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Executive Summary

Piez Hall is an educational facility located at Oswego University of New York. A new extension, conservatory, and planetarium will be designed and attached to the old Piez hall as one unified building. The current structural system of the new extension is two-way concrete slab with drop panels and shear walls as its main lateral force resisting system, while the rest of the building is composite steel system. The proposed thesis will include a redesign of the entire floor system in the new extension as composite steel with long span trusses and eccentric braced frames as its main lateral system. However, there are few concerns about the redesign of the building using a composite steel system. For example, a composite system may increase the total floor depth and cost of the project. Zoning law in the Oswego University area must be thoroughly investigated to make sure the increased building height will not violate the local zoning requirement. Also, spray fiber is needed to achieve a 2 hour fire rating. In addition, vibration may be a concern since steel system is well known for its poor vibration control.

The author decided to choose a composite system in the new extension to unify the structural system of the building because it may reduce construction time and cost, as well as to reduce the level of miscommunication and confusions between different contractors and workers. Another reason to choose a composite redesign is because of the building’s location (New York), which has many experienced steel contractors and workers. The intent of the redesign is to increase the flexibility of the building and the number of ductile members in the building to better resist seismic loads. By eliminating the number of columns and shear walls, the building can achieve more usable interior spaces as well as longer deck span. Although thorough analysis is needed to confirm the impact of the redesign on the current foundation, the lighter weight steel building may actually benefit the foundation system. Torsion of the redesign will also be examined and minimized. If time permits, vibration issues of the redesign will be analyzed and addressed.

The composite system with long span trusses will be designed using RAM and ETABS. Hand calculations will be performed to check the validity of the model generated by the structural programs. In the ETABS model, placements of the lateral braced frames will be experimented to minimize torsion. Structural members will be sized using RAM based on the most economical wide flange.

Two breadth topics are included in this proposal that focus on the construction and sustainability aspect of the building. The scheduling and cost will be analyzed for the redesign. A comparison of the composite system with long span trusses versus the original two way flat slab with drop panels will be performed to determine the feasibility of the redesign. The breadth study also included green roof and green wall concepts in hopes to reduce the annual energy cost by providing passive cooling in the summer and better indoor air quality to promote health and wellness.
Building Introduction

The new Piez hall extension at Oswego University located in New York will provide high quality classrooms, teaching and research laboratories, as well as interaction spaces for all kinds of engineering departments. Inside the new facility, there will be a planetarium, meteorology observatory and a greenhouse.

The Piez hall addition will add an expansion of approximately 155,000 square feet to the existing Piez hall. Snygg hall, which is next to the Piez hall, will be demolished as a result of the new addition. In the back of the U shaped Piez hall, there will be a walkway connecting Wilbur hall and the new addition. The construction of Piez hall extension began as early as April 2011. It is anticipated to be complete by April 2013 with an estimated cost of $110 million dollars. The building has 6 stories and it stands 64 feet high. The new 210,000 square feet concrete framed extension was designed by Cannon Design. The building is designed so that its exterior enclosure looks somewhat similar to the existing Piez hall (see Figure 3). The building is decorated with a skin of curtain wall. Brick is used in the south side facade. The second and third levels have spaces cantilevered slightly out to the west.

The Piez hall extension has numerous sustainability features to attain LEED Gold Certification. The building energy efficient curtain wall with a high R value will reduce heat loss. The mechanical system includes a large geothermal heat pump with a design capacity of 800 tons will be implanted to cool and heat the building. Occupied spaces have access to daylight. The roof has photovoltaic array, skylight and wind turbines. These features together will reduce the total energy use of the building to 47% and save 21% of the energy cost each year.
Foundation

According to the soil report for Oswego County, the proposed site will be suitable for supporting the renovation and addition with a shallow spread foundation system. The maximum net allowable pressure on soil is 6,000 psf for very dense till layers and 4,000 psf for medium dense clay and sand layers. All grade beams, foundation walls and piers will have a concrete strength of 4000 psi while all other footings and slabs-on-grade will have a concrete strength of 3000 psi. It is estimated that all foundations will undergo a total settlement less than 1 inch. Differential settlement is estimated to be less than 0.5 inch. Details of typical footings are given in Figure 4.

Basement non-yielding walls have granular backfill with drains at locations where surcharge effect from any adjacent live loads may cause problems. These non-yielding walls are designed to resist lateral soil pressure of 65 pcf where foundation drains are placed above groundwater level. Any cantilever earth retaining walls are designed based on 45 pcf active earth pressure. All retaining wall are designed for a factor of safety equal to or greater than 1.5 against sliding and overturning. The frictional resistance can be estimated by multiplying the normal force acting at the base of the footing by a coefficient of friction of 0.32.

