (lb/ft ³) | f' _c = psi | | | |
| Footings/mat foundation | | 3,000 | | | |
| Interior S.O.G or Slab on Deck | | 3,500 | | | |
| Foundation Walls, Shear walls, Piers, Pile caps, and Grade beams | | 4,000 | | | |
| Exterior S.O.G. | | 4,500 | | | |
| Masonry | Grade | f′ _m = psi | | | |
| Concrete Block | C 90 | 2,800 | | | |
| Mortar | C 270 Type S | n/a | | | |
| Unit Masonry | n/a | 2,000 | | | |
| Grout | C 476 | 2,500 | | | |
| Brick | C 216 type FBS Grade SW | | | | |
| Welding Electrodes | E70 XX | 70 ksi | | | |

Gravity Loads

In the following tables, dead and live loads that were used to analyze and design the Patient Pavilion are listed as well as the loads used for this thesis. Live loads interpreted by the designer were derived from ASCE7-02, live loads used in this thesis were derived from ASCE 7-05; dead loads were assumed or calculated and verified with specified dead loads on the structural general notes.

Dead Loads

The dead loads listed on the general notes of the structural drawings are listed below in *Table 2*. Upon further analysis shown in *Table 3* and *Table 4*, the assumptions of these loads were verified to be accurate and conservative in some cases. The MEP is larger than typical because in a hospital the MEP weight is to be assumed larger than normal.

Table 2 – Superimposed Dead Loads

| Dead Loads (As Shown on General Notes S100) | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Description Weight (psf) | | | | | |
| Roof Without Conc. Slab | 30 | | | | |
| Roof With Conc. Slab | 95 | | | | |
| Roof Garden | 325 | | | | |
| Floor | 95 | | | | |
| Level 9 Mechanical Penthouse | 125 | | | | |

Table 3 – Roof without Conc. Slab Verification

| Roof Without Conc. Slab Verification (ASCE7-05 and Vulcraft) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description Weight (psf) | | | | | |
| MEP | 12 | | | | |
| 3"x16ga decking | 5 | | | | |
| Rigid Insulation (tapered starting at 8") | .75psf per in thickness=(.75x8x.5)= 12 | | | | |
| Total | 29 | | | | |

Table 4 – Roof with Conc. Slab and Floor Verification

| Roof With Conc. Slab and Floor Verification (ASCE7-05 and Vulcraft) | | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| Description Weight (psf) | | | | | |
| MEP | 12 | | | | |
| 3"x20ga Composite Decking | 48 | | | | |
| Steel Framing | 13 | | | | |
| Finishes and Partitions | 15 | | | | |
| Fireproofing | 2 | | | | |
| Miscellaneous | 5 | | | | |
| Total | 95 | | | | |

Live Loads

Table 5 describes the controlling live load on each level with the exception of elevator lobbies and stairs. *Table 6 and Table 7* are verifying the live loads from the initial design per ASCE7-02, and the code used for this thesis, ASCE7-05.

Table 5 – Live Loads

| Live Loads (As Shown on General Notes S100) | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Description | Weight (psf) | | | | |
| Entry | 100 | | | | |
| Basement | 100 | | | | |
| Level 1 | 100 | | | | |
| Level 2 | 100 | | | | |
| Level 3 | 80 | | | | |
| Level 4 | 80 | | | | |
| Level 5 | 80 | | | | |
| Level 6 | 80 | | | | |
| Level 7 | 80 | | | | |
| Level 8 | 80 | | | | |
| Level 9 (Mechanical Penthouse) | 125 | | | | |
| Elevator Lobbies and Stairs | 100 | | | | |

Table 6 – Verifying Live Loads per ASCE7-05

| Level 1 – Level 2; Verification (ASCE7-05) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Weight (psf) | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | | |
| 80 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 7 – Verifying Live Loads per ASCE7-05

| Level 3 – Level 8; Verification (ASCE7-05) | | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| Occupancy Weight (psf) | | | | | |
| Hospitals – OR Rooms | 60 | | | | |
| Hospitals – Patient Rooms | 40 | | | | |
| Hospitals – Corridors above 1 st Floor | 80 | | | | |

Snow Load

The snow load for the Patient Pavilion was determined per ASCE7-05 section 7.3. Following the procedure described in this section, the flat roof snow load was calculated to be 37 psf, approximately 40psf, which was listed on the structural general notes. Hand calculations cand be found in Appendix A.

Upon finding the density of the snow, and back figuring the density to find the height, it was determined the flat roof snow load height was 2 feet; this eliminates drift along the parapets, which are 2 feet high. Snowdrifts were calculated against the stair towers (See *Figure 9*) where windward drift loads control because of a larger l_u . Due to the windward forces control, the height of the snow load was reduced by using 3/4 of h_d , however after interpretation of the code the full h_d was used to calculate the drift width W. The height and weight of the drift is shown below in *Figure 9*, the location of each drift calculated is shown in *Figure 10*.



Figure 10 Drift and Stair Tower Locations

Wind Loads

Wind loads were calculated by Method 2, Main Wind Force Resisting System (MWFRS), provided in ASCE7-05 Chapter 6 to determine wind pressures in both the North-South direction and East-West direction. Initial assumptions had to be made for this procedure; the building footprint had to be simplified into a rectangle, which is a valid assumption because the lateral systems run in two orthogonal directions (*See Figure 11*). Also the structure had to be assumed as a flexible structure and later verified through calculations which can be found in Appendix B.

A flexible building is defined in the ASCE7-05 as building with a frequency of 1Hz or less, equations to calculate the natural frequency are provided in the commentary in the ASCE7-05. Calculating the lower bound frequency (Eq C6-17) and the Average Value frequency (Eq C6-18), the natural frequency was less than 1Hz, the assumption of a flexible building was verified.

The calculations required for this analysis are redundant and time consuming; to simplifying the redundant process, a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet was created. The spreadsheet calculates windward and leeward forces, as well as story shear and overturning moment, in the North-South direction and East-West direction. The final forces in the North-South direction and East-West direction are shown in the following tables, as well as a schematic depiction showing the wind pressures and wind forces along the building height.



