Mountain Hotel, Urban Virginia

Ben Borden

Structural Option

September 17, 2012 Kevin Parfitt



Table of Contents

1
2
3
3
4
5
6
6
6
7
7
8
8
10
10
11
11
12
15

Executive Summary

After a thorough review of the building documentation it was demonstrated that loads and values used for design of the Mountain Hotel were reproducible within close percentages. Herein also is a description of the building purpose and site, including a more detailed analysis of structural systems and applicable codification thereof. There is also a demonstration of load paths which this buildings structure uses to take loads back to the earth.

Building Introduction

The new hotel is to be located in a wealthy urban area of Virginia (Location shown in Figure 3-1). The site chosen for construction of the new hotel is a prominent location previously occupied by a chain of parking lots, which border the main street of the town.



Figure 3-1 An aerial view from bing.com maps with the building superimposed on. Hotel is in Red, Garage in Yellow.

In order to match the new building into its surrounding architecture the first two floor facades are brick with large glazing panels, while the upper facade uses a palette of varying shades from brick red to white which enables it to match the brick and concrete of the surrounding buildings, including the adjacent concrete parking structure. However, in place of the brick or concrete, the upper stories of the hotel use a lighter more cost effective cladding, exterior insulation finishing system (EIFS) panels. The Porte Cochere on the west side will help funnel visitors into the main

lobby where they can check-in and be directed to their rooms, other amenities, or sites of the town.

Guest rooms are located on the second through sixth floors totaling just over 40,000 square feet. Though the main function is to appease guests with a home away from home, it also contains meeting rooms for conferences, offices for hotel management, and a 40,000 square foot parking garage. Total building area is approximately 120,000 square feet.



over main Entrance.

Structural Overview

The hotel rests on reinforced concrete spread footings ranging from 12 to 42 inches in depth. Concrete piers transfer the load into the interior footings from the steel columns. The exterior concrete basement walls rest on strip footings, ranging from 12 to 24 inches, are load bearing and double as sheer walls for the lateral system. A500 Grade B hollow structural steel ranging from four to 16 inches, longer dimension, is used for the superstructure columns. Some of the floors are supported by wide flange beams, ranging from W8 to W21, while others are resting on steel stud bearing walls as shown in



Figure 4-1. The lateral system employs a combination of reinforced concrete shear walls, specially reinforced masonry shear walls and light framed wall system with flat strap bracing extending from the ground floor to roof level in both the long and short directions. Floors ground through six are installed as a series of eight inch precast hollow-core planks ranging in length from 9' 2" to 25' 8". The roof is also built of four or eight inch hollow-core planks. Both the brick walls and EIFS system are attached to cold formed steel stud walls. The loading on the

exterior facade is transferred through the wall framing to the floors and into the lateral system.

The garage is also supported on reinforced concrete spread footings 12 to 30 inches in depth, and strip footers 12 to 24 inches in depth. Piers transfer the load into the footings from the columns and the walls rest directly on the strip footings. Piers and beams are poured monolithic with the walls. Columns support two-way slabs and utilize drop panels, and edge beams.

Code Requirements

Standards and codes governing construction are as follows:

2009 ICC/ANSI A117.1

2009 International Building Code

2009 Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code

2008 NEC – National Electric Code

2009 ICC – International Mechanical Code

2009 ICC – International Plumbing Code

2009 ICC – International Energy Conservation Code

- All concrete work shall be in accordance with ACI 301, ACI 318 and ACI 302 latest editions.
- All Masonry work shall be in accordance to: ACI 530/ASCE 5, "Building code requirements for Masonry structures"; ACI 530/ASCE 6, "specifications for masonry structures"
- Structural Steel Shall conform to the AISC "Specification for the design fabrication and erection of structural Steel for buildings", Latest edition, except chapter 4.2.1, code of standard practice
- All light gauge framing shall conform to "the specification for the design of cold-formed steel structural members", latest edition, by AISI
- All Wood framing shall conform to the "national design specification for wood construction" latest edition, published by the national forest products association,
- In addition to the requirements included in these structural notes, all construction and materials shall further conform to the applicable provisions of the following standards:
 - 1. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)
 - 2. American Concrete Institute (ACI)
 - 3. National Concrete Masonry Association (NCMA)
 - 4. American Institute of Steel Construction (AISC)
 - 5. American Welding Society (AWS)
 - 6. American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI)
 - 7. Steel Structures Painting Council (SSPC)
 - 8. American Forest and Paper Association
 - 9. National Forest Products Association (NfoPA)