Figure 4: Typical column footing showing reinforcement placement
Floor System

The typical floor structure of Piez Hall addition is a cast-in-place flat slab with drop panels. The slab thickness of the floors is 12” throughout the entire building with primarily #6 @ 9” o.c top and #6 @ 12” o.c bottom bars in 5000 psi strength concrete. 42”x24” concrete beams span a length of 46.2’ with 4 #8 @ top and 6 # 10 @ bottom reinforcement bars are placed in the edge of the floor slab primary located to support the cantilevered portion of the building in the second and third floor. Also, 24”x24” interior concrete beams are placed along the corridor of building to support areas where the slab is discontinuous such as stair and elevator shaft locations. A continuous 50”x10” edge beam each spans a length of 31.5’ is placed on the north side of the south wing where the conservatory is connected to the building. The total depth of the floor system is 20”. A typical framing plan of the south wing can be found in figure 10 and 11.

A drop panel is placed in almost every column location to increase the slab thickness in order to magnify the moment carrying capacity near the column support as well as resisting punching shear. Typical drop panels are 10.5’x10.5’x8” (see Figure 6)

In the conservatory the structural engineer employed composite steel floor system primary because lateral forces is not a concern due to the fact that the conservatory is embraced by the Piez hall building. Thus expensive moment connections are not necessary.

In addition, reinforcements for temperature change are #6 bars at 18” spacing, which is the maximum required spacing for temperature reinforcement. Typical steel reinforcement placement for the slab is given in figure 5.
Framing System

Typical bay in the new south wing of the building are 31.5’x31.5’. Corridor areas have a bay size of 10.3’x31.5’. The 10.3’ span is less than two third of its adjacent span of 31.5’. Thus, this limitation suspends the use of direct design method. The equivalent frame method will be used to analyze the slab.

Typical columns are 24”x24” square concrete columns with eight #8 vertical reinforcing bars and #3 ties at 15” spacing. The upper east part of the new addition is supported by circular concrete columns with 30” diameter extending from the foundation to the top of second floor. Typical beams are 24”x24” doubly reinforced concrete beams with #6 top reinforcing bars and #8 bottom reinforcing bars. Because beams are framed into slabs, beams are treated as T-section beams. Typical reinforcement placements for beams are shown in Figure 7.

The planetarium and conservatory in the middle of the “U” of building is built with structural steel framing. The floor system is a composite steel deck supported by W-shape beams. The sizes of the beams are typically W 14x22, W16x26, and W16x31. Columns consist of various kinds of hollow structural steel and W10x33. Again, a typical framing plan of the south wing can be found in figure 10.

![Figure 7: Typical beam section](image-url)
Lateral System

Shear walls and diagonal bracing are the main lateral force resisting system in the Piez hall new addition. They are evenly distributed and orientated throughout the building to best resist the maximum lateral loads coming from all direction. Typical shear walls are 12” thick and consist of 5000psi concrete. Shear walls extend from the first level to the top of the roof. Loads travel through the walls and are distributed down to the foundation directly. Diagonal bracing are concrete struts that framed into concrete beams. They are located on the second to fourth level and placed on the sides of the cantilevered portion of the building. Since the building is a concrete building, concrete intersection points also serve as moment frames. Together, these elements create a strong lateral force resisting system.

**Figure 8: Typical concrete shear wall**

**Figure 9: Typical concrete diagonal braces**
FIGURE 10: shear wall locations of a typical floor
Roof System

There are three different kinds of roof system for the Piez hall extension. Steel decks and steel beams are used to support the roof for the planetarium. The roof for the cantilever part of the third level is designed to let people walk on top of them. Therefore, a fairly thick roof of 10” concrete is required. All other roof for the fourth level uses 6.5” thick concrete because they are not intended for excessive live load. On top of the roof, there are photovoltaic array, skylights, wind turbine and mechanical equipment that contribute to LEED.