Figure 11 – Simplified Building Footprint

Table 8 – Wind Pressures; North-South Direction

| Wind Pressure | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | Windward | Leeward | Internal Pressures | res Net Pressure | | | |
| | (psf) | (psf) | (+/-) | (+GC _{pi}) | (-GC _{pi}) | | |
| Entry Level | 7.77 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 3.75 | 11.78 | | |
| Basement | 7.77 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 3.75 | 11.78 | | |
| Level 1 | 9.21 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 5.20 | 13.22 | | |
| Level 2 | 10.46 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 6.45 | 14.48 | | |
| Level 3 | 11.17 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 7.16 | 15.18 | | |
| Level 4 | 11.77 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 7.76 | 15.78 | | |
| Level 5 | 12.37 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 8.36 | 16.38 | | |
| Level 6 | 13.08 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 9.07 | 17.09 | | |
| Level 7 | 13.49 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 9.47 | 17.50 | | |
| Level 8 | 14.03 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 10.02 | 18.05 | | |
| Level 9 | 14.58 | -7.27 | 4.01 | 10.56 | 18.59 | | |

Table 9 – Roof Uplift; North-South Direction

| Roof | Uplift (psf) | Internal Pressures (+/-) | (+GC _{pi}) | (-GC _{pi}) |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0 to 75 ft | -16.86 | 4.01 | -20.87 | -12.85 |
| 75 to 150 ft | -16.86 | 4.01 | -20.87 | -12.85 |
| 150 to 300 ft | -9.37 | 4.01 | -13.38 | -5.35 |
| >300 ft | -5.62 | 4.01 | -9.63 | -1.61 |



Figure 12 – Wind Pressures; North-South Direction

Table 10 – Wind Forces; North-South Direction

| Wind Forces | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|--------|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Trib H | eights | Elevation | Wall Width | Trib. | Story Force | Story | Overturning |
| | Below | Above | | (Perp. To N-S) | Area | (kips) | Shear (kinc) | Moment |
| Entry Level | 0 | 7.5 | 0 | 222 | 1665 | 25.03 | 616.67 | 0.00 |
| Basement | 7.5 | 6 | 15 | 222 | 2997 | 45.06 | 591.64 | 675.83 |
| Level 1 | 6 | 7.25 | 27 | 222 | 2941.5 | 48.47 | 546.58 | 1308.66 |
| Level 2 | 7.25 | 5.5 | 41.5 | 222 | 2830.5 | 50.19 | 498.11 | 2082.78 |
| Level 3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 52.5 | 222 | 2442 | 45.03 | 447.93 | 2364.02 |
| Level 4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 63.5 | 222 | 2442 | 46.49 | 402.90 | 2952.29 |
| Level 5 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 74.5 | 222 | 2886 | 56.68 | 356.40 | 4222.36 |
| Level 6 | 7.5 | 6 | 89.5 | 222 | 2997 | 60.98 | 299.73 | 5457.62 |
| Level 7 | 6 | 7.125 | 101.5 | 222 | 2913.75 | 60.48 | 238.75 | 6138.31 |
| Level 8 | 7.125 | 7.5 | 115.75 | 222 | 3246.75 | 69.16 | 178.27 | 8004.90 |
| Level 9 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 130.75 | 222 | 3330 | 72.74 | 109.12 | 9511.37 |
| Level 10 | 7.5 | 0 | 145.75 | 222 | 1665 | 36.37 | 37.22 | 5301.27 |
| | | | | | | Total Base | | |
| | | | | | | Shear= | 616.67 | |
| | | | | | | Total Ove | erturning | 40040 40 |
| | | | | | | l Iv | /ioment= | 48019.40 |



Figure 13 – North-South Wind Forces

Table 11 – Wind Pressures; East-West Direction

| Wind Pressure | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------|---------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | Windward | Leeward | Internal Pressures | Net Pr | Net Pressure | | |
| | (psf) | (psf) | (+/-) | (+GC _{pi}) | (-GC _{pi}) | | |
| Entry Level | 7.56 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 3.54 | 11.57 | | |
| Basement | 7.56 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 3.54 | 11.57 | | |
| Level 1 | 8.96 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 4.95 | 12.97 | | |
| Level 2 | 10.18 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 6.17 | 14.19 | | |
| Level 3 | 10.87 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 6.86 | 14.88 | | |
| Level 4 | 11.45 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 7.44 | 15.47 | | |
| Level 5 | 12.04 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 8.02 | 16.05 | | |
| Level 6 | 12.73 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 8.71 | 16.74 | | |
| Level 7 | 13.12 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 9.11 | 17.14 | | |
| Level 8 | 13.65 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 9.64 | 17.67 | | |
| Level 9 | 14.18 | -9.11 | 4.01 | 10.17 | 18.20 | | |

Table 12 – Roof Uplift; East West Direction

| Roof | Uplift (psf) | Internal Pressure (+/-) | (+GC _{pi}) | (-GC _{pi}) |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 0 to 75 ft | -19.48 | 4.01 | -23.49 | -15.47 |
| 75 to 150ft | -15.55 | 4.01 | -19.56 | -11.53 |
| 150 to end | -10.68 | 4.01 | -14.69 | -6.66 |





Table 13 – Wind Forces; East-West Direction

| Wind Forces | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Trib H | eights | Elevation | Wall Width | Trib. Area | Story Force | Story Shear | Overturning |
| | Below | Above | (ft) | (ft) | (sf) | (k) | (k) | Moment (k-ft) |
| Entry Level | 0 | 7.5 | 0 | 346 | 2595 | 43.26 | 1038.15 | 0.00 |
| Basement | 7.5 | 6 | 15 | 346 | 4671 | 77.86 | 994.90 | 1167.96 |
| Level 1 | 6 | 7.25 | 27 | 346 | 4584.5 | 82.86 | 917.03 | 2237.33 |
| Level 2 | 7.25 | 5.5 | 41.5 | 346 | 4411.5 | 85.12 | 834.17 | 3532.37 |
| Level 3 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 52.5 | 346 | 3806 | 76.06 | 749.05 | 3993.05 |
| Level 4 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 63.5 | 346 | 3806 | 78.28 | 672.99 | 4970.66 |
| Level 5 | 5.5 | 7.5 | 74.5 | 346 | 4498 | 95.13 | 594.72 | 7087.49 |
| Level 6 | 7.5 | 6 | 89.5 | 346 | 4671 | 102.01 | 499.58 | 9130.15 |
| Level 7 | 6 | 7.125 | 101.5 | 346 | 4541.25 | 100.99 | 397.57 | 10249.99 |
| Level 8 | 7.125 | 7.5 | 115.75 | 346 | 5060.25 | 115.21 | 296.58 | 13335.50 |
| Level 9 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 130.75 | 346 | 5190 | 120.92 | 181.37 | 15809.72 |
| Level 10 | 7.5 | 0 | 145.75 | 346 | 2595 | 60.46 | 60.46 | 8811.72 |
| | | | | | | Total Base | | |
| | | | | | | Shear= | 1038.15 | |
| | | | | | | Total Overtu | rning Moment= | 80325.95 |