Governing the Parking Garage is all of the above with the exception of:

2006 International Building Code

2006 Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code

Gravity System

Superstructure

This building uses several types of structural members to carry the various gravity induced loads to the earth. The hotel roof and all above grade floors utilize hollow core planks to support the dead loads of the structure as well as all the amenities people and other items. The planks typically rest on cold-formed steel stud shear walls which pass the load onto the floor below, and so on until it either reaches either a reinforced concrete shear wall or a wide flange beam. W-shapes made to the ASTM standard A992 range in size from W6x15 to W33x130. ASTM A500 Hollow Structural Section (HSS) columns hold the beams in place. Most of the HHS columns terminate in the lower floors; however there are several members that transfer load directly from the roof into the foundations. The Elevator and stair towers are an exception the typical framing types. They use specially reinforced masonry sheer walls to resist both gravity and lateral loads stretching from above the normal roof height and down into the foundation.

Substructure



The substructure uses a series of reinforced concrete shear walls to transfer the loads from the superstructure into the wall footings of the foundation (Figure 6-1). Under columns and column piers, there is a series of spread footings the largest of which is 16"x16"x42"deep. Footings maintain a minimum compressive strength of 3000psi, which is the same as the maximum bearing pressure for the soil atop which they rest. Other concrete members have an Fc of 5000psi.

Snow Loads

Snow Load Requirements		
	As Designed	Per ASCE7-10
N-S Direction		
Flat Roof	21psf	25psf
Drift Surcharge	Not listed	58.5psf
E-W Direction		
Flat Roof	21psf	25psf
Drift Surcharge	Not listed	34.3psf
Figure 7-1 Comparing Snow Load Requirements for	or as designed verses the	ASCE7-10 Provisions

Because of its northern location snow loads can potentially produce a controlling load case. The use of tall parapet walls to conceal the rooftop equipment creates a compounded risk for large loads resulting from drifts. This case was examined in some detail using provisions outlined in chapter 7 of ASCE7-10 (Appendix B). The designers of this hotel used provisions

from ASCE7-05 and therefore the results shown in Figure 7-1 suggest higher loading requirements.

Typical Gravity Loads

Loads were checked over a single load path using LRFD load combinations (Appendix B) from the roof to the foundation. Members were within a 5% suggesting the designers may have employed Strength Design as well.

Lateral System

Below grade, lateral forces are resisted through a system of reinforced concrete shear walls some of which are highlighted in Figure 8-1. They range in thickness from eight to 14 inches. A few of these walls extend up to the second or third stories, but most of the superstructure employs cold-formed steel stud walls with flat strap bracing to resist wind



and earthquake loadings. Locations are shown in Figure 8-2. The elevator and stair towers also contain specially reinforced masonry shear walls to resist forces in the building's shorter dimension.

Wind Loads

The wind loads on the building are collected by the exterior facade. The cladding, EIFS or brick, is mounted to the cold-formed stud walls. These transfer the wind loads into the floors which are resisted by the main lateral force



resisting system. The upper floors utilize light gauge shear walls with flat strap bracing and transfer the load down through the lower walls into reinforced concrete shear walls. The concrete walls are joined to their footings and the load is manifested as a moment in the foundation. This moment is finally resisted by a couple induced by the ground bearing pressures and the weight of the structure. Basic wind loads for the hotel were calculated using the main wind force resisting system directional procedure outlined per chapter 27 of ASCE7-10. Several assumptions were made in order to use this

procedure. First building was presumed to be rectangular with no depressions or extrusions in the facade. The parapet height was considered to be a constant nine foot height for the entire perimeter, and the shallow slope of the grade was considered to be negligible.