Design Codes

- Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete (ACI 318-05)
- Specifications for Masonry Structures (ACI 530.1)
- Building Code Requirements for Masonry Structures (ACI 530)
- Masonry Structure Building Code Commentary (ACI)
- AISC Specifications and Code (AISC)
- Structural Welding Code – Steel (AWS D1.1 2002)
- Structural Welding Code – Sheet Steel
- Building Code of New York State 2007
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-02)

Design Codes used for Thesis

- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE 7-10)
- Building Code Requirement for Reinforced Concrete (ACI 318-11)
- Steel Construction Manual (AISC 14th Edition)
### Materials Used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete Usage</th>
<th>Strength (psi)</th>
<th>Weight (pcf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footings</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Beams</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation Walls and Piers</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columns and Shear Walls</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framed Slabs and Beams</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabs-on-Grade</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabs-on-Steel-Deck</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Concrete</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Summary of material used with strength and design standard**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steel Type</th>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typical Bars</td>
<td>ASTM A-615</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welded Bars</td>
<td>ASTM A-706</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Fibers</td>
<td>ASTM A-820 Type 1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide Flange Shapes, WT’s</td>
<td>ASTM A992</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels and Angles</td>
<td>ASTM A36</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe</td>
<td>ASTM A53</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hollow Structural Sections (Rectangular &amp; Round)</td>
<td>ASTM A500</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Strength Bolts, Nuts and Washers</td>
<td>ASTM A325 or ASTM A-490</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor Rods</td>
<td>ASTM F1554</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Electrode</td>
<td>AWS A5.1 or A5.5</td>
<td>E70XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Steel Members</td>
<td>ASTM A36 UON</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Summary of material used with strength and design standard**
Gravity Loads

Dead, live and snow loads are computed and compared to the loads listed on the structural drawings. After determining the loads using ASCE 7-10, spot checks on members of the structural system were checked to verify their adequacy to carry gravity loads.

Dead and Live Loads

Although the Structural engineer has given a superimposed dead load of 15psf for all levels, but a more conservative and general superimposed dead load of 20psf were used in the calculation. Façade, column, shear wall and slab were all taken into account to obtain the overall dead load in each level. The exterior wall consists of curtain wall, CMU, precast concrete panels in different location. Thus to simplify the calculation, a uniform 30psf were taken as the load of the façade in all sides of the building. The overall weight of the building is found to be 29577 kips. This total weight is needed to compute the base shear for seismic calculation later on.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight Per Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Weight</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distribution of weight per level and total weight
Live Loads shown in the middle column of Table 4 are given by the structural engineer. The structural engineer is rather conservative to use all design live load to be 100psf when an 80psf can typically be used for educational occupancy. Since this is a University building, typical floor is likely to be classrooms which have live load of 50psf as defined by ASCE 7-10. Similarly, public spaces can be interpreted as corridor above the first floor which has a live load of 80psf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space</th>
<th>Design Live Load (psf)</th>
<th>ASCE 7-10 Live Load (psf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typical Floors</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Spaces</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit Corridors</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stairs</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbies</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4: Comparison of Live Loads*

**Snow Loads**

Following the procedure outlined in ASCE 7-10, the result of snow loads were obtained. The resulting snow loads were found to be 46psf. This is close to what the structural engineer had calculated.
Problem Statement

The current design of Piez Hall extension was determined to meet both strength and serviceability requirements as proved in Technical Reports 1 to 3. However, the building was found to be too stiff because of the ten bulky shear walls in the new extension. In addition, these shear walls all resides in the new extension while the conservatory, planetarium, and old Piez hall has steel framing system without much lateral force resistance. The author was not sure why the structural designer used two different systems in one unified building. This approach may cause all sorts of confusion during the construction phases of the building. Different groups of iron workers for steel and concrete rebar, concrete crews, and other contractors are needed to construct the building. Coordination and communication may become difficult between this many different groups of people and the budget to hire all of them may be very expensive as well. In this proposal, a unified design will be explored to create a more flexible building while lowering construction cost and confusion.

Proposed Solution

Based on technical report 2, either a composite system or a two way flat slab with drop panel will be selected to unify the design of Piez Hall. Since the old Piez hall, conservatory and planetarium is already a steel frame structure, the new extension will be redesigned as a composite system with long span trusses. Moreover, since New York has many experienced steel crews and contractors, construction time and cost of the building will be reduced. The weight of the building will be greatly reduced as well, which benefits the foundation. However, thorough investigation will still be performed to determine the impact of the redesign on the existing foundation. It was determined in technical report 3 that seismic load was the controlling lateral force in all directions, thus a flexible building with ductile members is desirable to dissipate energy in an earthquake. The redesign will incorporate eccentric braced frames as the main lateral force resisting system. The number of shear walls in the current design will be eliminated and the column layout will be rearranged in hopes to achieve more usable interior spaces and longer deck span while it still meets all strength and serviceability requirements. In spring 2013, a model will be generated by ETABS and RAM to compare with the current design. The model will be a unified composite steel system with long span trusses and eccentric braced frames. The criteria of comparison include constructability, strength, feasibility, cost, lateral ductility, and drift limits. Vibration concerns will be investigated and addressed at the end.
Breadth Topics