Seismic Loads

The seismic design of the Patient Pavilion follows the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure (ASCE7-05) described in Chapter 12. Seismic Ground Motion Values were obtained per ASCE7-05, Chapter 11.4, the initial parameter necessary for the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure were calculated, and parameters S_s and S₁ were found using this online reference (<u>http://earthquake.usgs.gox/research/hazmaps/design/</u>) provided in graduate course AE597A. After reviewing the geotechnical report, it was determined that the average shear wave velocity, $\overline{v_s}$, was 716 feet per second, from table 20.3-1 a $\overline{v_s}$ of 716 feet per second classifies the soil as class D, stiff soil.

Following the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure, the building weight must be determined in order to find the seismic response coefficient, C_s. This was performed by counting the beams and columns and multiplying the length by their unit weights. The tributary height of the columns was found by taking half of the height to next level up plus half the height from the lower level. Using the Vulcraft Metal Decking catalog a floor load of 48psf was determined for 3 1/2"x20ga composite decking with lightweight concrete. Superimposed dead loads were determined by subtracting the floor dead load of 45psf from the given floor dead load on the structural general notes. The weight of the exterior façade was determined by assuming dead load of 48psf for exterior stud walls with brick veneers via table C3-1 (ASCE7-05). To apply this load to each level the self-weight was multiplied by the perimeter and the tributary height of each level. Summarized in *Table 14* below are the weights of each element contributing to the seismic calculation.

| | Framing | Floor | Columns | Façade | Dead | 20% snow | Total Weight (kips) |
|----------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|------------------|------------------------|
| Basement | 375.9115885 | 2138.454 | 211.5 | 789.6 | 2093.903 | | 5609 |
| Level 1 | 581.5651741 | 2559.648 | 213.7 | 838.2394 | 2506.322 | | 6699 |
| Level 2 | 570.97604 | 2565.843 | 165.32 | 1198.337 | 2483.01 | | 6983 |
| Level 3 | 534.66928 | 2092.368 | 136.4 | 1108.8 | 2048.777 | | 5921 |
| Level 4 | 396.15239 | 2114.496 | 135.6 | 1064.448 | 2070.444 | | 5781 |
| Level 5 | 396.15239 | 2113.872 | 157 | 1257.984 | 2069.833 | | 5995 |
| Level 6 | 396.15239 | 2113.872 | 154.64 | 1306.368 | 2069.833 | | 6041 |
| Level 7 | 396.15239 | 2113.872 | 148.7 | 1270.08 | 2069.833 | | 5999 |
| Level 8 | 396.15239 | 2113.872 | 166.1 | 1415.232 | 2069.833 | | 6161 |
| Level 9 | 396.15239 | 2113.872 | 88.84 | 1451.52 | 2069.833 | 352.312 | 6473 |
| Level 10 | 25.62584 | 88.992 | 2.9 | 180 | 87.138 | 14.832 | 399 |
| | | | | | | Total Weight= | 62062 |

Table 14 – Building Weight

After obtaining the weights of each level, the seismic coefficient was determined using equation 12.8-3 (ASCE) because the value calculated from equation, 12.8-2 was larger than the allowable upper limit defined in equation 12.8-3. Avoiding redundancy, an excel spreadsheet (provided in AE597A) was utilized to determine the shear distribution and overturning moment for each level, refer to *Table 15* below for the Excel spreadsheet.

Provided below is a schematic description showing the story forces, base shear, and overturning moment. Hand calculations can be found in Appendix C.

Table 15 – Seismic Force; Story Distribution

| T= | 1.408 | S | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|----------|-------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------|-----------------------|--------|
| k= | 1.454 | | | | | | | | |
| V _b = | 2400 | kips | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| i | h _i | h | w | w*h ^ĸ | C _{VX} | f _i | Vi | М | |
| | ft | ft | kips | | | kips | kips | kip-ft | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 15 | 145.7917 | 399 | 558522 | 0.017 | 40 | 40 | 5795 | |
| 11 | 15 | 130.7917 | 6473 | 7737720 | 0.229 | 551 | 590 | 72021 | |
| 10 | 14.25 | 115.7917 | 6161 | 6169330 | 0.183 | 439 | 1029 | 50837 | |
| 9 | 12 | 101.5417 | 6000 | 4963775 | 0.147 | 353 | 1383 | 35869 | |
| 8 | 15 | 89.54167 | 6040 | 4161803 | 0.123 | 296 | 1679 | 26520 | |
| 7 | 11 | 74.54167 | 5995 | 3164153 | 0.094 | 225 | 1904 | 16785 | |
| 6 | 11 | 63.54167 | 5781 | 2419080 | 0.072 | 172 | 2076 | 10939 | |
| 5 | 11 | 52.54167 | 5921 | 1879350 | 0.056 | 134 | 2210 | 7027 | |
| 4 | 14.54167 | 41.54167 | 6983 | 1575135 | 0.047 | 112 | 2322 | 4657 | |
| 3 | 12 | 27 | 6700 | 807751 | 0.024 | 57 | 2380 | 1552 | |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 5609 | 287688 | 0.009 | 20 | 2400 | 307 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Σ | 62062 | 33724307 | V _s = | 2400 | 0 | verturning Moment= | 232310 |





Figure 16 – Seismic Forces

Gravity Load Checks

Spot checks were performed on a typical bay located on Level 3, columns K-1, K-2, J-1, and J-2 make up the corners for the bay. Spot checks were performed to utilize knowledge learned in past courses to verify the structural system of the building. Complete hand calculations are located in Appendix D.