Wind pressures including windward, leeward, sidewall, and internal pressure were determined as shown in Figure 9-1 (N-S) and Figure 9-3 (E-W). Calculations and diagrams can be found in Appendix B. Excel was used to tabulate the story forces in each direction (Figure 9-2 (N-S) and Figure 9-4 (E-W)) and a total overturning moment was found for each direction (also in Figures 9-2 and 9-4). A more thorough analysis of wind pressures including that on the components and cladding will be included in Technical Report Three.

Normal to 62	2ft Wall		Width =	62
Elevation	Windward Pressure	Leeward Pressure	Internal Pressure	Total Pressure
71	18.2	5.69	4.82	28.71
70	17.4	5.69	4.82	27.91
60	16.6	5.69	4.82	27.11
50	15.8	5.69	4.82	26.31
40	14.9	5.69	4.82	25.41
30	13.7	5.69	4.82	24.21
25	12.9	5.69	4.82	23.41
20	12.1	5.69	4.82	22.61
15	11.2	5.69	4.82	21.71
Figure 0 1				

Figure 9-2 Story Force With base e	es in North – S ear and overtui	outh direction
	Story	Story
Level	Height	Force
Roof	62	23706.475
6 th	52.5	15496.59
5 th	43	14572.635
4 th	34	13884.435
3 rd	24.5	14967.637
2 nd	13.3	16488.745
Base Sh	ear	99116.517

Total Moment

22587684

Figure 9-4 Story Force With base o	es in East – We ear and overtur	est direction rning moment
	Story	Story
Level	Height	Force
Roof	62	72648.875
6 th	52.5	47489.55
5^{th}	43	44658.075
4^{th}	34	42549.075
3 rd	24.5	45868.565
2 nd	13.3	50530.025
Base Sh	ear	303744.17
Total Mo	oment	54886429

0	
Wind pressures	in the North – South direction

Normal to 19	90ft Wall		Width =	190
-	Windward	Leeward	Internal	Total
Elevation	Pressure	Pressure	Pressure	Pressure
71	18.2	5.69	4.82	28.71
70	17.4	5.69	4.82	27.91
60	16.6	5.69	4.82	27.11
50	15.8	5.69	4.82	26.31
40	14.9	5.69	4.82	25.41
30	13.7	5.69	4.82	24.21
25	12.9	5.69	4.82	23.41
20	12.1	5.69	4.82	22.61
15	11.2	5.69	4.82	21.71
Figure 9-3 Wind pressures	in the East – West o	lirection		

Earthquake Loads

Earthquake loads, are actually displacements induced as the mass of a structure attempts to regain equilibrium as the earth moves underneath it. These displacements are resisted by the main lateral force resisting system which sends the counter displacements, due to the momentum of the structure, back to the ground. In order to quantify the strength needed to resist these displacements the response force induced in the structure is determined using historical data (an example of a designed frame shown in Figure 10-1).

Earthquake loads for the hotel were calculated using the equivalent lateral force procedure outlined per chapters 11 and 12 of ASCE7-10. The assumption was made that since the weight of the walls was significantly less than that of the floors, the wall weights are negligible and can be ignored in the seismic calculations.

An overall building base shear was determined and used to find story forces at each level. Calculations can be found in Appendix B. A more thorough analysis of earthquake loading will be included in Technical Report Three.



Conclusion

The Mountain Hotel combines typical braced frame construction with cold-formed steel stud shear walls to create an efficient solution to this buildings structure. It adequately reflects both typical hotel design through is use of stud framing, and situates itself comfortably into an urban environment though its height and architecture. It also demonstrates that flat back braced cold-formed steel stud sheer walls can be an astounding alternative to typical braced frames and moment frames in a low earthquake risk environment. Compounded with the use of hollow core planks significantly lightens the overall weight of the building.