Decking

Typical floor construction for the Patient Pavilion utilizes a 3"x20ga composite steel deck with 3 1/2" lightweight concrete topping. Using Vulcraft Steel Decking(2008) catalog, the specified deck type is acceptable according to the allowable strengths and spans for a 3VLI20. The allowable superimposed live load is 149psf, roughly double the live load on Level 3; a possible reason for this is because the live load at the penthouse is 125psf increasing the demand for strength in the deck. Verifying the fire rating with the 2001 Fire Resistance Directory, a 3 1/2" lightweight concrete topping allows for a 2-hour rating.

Beam & Girder

Gravity spot checks were performed on a beam and girder in a typical bay. Strength and deflection checks were performed for both pre-concrete curing and post concrete curing. The members were adequate for the specified loads in flexure, shear, and deemed adequate for serviceability requirements.

The nominal flexural strength of the beam was within 10% of the ultimate moment per specified live and dead loads. This is because for Levels 3 to 8 the live load does not fluctuate, nor do the bay sizes so a less conservative approach is acceptable. The strength of the girder was approximately 45% larger than the ultimate moment of the floor loads; this could be because the plastic neutral axis on the composite girder was found to be in the web of the girder, so a stiffer heavier member is needed to preven web crippling. A shallower beam must be utilized to accommodate the low floor-to-floor heights on the typical stories. To resist the ultimate flexural capacities, a heavier, non-slender section needs to be used.

Column

Two column checks were made, one interior column J-4, and one exterior column B-9. Lateral forces were excluded from the calculations, and due to having a lateral system of braced framed, transfer of moments from adjacent bays into the column were not necessary.

Column J-4 is a W14x193 and was analyzed on the Entry level of the Patient Pavilion, above it are eight floors, a penthouse, and a roof. Live load reduction was performed where applicable, using the influence area instead of K_{LL} values given in the ASCE7-05. Loads were calculated were calculated at each level and a final check was performed on the entry level. Total dead and live loads were summed up resulting in an ultimate axial load of 1650 kips. The nominal strength of a W14x193 at an unbraced length of 15 feet is 2210 kips, which well satisfies the calculated ultimate axial load. Dead and live load discrepancies could not contribute to the large difference between the ultimate and nominal axial load; the live and dead loads assumed were accurate to the assumed dead and live loads. The possibility of a partial moment transfer at the column due to a continuous slab could induce more load in the column and therefore create a larger axial force.

Column B-9 is a W14x99, its base is located on level 2, and it is an exterior column. The same procedure was followed to calculate this ultimate axial load; however, the weight of the exterior façade was included in the

dead load on the column. The ultimate axial strength culminated to 962 kips, less than 1190 kips, the nominal axial strength of a W14x99 with an unbraced length of 11 feet.



Figure 17 – Typical Column Layout

Conclusion

Technical Report 1 analyzed and summarized the existing structural conditions of the Patient Pavilion. Examining the Patient Pavilion a greater understanding of the structure, its particular elements, and the building as a whole was obtained. Heavy evaluation of the foundation, lateral system, floor/framing systems, and columns was performed to describe the full structural system.

ASCE7-05 code analysis was utilized to obtain superimposed dead and live loads for the Patient Pavilion, which were checked against the loads provided on the structural drawings. Code analysis was also utilized to obtain snow loads and snowdrifts, as well as wind and seismic loads.

The seismic base shear calculated was within 5% of the base shear indicated on the structural drawings. Comparing the resultant base shears for wind and seismic, the seismic base shear is over two times larger than the wind base shear, therefore seismic will control the lateral design of the Patient Pavilion. The large base shear is likely due to having a site class of D and a seismic occupancy of IV. In Technical Report 3, the lateral system will be analyzed to verify the strengths of the existing bracing systems.

Gravity checks were performed on five members on a typical floor to show a representation of the entire building. Spot checks verified the typical members were adequate for the required loads and their deflections met the live load deflection, construction load deflection, and wet concrete deflection criteria. Further knowledge and comprehension of composite beams was acquired due challenges of heavy, shallow composite members.

Appendix A: Snow Load and Drift Hand Calculations

| THOMMAS KLEWOOKY | SNOW LOADS | Pg lof 3 |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| FLAT ROOF SLOW | Lono; pr | |
| pr: 0.7Ce Ce | I pg | |
| BUT NOT LESS | THAN | |
| Pf = 20(1 | 7 | |
| (table 7-2) Ce = 1.0 - | > SITE CLASS B - | (PAGE 288 ASCE7-05) |
| (table 7-3) Cr = 1.1 -> | Innineer Correct | |
| | | |
| (table 7-4) L= 1.2 - | + CATEGORY IV | 4 OTHER HEALTH CARE |
| | FAER | ITIES (TABLE 1-1) |
| Pg= 40psF - | per (Figure 7-1) | |
| PE= 0.7/1.0 |)(1.1)(1.2)(40) = 3 | 6.96psf 30 37ps F) |
| 27 | - 20/12) - 24 | · px / |
| 51 | 2 20(112) - 27 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

September 23, 2011

[TECHNICAL ASSIGNMENT 1]

Pa 2 of 3 THOMAS KLEINDERY SNOW LOADS DRIFT CALCULATIONS height of the parapit is 2Ft. but the height of the flat roof snow is approximately 2Ft. 8=,13pg +14 = 20pcf 8=,13(40)+14= 19,2 pcF h = 37psF = 1.93 Feet : drift can only be , of Ft high. DRIFT AT STAIR TOWERS DRIFT #1 (WINDWARD CONTROLS) Ru = 87.33FE. DRIFT #2 (WINDWARD) F. lu= 160ft lu DRIFT #34 (WINDWARD) lu= 123Ft. WINDWARD DRIPT # 1 hd=[0.43] lu JA+10]-1.5=[0.4387.33 140+0]-1.5] × 34 hd,= 2.68 Ft. W.= 2.68 Ft x 10.2 1/2= 51.5.psf hd.= 2.68 FE. DRIFT WIDTH = 4 h1 = 4 (249,75) = 14.3 Ft, 51,5 po F 40pef -> 1 14.3. FZ.