Appendix A – Design Loads

Roof Loads Snow Load

Show Load					
	Ground Snow Load,	Pg		30	PSF
	Flat Roof Snow Loa	d, Pf = Ce	*Pg	21	PSF
	Snow Exposure Fac	tor, Ce		0.7	
	Snow Load Importa	nce Facto	r, I	1.0	
	Snow Drift & Sliding	Surcharg	e:		Per Code Requirements
Roof Load					
	Roof Live Load (Hor	izontal Pr	ojection)	37	PSF minimum
	Dead				205
	Load			81.5	PSF
Floor Loads (PSF)	Deed	المعط		Tatallaad	+ Mechanical Unit Weight per MEP Drawings
Living Areas	Dead	Load	Live Load	Total Load	
Living Areas	81 75 57	.5 04 F	40	121.5	
Common Areas	/ 5.5/	61.5 0	100	175.5/181.5	
Starson (Light)	IC 10	0 F	100	150	
Storage (Light)	01	.5 	125	206.5	
Wind Londo	100	0.0	100	206.5	
Pagia Wind Speed	(2. Second Cust)			00	MB
				90 11	MF
Wind Importance F	Factor 1			10	
Wind Exposure				1.0 B	
Internal Pressure (Coefficient Gcni			+0.18	
Components and (Cladding			<u>1</u> 0.10	PSF
Earthquake Loads	J. G.				
Seismic Importanc	e Factor. 1			1.0	
Mapped Spectral F	Response Acceleration	S		Ss = 15.5	% a
				S1 = 5.1	% g
Site Class				D	C C
Spectral Response	e Accelerations			Sds = 16.5	% g
				Sd1 = 8.2	% g
Seismic Design Ca	ategory			В	
Design Base Shea	r			208	
Seismic Response	Coefficients			0.028	
Response Modifica	ation Factors			4	
Foundation					
Footing Design So	il Bearing Pressure			3000	PSF
Back Fill Material E	Equivalent Fluid Press	ure		55	PSF/FT
Deflection Limits					
			Live Load	Total Load	
Floor			SPAN/480	SPAN/360	
Floor Under Ceran			SPAN/720	SPAN/360	
ROOT ITUSSES			SPAN/360	5PAN/240	
			SPAN/240	SPAN/180	
			SPAN/360	SPAN/240	
RUUI RIUge/Beam			3PAN/300	3FAN/120	

Appendix B – Gravity Loads

Snow Loa	ds	Tech 1			
Using ASCE	7-10				
Figure 7	$-1 \rightarrow p_g = 2$	25pt			
Table 7-	$2 \rightarrow ce = 1$.0			
Table 7-	$3 \rightarrow C_t = 1.0$	0			
Importanc	e Factor + 1	1,0			
eq 7.31 +	pe=.70 direction=	$e(*I_s p_g = (.7)(1.0)$ 190^{44}	(1)(1)(25 ps#) = 1	7.5 pst	
Figure 7-	9 7 hduro =	.433/190 4/25+101-1	1.5 = 4.51 # X	.75 = 3.38 ^{AA}	
	hd(62) =.	43 562 425+10 -1.5	= 2.64 #x.7.	5 = 1.98 ^{Kt}	
eq 7.7-1+	$\gamma = .13 \rho_g +$	14 = .15(25) +14 = 1	7.3 16/m3		
hs = Pe/y	= 17,5/17.	3 = 1,01 ⁺⁹			
. he = 9 "	-1.01 #9=	7,99 H -> 8 H			
> dd	rift width)	W(190) = 4(3,38) =	13.5 **		
		$W_{62} = 4/1.98 = 7$,92 ⁺⁺		
pdr.	ift surchaige 90) = 3,38 ft (12	$(7.3^{6/43}) = 58.5^{ps1}$	2		
Pdfs	= 1.98 ^{f4} (17	$7, 3' \frac{1}{4} = 34, 3 p s r$			
		190#+			
76.0°5 J	3.5 ⁴⁴	~ 17.5 Mat	13.5 4	£ 76.0 ps f	
	No	orth-South Section			
*	62 ⁺⁺				
CLOPHT + 47.9	17.5 pst the	t of			
East -W	lest Section	J 51.8			