September 23, 2011



Appendix B: Wind Hand Calculations



Page Zof 6 THOMAS KLEWOSKY WIND CALCS. DESIGN CRITERIA PER ASCE 7-05 (Fig 6-1) BASIC WIND SPEED (Y) = 90 MPH (TABLE G-4) WIND DIRECTIONALITY FACTOR (Hd) = 0.85 (TABLE 6-1) IMPORTANCE FACTOR (I) = 1.15 - OCCUPANCY CATEGORY IX 5 V=85-100 MPH (PASE 288) EXPOSURE TYPE = B TOPOGRAPHIC FACTOR (Kz+) = 1.0 - Poes not meet all requirements in 1.5.7.1 : use 1.0 Ge CALCULATIONS. ASSUME A FLEXIBLE STRUCTURE $G_{F} = 0.925 \left(\frac{1+1.7 I_{E} \sqrt{g_{0}^{2} Q^{2} + g_{K}^{2} R^{2}}}{1+1.7 q_{V} I_{Z}} \right)$ 90=90=3.4 9A= V2In(3600A,) - + 0.577 JZIn(3600A) n, = building natural Frequency - REFER TO COMMENTARY To FIND M. n = 100 = 100 = 0.667 (average value; CG-17) n. = 75 = 75 = 0.50 (Lower bound value; (6-18) USE n. = O.Gle 7 . Accumption of a Flexible building 9= 12 In (3600(.667)) + 0.577 = 4.092

WIND CALES Page 3 of 6 THOMAS KLELUOSKY RESONANT RESPONSE FACTOR R= J= R. R. R. (0.53+.47R) hourly wind speed V= b (33) V(80) (table 6-2) 5 = 0.45 -> EXPOSURE B (table 6-2) \$ = 1/4.0 -> Exp B Z = 150Ft (0.4) = 90 Ft 2 30Ft : OK ~ VZ= .45 (90) Kun (90) (88) = 76.33 APH -> Lz= l (2/33)E (table 6-2) &= 320 ft (table 6-2) E= 13.0 L== 320 (30) = 447.09 Ft_ $N_1 = \frac{n_1 L_2}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{0.667(447.09)}{76.33} = 3.9$ - Iz = c (33)/6 0=0.3 I= = .3 (10) 1/4 = 0.253 - B= 0.01 -> Per Chapter & commentary on structural dampering $-R_{n} = \frac{7.47N_{1}}{(1+10.3N_{1})^{5/3}} = 0.059$

THOMAS KLEINOSKY WIND CALES Page 4 of 6 EAST-WEST NORTH - SOUTH ! n. = 6.02 m = 4.6n, (h/V=) = 4.4 (3/3) (159/16.33) = 6.02 RH = 1/n - 1/2n2 (1-e-2n) RH = 0.15Z $=\frac{1}{602}-\frac{1}{Z(602^2)}\left(1-e^{-Z(6022)}\right)$ = 0.152 $\mathcal{M}_{B} = 4.6n, \ \mathcal{B}_{VE} = 4.6(\frac{7}{3})(\frac{222}{76.33}) \qquad \mathcal{M}_{B} = 4.61(\frac{7}{3})(\frac{346}{76.33})$ = 8.92= 8.92 $R_{B} = \frac{1}{8.92} - \frac{1}{2(8.92^{2})} \left(1 - e^{-268.92}\right) \qquad R_{B} = \frac{1}{13.43} - \frac{1}{2(13.43^{2})} \left(1 - e^{-2(13.43)}\right)$ = 0.104 = 0.069 $n_{L} = 15, 4n_{0} \left(\frac{L}{N_{Z}} \right) = 15, 4(35) \left(\frac{344}{76.35} \right) \qquad n_{L} = 15, 4(35) \left(\frac{222}{76.35} \right) = 29, 86$ $R_{L} = \frac{1}{46.5} - \frac{1}{2(46.5^{2})} (1 - e^{-2(46.5^{2})})$ RL = 1/29.86 - 2(29.86=) (1-e-2(29.86) = 0.021 = 0.033 R= 1001 (-059) (.152) (.106) (.53+.47(021) R= (0.059) (.152 X.069) (.53+.47(033)) = 0.184 = 0.227 $Q = \int \frac{1}{1 + 0.63 \left(\frac{346 + 150}{4012.00}\right)^{.05}}$ Q= 1+ 0.63 (B+M/ 2).63 = 1+063 (222+150)-63 = 0.77 = 0.8 $G_{f} = .925 \left(\frac{1+1.7(.253)}{1+1.7(.3.4)(.253)} + 4.12(.18)^{2} \right)$ $G_{\xi} = 0.925 \left(\frac{1 + 1.7 I_{\overline{z}} \sqrt{g_{4}^{z} Q^{2} + g_{8}^{2} R^{4}}}{1 + 1.7 g_{y} I_{\overline{z}}} \right)$ $= .925 \left(\frac{1 + 1.7(.253)}{1 + 1.7(.34)(.253)} \sqrt{\frac{34^2}{.8^3} + 4J^2(.227^2)} = 0.815 \right)$ = 0.840

Page 5 of 6 WIND CALES THOMAS KLEWOSKY BUILDING IS ENCLOSED : 6(pi= = 0.18 EXTERNAL PRESSURE COEFFICIENTS (Fig 6-6) WALLS WINDWARD -> CO = 0.8 LEEWARD -> E-W DIRECTION 4B = 223/346 = 0.64 Co= -0.5 N-5 DIRECTION 4/3 = 34/2 = 1.56 INTERPOLATE TABLE 1 -15 1.56 × x= 1156-1 (-0.3-(-,5)) +(-,5)= -0.388 SIDE WALL -> Cp = - 0.7 ROOF 0=0° E-W DIRECTION N-3 DIRECTION WL = 150 = 0.676 WL = 150 = 0.433 0-> 1/2=> 0->75FE 0-3 75Ft Cp=-0.9,-18 Le= 1416-15 (-1.3-t.9))+-.9= -1.04, -018 7.5Ft-150Ft Cp: -0.9, -, 18 75-150FE $C_p = \frac{.676^{-15}}{.5} (-.7 - (-.2)) + -.9$ 150 - 300Ft Cp= -0.5,-.18 = -0.83 >30012 C,=-0.3,-.18 150 to end .676-.5 (-0.7 - (-.5))+ -0.5 Cp=-0.57

| 1. | Thomas KLEWOSKY | WWD CALES | Page 50 | F6 |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | BUILDING IS ENC | 10000 .: 6Cpi=± | 0.18 | |
| | EXTERNAL PRESS | URE COEFFICIENT | F3 (Fig 6-6) | |
| | WALLS | | | |
| 4 | WINDWARD -> LEEWARD -> | E-W DIRECTION | 4B = 222/346 = 0.1 | 64 |
| | | Cp=-0.5 | | |
| - | | N-S DIRECTION 4 | $B = \frac{346}{222} = 1.56$ | |
| | | INTERPOLATE TAC | \$L6 | |
| | | 1 -15 1.56 × | | |
| | | 2 -0.5 | | |
| | | K= 2-1 (-0 | 0.3-(-,5]) +(-,5) | = -0.388 |
| | SIDE WALL | Cp = - 0.7 | | |
| H | ROOF 0=0° | | | |
| | N-3 VIRECTIO | <u>19</u> | $K_{L} = \frac{150}{150} = 0.67$ | 16 |
| | 0-346 0. | TSFE COT | 0= 75ct | |
| | Cp=-c | 0.9, -18 | 1p= 1416-15 (-1.3-6.9. |))+9=-1.04, -0.18 |
| | TSFE-ISOFE | .9,-,18 | 75-150FE | |
| | 150 - 300FE | | Cp = 1676-15 (-, | 7-(-,2))+9 |
| | Cp=-0. | 9,18 | = -0.83 | |
| | Cy = 0.3, | 18 | so to end | |
| | | | *476-13 (-0.7 - | (5))+ -0.5 |
| 4 H | | | Cp=-0.57 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



Appendix B.1

Gust Factor, Velocity pressure (q_z) and (q_h) Calculations per Excel Spread Sheets

| Gust Fa | Gust Factor (G _f) | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| g _q =g _v | 3.4 | | | | |
| n ₁ | 0.666666667 | | | | |
| g _R = | 4.091678828 | | | | |
| b | 0.45 | | | | |
| α | 0.25 | | | | |
| z | 90 | | | | |
| V | 90 | | | | |
| Vz | 76.33409962 | | | | |
| Lz | 447.0878162 | | | | |
| I | 320 | | | | |
| E | 0.333333333 | | | | |
| С | 0.3 | | | | |
| N ₁ | 3.904657887 | | | | |
| ١z | 0.253804797 | | | | |
| β | 0.01 | | | | |
| R _n | 0.05930516 | | | | |

| Velocity Pressure (q _z) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Entry Level | 11.5535808 | | | |
| Basement | 11.5535808 | | | |
| Level 1 | 13.70214144 | | | |
| Level 2 | 15.56692992 | | | |
| Level 3 | 16.6209408 | | | |
| Level 4 | 17.51279616 | | | |
| Level 5 | 18.40465152 | | | |
| Level 6 | 19.4586624 | | | |
| Level 7 | 20.0667456 | | | |
| Level 8 | 20.8775232 | | | |
| Level 9 | 21.6883008 | | | |
| Level 10 | 22.296384 | | | |

| Velocity Pressure (q _h) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| q _h = | 22.296384 | | | |

Appendix C: Seismic Hand Calculations

| (Table | ASCE 7-05 Referenced: to Find 5 Baseo on Geote $S_{5} = 0.229$ $S_{HS} = F_{5}S_{5}$ $I(4-1)$ $F_{a} = 1.6$ [Ta $S_{MS} = 1.6$ [Ta $S_{MS} = 1.6$ [Ta $S_{MS} = 1.6$ [Sa (1.4-3) Sos $= 7.85M(1.4-4)$ Sp, $= 7.85M$ | • Ch 11.4.1 - 5 http://earthquak Ss and So; rehnical Report the So; = 0.069 Sm; = Fu 5; ble 11.4-2) Fv = 2 2) = 0.3664 s = 245(0.3664) = 1 y = 245(0.3664) = 1 | eismie Gro e.usgs.gov/ce isis cite Cla 4 Sm, = 2.4(c 0.200 | und Motion Vo scareh/hazmaps uss D 0.009)=01145 | lues draign (|
|--------|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|
| CTable | Referenced: to Find 5 BASED ON Geote $S_s = 0.229$ $S_{HS} = FaS_S$ I(4-1) $Fa = 1.6$ [Ta $S_{MS} = 1.6$ (0.22 (1.4-3) Sos = 7.8 SM (1.4-4) Sp, = 7.8 SM | http://earthquak 55 and 50, schnical Report the $5_{D1} = 0.069$ $5_{M1} = F_{V} 5,$ ble 11.4-2) $F_{V} = 2$ 9) = 0.3664 5 = 245(0.3664) = 1 5 = 245(0.3664) = 1 | e.usgs.gov/re. is is cite (le 4 5m, = 2.4(c | scareh / hazmaps 155 D 1.069) = 01145 | draign (|
| CTable | BASED on Geote $S_{5} = 0.229$ $S_{H5} = F_{5}S_{5}$ $I(4-1)$ $F_{a} = 1.6$ (Ta $S_{H5} = 1.6$ (0.22 $(1.4-3)$ Sos = $\frac{7}{3}S_{H}$ $(1.4-4)$ $S_{D_{1}} = \frac{7}{3}S_{5}$ | $S_{01} = 0.069$ $S_{01} = 0.069$ $S_{01} = F_{V}S,$ $ble 11.4-2) F_{V} = 2$ $2) = 0.3664$ $s = \frac{2}{5}(0.3664) = \frac{1}{5}$ $s = \frac{2}{5}(0.3664) = \frac{1}{5}$ | isis cite (la 4 5m, = 2.4(c | 9.069)=0.145 | 56 |
| (Toble | $S_{s} = 0.229$ $S_{HS} = F_{a}S_{s}$ $I(4-1)$ $F_{a} = 1.6$ (Ta $S_{HS} = 1.6$ (0.22 (1.4-3) Sos = 7.8 SM (1.4-3) Sp, = 7.8 SM | $S_{D1} = 0.069$ $S_{M1} = F_{V}S_{1}$ $ble 11.4-2) F_{V} = 2$ $e^{3} = 0.3664$ $s = \frac{2}{5}(0.3664) = \frac{1}{5}$ $s = \frac{2}{5}(0.1656) = \frac{1}{5}$ | 4 5m, = 2,4(c | 0.069)=0.165 | 56 |
| (Toble | $S_{MS} = F_{A}S_{S}$ $I(4-1)$ $F_{a} = 1.6$ (Ta $S_{MS} = 1.6(0.22$ (1.4-3) Sos = 7/3 SM (1.4-4) Sp, = 7/3 SM $T_{A} = 7/3$ SM | $S_{M,} = F_{V} S_{1}$ $ble 11.4-2) F_{V} = 2$ a) = 0.3664 $s = 24_{S}(0.3664) = 3$ $h = 24_{S}(0.1656) = 6$ | 4 5m, = 2,4(c | 0.069)=0.165 | 56 |
| (Toble | $\begin{array}{c} 1 (4-1) F_{a} = 1.6 \qquad (T_{a} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$ | $ble 11.4-2) F_v = 2$ e) = 0.3664 $s = \frac{2}{5}(0.3664) = \frac{1}{5}$ $u = \frac{2}{5}(0.1656) = 0$ | 4 5m, = 2.4(c | 0.069)=0.165 | 56 |
| | 5ms = 1.6 (0.22 (11.4-3) 505 = 2435m (11.4-4) 5p, = 3435m | (2) = 0.3464 (5 = 2/3(0.3664) = 0.3664) = 0.3664 | 5m, = 2,46 | .069)=0.145 | 56 |
| | (11.4-3) Sos = 235m (11.4-4) Sp. = 335m | $5 = \frac{2}{3}(0, 3664) = 0$ $s = \frac{2}{3}(0, 1454) = 0$ | ATUE | | |
| | 5 15 1 | | 0.1104 | | |
| | 1e = 1.2 + | For Seismic Oceup | name y TV | | |
| | ASCE7-05 -> Ch | 12.8 - Equivaler | et Lateral | Force | |
| | V=CsW | | | | |
| | W=62 | 2200 kips | | | |
| | $C_6 = \frac{S_{0S}}{\left(\frac{R}{2}\right)}$ | く 50. 万(星) | R= 3 For | Ordinary comp Steel & Concr | <i>vosite</i> iete |
| | = (3) | -: 0.125 | | wraced Fram | |
| 14 | (CG-17) n= 100 = | 100 - 0.687 | | | |
| | T= In= | 1.0.087 = 4.45 | | | |
| | Cs = 0.1104 1.45 (3/1.5) | = 0.38 < 0. | 125 = Us | e 0.38 | |
| | $V = O_{1}$ | 38(62,200) | = 2370 | * | |
| | 237 | 0 * 2 2 400 * L | ndicated on | structural c | damings |
| 11 | | | | | |