Gravity Check Tech 1 Roof = 4k/44 6th = 4.13 + 4 = 8,13 K/49 5th = 2(4,13)+4=12.26 K/2+ 4 = 3(4,13) + 4 = 16,39 4/24 3rd=4(4,13)+4=20.52 K/++ 2nd=5(4,13)+4=24.65 K/++ $\frac{1^{s^{4}}=6(4,13)+4=28.78^{k/A^{4}} \rightarrow \frac{wl^{2}}{8}=\frac{(28,78)(8'94'')^{2}}{8}=278^{k-A^{4}}>276^{k-A^{4}}N6}{8}$ B=(28,78''/++25)×($\frac{8'94''+8'14''}{2}$)=243,9^k<353^k / <5% footing + 243.9k = 1,244 psi < 3,000psi / Page 2 of 2

Appendix C – Lateral Loads

Wind Cales	Tech 1	
Basic Wind Speed	V= 115 mph (ASC	E7-10 Figure 26.5-1A)
Building Classificatio	n + Occpancy latego	Nry II → Importance Factor 1.0 (ASCE7-10 Table 1.5-2)
$E_{xposure} \rightarrow B \rightarrow$		
Velocity Pressure		
qz = .00256 Kz Kz &	Kd V ²	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 2 6 70 6 1 5 9 $3 = K_{h}$	
Kz* → 1.0		
Ka=.85		
$q_{z} = .00256 K_{z}(1,0)$	$(.85)(115^{-Np^{(0)}})^{2} = 28.777$	26 Kz
Design Wind Pressures g_5 $p = q \& C_p - q_i [60]$	t+18→Enclosed	
Windward	a Cp+ Figure 27.4-1	
$\rho = 28.77.76 K_2 l.$ = 19.57 K_2 ± 4.8	(85)(:8) - 28,7776(.93)(±. 12	18)
$p_{15} = 19.57(.57) =$ $p_{20} = 19.57(.57) =$ $p_{25} = 19.57(.62) =$ $p_{30} = 19.57(.66) =$ $p_{40} = 19.57(.76) =$ $p_{50} = 19.57(.81) =$ $p_{50} = 19.57(.85) =$ $p_{70} = 19.57(.89) =$ $p_{70} = 19.57(.93) =$	11.15 ^{pt} f 12.13 ^{rsf} 12.13 ^{rsf} 12.92 ^{ptf} 13.70 ^{pt} f ^R 14.87 ^{fsf} 15.85 ^{pt} 16.63 ^{ptf} 17.42 ^{psf} 18.20 ^{pt}	internal Pressure)

Wind	d Calcs	Tech 1		
Side	wall: Cp=7+p=28.7! ard Normal to 190' wa Normal to 62' wa	$776(.93)(.85)(.7) = 15.9^{pet}$ $a 1 \to \frac{1}{B} = .326 \to C_p =5$ $a 1 \to \frac{1}{B} = 3.06 \to C_p =25$		
H A A	p=28.7776 (.93) (.85 formal to 190' → p = . formal to 62' → p = . L	$f) (p = 22.7 (p)$ $22.7(5) = -11.4^{p} f$ $22.7(5) = -5.69^{pr} f$		
d	listance from windward e	edge: $0 - h \neq \zeta_{p} =9,1$ $h - 2h \neq \zeta_{p} =5,18$ $72h \rightarrow \zeta_{p} =3,18$	8	
	$b - 2h \rightarrow p = 22.7[$ $h - 2h \rightarrow p = 22.7[$ $> 2h \rightarrow p = 22.7[$	$(1) = 20.5^{\text{pr}/\text{p}}$ $(18) = - 4.09^{\text{pr}/\text{p}}$ $(5) = -11.4^{\text{pr}/\text{p}}$ $(3) = -6.82^{\text{pr}/\text{p}}$		
19.2°° [±]	20.5 ^{pst}	11,4000	5.82 ^{pc4}	
16.8 ^{prf} 15.8 ^{prf} 14.9 ^{prf} 13.7 ^{prf} 12.9 ^{prf} 12.1 ^{prf} 11.2 ^{prf}	A F	ldd internal pressures ± 4.82	5.69pst	
	J	190 #	ł	
18, 2 ^{pst} 17,4 ^{pst}	20.5 prf			
16, 6 ⁴⁴⁷ 15, 8 ⁴⁰ r ⁴ 14, 9 ⁴⁰ r ⁴ 13, 7 ⁴ r ⁴ 12, 9 ⁴ r ⁴ 12, 1 ⁴ r ⁴ 12, 1 ⁴ r ⁴ 12, 2 ⁴ r ⁴ 11, 2 ⁴ r ⁴	Add internal pressures ±4.82	5.69 ^{pr f}		
1933	x 62 ** 1	Page 2 of 2		