Addendix D: Gravity Load Check Hand Calculations

| | THOMAS KLEIDOSKY | GRAVITY CHEEKS | Page | 0 = 10 | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 0 | FLOOR CONSTRUCTIO 3"× 20 GA COM 3"/2" LW CON t= 3+3 1/2 = (| N ROBITE DECK | 0"=30'0" | | |
| | F'c = 3500psi <u>FLOOR LOADS</u> DL = 95psF LL = 80psF | H Typical | Bay |) | |
| | DECKING (PER) | Iulcraft 2008) | | | |
| 0 | UNSHORED LA 3VLI 13 | ENGTH 20 w/ 31/2" topping 13'-3" '-3" > 10'-0" : 01 | 3 span | condition | |
| | Super Impose | d live loads | | | |
| | allowat | ble = 149 psF @ 10'- H9psF > 80psF : 0K | O ^{ll} Cleas | span | |
| | <u>Fire Resistanc</u> From | e the 2001 Fixe Resust 31/2" LW Conc. , | tance Di Allows a | rectory 2hs. Fire rating | |
| 0 | | | | | |

Page Z of 10 THOMAS KLEWOSKY Gravity Checks Beam Checks: W12×30[4] < C+34"> W12×30 Properties Tributary width = 10' - 0'' Fy = 50ksi Span = 27' - 4" Fy = 238 in 3 A = 2.7' - 4" Loads LL = 80 post -= NON-reducable 50L = 47 p5F DL = 48 FFF -> Slab + Deck Self wt. We = 1.20+1.62 Pead (47+48) +10/200 = 0.95 klF Live (80 psf) + 10Ft = 0.8 klf Win = 1.2(.15)+1.6(0.8)= 2.42 KIF + Beam Self. wt. ↓ ↓ wu=2.42 klf Mu = 2.42(27.332) = 225k-Ft Vu = 2.92 (2733) = 33 × -> drawings call at 35 × :: OKr $b_{eff} = \frac{2 \times \frac{5p_{ab}}{8}}{2 \times \frac{1}{2} d_{ist}} = \frac{2(27.33)}{8} \times 12 = 8210. - 2 Controls}{8}$

Thomas KLENNSKY GRAVITY CHECKS Rage 3.05 0
For
$$3^{4}$$
" 4 stude + 3500 pair cone.
 $Q_{n} = 17.2^{n}$ (cable 3-21)
ACOUNT at lum
 $Y_{2} = 6.5 = \frac{10}{2} = 6.10$
 $M_{n} = 244$ k.FE > 225 k.FE \therefore OK \checkmark
 $ZQ_{n} = 110^{n}$
number of stude = $\frac{5Q_{n}}{Q_{n}} + \frac{100^{n}}{17.2} = 6.44$ stud/side
 $G.44 \times 2 = 12.85$ stude \Rightarrow Use [451uds
 $4 V_{n} = 95.9 \leq (table 3-6)$
 $95.9^{n} > 33^{n} \therefore 0K \checkmark$
Check ausbored length:
 $W12^{n}30 \Rightarrow 0^{m}g = 1122 \text{ kJE} \text{ fE}$
 $Constructive Loads = ZOPEF$
 $W_{0} = 1/20 \text{ kJE}$
 $M_{0} = 0.932 \text{ kJE}$

THOMAS KLEWDERKY GRAWITY CHECKS Base 40F B
Check wit consists deflection:

$$W_{W_{e}} = (10+18)+30 = 0.51kCF$$

 $W_{W_{e}} = 500L^{4} = 5(51)(2733)^{4}(1728) = 0.93W$
 $M_{W_{e}} = \frac{2}{280} = \frac{27.83(12)}{240} = 1.440$
 $M_{W_{e}} = \frac{2}{20} = \frac{27.83(12)}{240} = 1.440$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{2}{20} = \frac{27.83(12)}{240} = 1.440$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{80}{2} = \frac{27.83(12)}{240} = 1.440$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{80}{2} = \frac{27.83(12)}{240} = 1.440$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{80}{2} = \frac{27.33}(1728)}{384(2800)(448)} = 0.645103$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{4}{20} = \frac{27.33 \times 12}{360} = 0.9100$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{4}{20} = \frac{27.33 \times 12}{360} = 0.9100$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{4}{20} = \frac{27.33 \times 12}{360} = 0.9100$
 $A_{W_{e}} = \frac{4}{20} = \frac{27.33 \times 12}{360} = 0.9100$

THOMAS KLEWOSKY Gravity Checks Page 5 of 10 Composite Girder: Wller 89[36] Properties: Pu = Pubero + Pulice Uses = 0.25 + 15 = 0.62 Dead: Pur (95p5F 10 x 27.33) = 26 K Live: Pu,= (80psFxloft, 27.33ft) x0.62 = 13.6 K P. = 1.2(26)+1.6(13.6)= 58K Vu = 53" Mu = 53" x 10 Ft = 530 k-Ft Find berg bess = $2 \times \frac{39}{8} = 2 \times \frac{30}{8} \times 12 = 90 \text{ i.v.}$ Min Clear Span = $27.33 \times 12 =$ For Girder, Qu = | Rg Rg Ase Fu = 11.75)(-25)² TT (45) = 21.5K FIND ZQA NA .5 Asc JFEE = . 5 (.75) = . TT J 3.5 (2160) = 19.2 × -> Use EQN = 19.2 K x 34 stude = 341. K . Is it Partially composite? V'2= 0.85 (3,5)(10) (4.5) = 1740 K V's= 24.2 (50)= 1310 5 EQA = 346 4 1740* Ves it's Partially 1310* Composite

September 23, 2011

Page 6 of 10 GRAVITY CHECKS THOMAS KIEWDERY Finda a = 346 = 1.3w Find PNA PNA = 1310-346 = 0.93 10 70.89 10 = PNA 2(50)(10.4) = 0.93 10 70.89 10 = PNA in web 9/2 = 0,45 W K Qa = 346 K + te/2 = 0.445 ZAS FY dh ASFY >>> 00 dy= 8.4102 Fy Force in Web = ASFY -EQA - ZAFFY = 1310-346-2(10.4×.875)(50)=54K 2Awfy = 2(ts).4(fy)=2(0.5).4(50)=54" y= 1.9810 (1) ZMno = [84-0.875-(198)] × (2×54) + [8.4-(195)] × (2×0.875+10.4×50) + [8.4+ (4.5-0.45)] × 346 = 12931 k-in \$ Mn = 0.9(12931) = 969 K-FE 969 k-Ft > 530 k-FL :. OK

September 23, 2011

Page 7 of 10
CHECK WICHORED LEMOTH:

$$W16787 \rightarrow dM_{p}=657FE+k$$

 $W11=1.2(48pcF \times 27.33)+1.2(89pcF)+1.6(20pcF \times 27.32))$
 $= 2.6kJF$
 $M_{11} = \frac{2.6(20^{-1})}{8} = 292.5FE-5 \therefore 0k-1$
Check wet concride deflection:
 $W_{11}=(48-27.32)+89=1.4kJF$
 $A_{12} = \frac{5(1.4)(20)^4(1728)}{384(28000)(1300)} = 0.382.10$
 $AW_{2MV} = \frac{2}{240} = \frac{30(12)}{240} = 1.510$ is 0k
Check A_{12} :
 $A_{12} = \frac{5(0.8)(30^4)(1728)}{384(28000)(1300)} = 0.3866.00.$
 $AW_{2MV} = \frac{30(12)}{380} = 1.00.5.0K$

Page 8 of 10 THOMAS KLEWOSKY CARAVITY Checks Column Check Interior Column - Jr.4 Roof - Level 1 Level2 -W14×120 is From Splice to roof. -Level3 Level 4 - Level 5 Levelle -- Level 7 Level 8 Col, Splice. - Level 9 ? Check this Column, it's on Basement Level, WH12-193 4' above 19 Level 10 - Level 11 T/Fad, not -Tritor Tributary Area = (40'-0") (54'-8")= 820522 In Fluenc Area = 60'x 54'-8" = 3280 Ft = 00 T 34 OPA For a WHI 193 @ 15 FE \$Pn= 2210 K 60'-0" Leads Dead Live Level 11 - 8 = 100psf Level 7 - 2 = 80psf Level 1 = 125psf Floors = 95psf Roof = 30psf Level 1 = 125 = 57 Roof = 40psf

THOMMAS KIEWOSSKY
 Orandry Checks
 Rays Tot 10

 Column Loads
 Roof:

$$R_0 = 30 ps F \times 820 R^{12} = 24.6^{R}$$
 $R_0 = F$:
 $R_0 = 30 ps F \times 820 R^{12} = 32.8^{R}$

 Rothouse:
 $R_0 = R_0 = 25 ps F \times 820 R^{12} = 32.8^{R}$

 Rothouse:
 $R_0 = R_0 = 25 ps F \times 820 R^{12} = 102.5^{R}$

 Level 2:
 $P_0 = 75 ps F \times 820 Ft^2 = 77.9^{R}$
 $Lowel 3: R_0 = 75.6^{R} \times 820 = 77.9^{R}$
 $Lowel 3: R_0 = 15 ps F \times 820 = 77.9^{R}$
 $Lowel 3: R_0 = 15 ps F \times 820 = 77.9^{R}$
 $Lowel 4: R_0 = .25 + \frac{15}{107000} = 0.414$
 $R_0 = .12(80.820) = 33.4^{R}$
 $Lowel 4: R_0 = .416(80.820) = 28.9^{R}$
 $Lowel 4: R_0 = .416(80.820) = 26.2^{R}$
 $Level 5: R_0 = .77.9^{R}$
 $R_0 = .77.9^{R}$
 $R_0 = .77.9^{R}$
 $R_0 = .71.9^{R}$
 $R_0 = .750.3^{R}$
 $R_0 = .12$