	Seismic Calcs Tech 1	
	Mapped max consideration spectral response acceleration at:	
\cup	$F_{igute} 22-1 \rightarrow S_i = 13.5\%$	
	Figure 22-2 -> S1 = 5.5%	
	Site Class D	
	Table 11.4-1 $\rightarrow F_a = 1.6$	
	$T_{a} B le 11.4 - 2 + F_{v} = 2.12$	
	eq 11.4-1 > Srs = Fass = 1.6(.135) = 21.6%	
	eq 11.4-2 → Sm, = F, S, = 2.12(.055)= 11.7%	
	$eq 11.4-3 \Rightarrow S_{0s} = \frac{2}{3}S_{ms} = \frac{2}{3}(.216) = .144$	
	$eq. 11.4-4 \rightarrow S_{01} = \frac{1}{3}S_{ret} = \frac{2}{3}(.117) = .076$	
	Suismic Design Category per Table 11.6-1 & 11.6-2 > A	
	Table 12,2-1 + R=4 light-fram (cold-formed steel) wall systems using flat strap	
0	Table 12.8-2 + C+ = .02, x = .75	9
	eq 12.8-7 7 Ta= (* hn x = .02 (62 +) = .44 sec	
	Table 22-12 + TL = 8 sec >, 44 sec	
	$eq 12.8-2 \neq C_s = \frac{S_{PS}}{ R_x } = \frac{S_{PI}}{T/R_s}$	
	$(-\frac{144}{-07})$	
	6-4-000	
	$l_s \leq \frac{0.76}{.44(4)} = .043$	
	(,>.0)	
	Pro 1 of	

Seismic Cales Tech 1
Dead loads mutual equipment
Reaf:
$$V_{4r} = 190^{PX} 60^{PX} 81.5^{4r} = 500^{r} = 936,600^{16}$$

Hers: $V_{4r} = 190^{PX} 60^{PX} 81.5^{4r} = 922,100^{16}$
Well wight negligible to theors
 $V = 936,600^{16}, 929,100^{16}xs$
 $q(2.8-1) + V = (W = .036(5,582,100^{16}) = 200,956 \approx 201,000^{16}$
Distribution of Seitomic Base Shar
 $q(12.8-1), 11.5 + 2 + C_{4r} = \frac{W_{4}h_{4}^{h}}{E_{14}^{12} + 4}, f_{x} = C_{4x}V$
 $\frac{E}{2}, W_{2}h_{4}^{h} = 936,600(52.5) + 913,100^{h}(52.5^{+}+93^{+}+13.3^{+}) = 2.14210^{7}$
 $H_{17} = \frac{2.0110^{7}}{2.14410^{7}} (9.1400)(52.5) = 45,647^{16}$
 $H_{7} = \frac{2.0110^{7}}{2.14410^{7}} (9.1400)(52.5) = 45,940^{16}$
 $H_{7} = \frac{2.0110^{7}}{2.14410^{7}} (9.1400)(52.5) = 11,633^{16}$
 $H_{7} = \frac{2.0110^{7}}{2.14400^{7}} (1.19100)(12.3) = 11,633^{16}$
 $H_{7} = \frac{2.0000}{2.14000^{7}} (1.19100)(12.3) = 11,633^{16}$