Construction Breadth

The redesign of Piez Hall addition may alter the construction process and the time and cost associate with it. The goal here is to lower the cost and time to construct the building. A construction schedule using Microsoft project will be created for the proposed system. Detailed cost estimate will be performed using RS means cost work. The cost and construction time of the proposed and current system will be analyzed and compared. Another issue that needs to be addressed is the temporary supports that resist construction load. Since a structure has not developed its full strength during early construction phase, there exist many possibilities that the structure will collapse if temporary supports were not properly design.

Sustainability Breadth

The current Piez Hall is rated LEED Gold. However, there are still rooms to improve. The goal for the redesign of Piez Hall will aim to improve sustainability by further reducing annual energy costs of the building. A green roof will be incorporated into the redesign. It can benefit Piez Hall addition by increasing the thermal resistance of the roof assembly throughout the year, especially in summer by helping to reduce cooling costs. A green roof also acts as a sound barrier to improve the building’s overall acoustic performance. Lastly, it can reduce storm water run-off by 50 to 90%, which will minimize the impact on the existing sewer system. Since a green roof usually has a design load of 100psf in addition to snow loads, a thicker roof and possibility bigger columns are needed. Moreover, a proper water drainage system to get water out of the roof is essential to avoid potential problem such as ice dam.

In addition to the green roof, interior green walls will be incorporated into the redesign. They will be placed inside the new extension to improve indoor air quality and to promote health and wellness as well as to add aesthetic effects. Similar to the green roof, green walls can reduce annual energy savings by providing passive cooling during summer.
MAE Coursework

Concepts learn in course AE 530 (computer modeling), AE 538 (Earthquake Design), and AE 537 (Building Failure) will be incorporated into the redesign of the Piez Hall over the spring. ETABS and RAM knowledge learnt in AE 530 will be used to create the models of Piez Hall extension. Seismic design concepts learnt in AE 538 will be incorporated into the redesign in order to allow the structure to better resist seismic loads. Principles learnt in AE 537 can be used to avoid human mistakes made in the construction phase of the building and to ensure better building performances after the building is constructed.

Tasks and Tools

Depth: Composite system with long span trusses

Task 1: Design the unified system

- Check the zoning requirement in the Oswego University area
- Eliminate columns and shear walls to achieve greater floor spans and make the building more flexible
- Draw new gridlines using AutoCAD
- Determine slab thickness based on the loading established in the original structural drawings for typical floor and roof. (Roof must be design to include green roof loads)
- Consider special areas such as cantilevered spaces and edges

Task 2: Determine required loads using ASCE 7-10

- Determine gravity loads based on the previous task
- Determine the lateral loads
- Determine the story forces
Task 3: Design eccentric braced frames

- Construct ETABS model
- Do hand calculation for center of rigidity and center of mass to check the model
- Experiment with various locations to place lateral braces to reduce torsion in the ETABS model
- Check drift requirements

Task 4: Design structural members

- Construct RAM model
- Sizes beams/girders/columns in the RAM model
- Do hand calculations to check the typical beams/girders/columns selected by RAM to make sure it meets strength and serviceability requirements
- Complete the ETABS model by inputting the members (beams/girders/columns) selected by RAM
- Run the strength and serviceability test in ETABS to verify the validity of the members selected by the RAM model

Task 5: Evaluate the effect of the proposed design on foundation

- Investigate the current foundation system
- Check whether or not the current foundation system will be sufficient for the redesign
- Modify the foundation system if there was a problem

Task 6: Vibration control

- Research the cause of vibration problem in a building and various dampers to address them
- Determine whether or not the redesign will have a vibration problem
- If there was a problem, select a damper system to address the vibration issue
- Analyze the cost and maintenance fee of the selected damper system
Breadth: Construction and Sustainability

Construction

- Obtain the schedule and cost information for the existing building
- Create a schedule and cost information for the composite steel redesign
- Compare the current system with the redesign
- Design temporary supports for construction

Sustainability

- Further investigate the roof of Piez Hall addition and decide where to place the green roof
- Research green roof properties and design it accordingly
- Calculate the thermal and sound resistance provided by the green roof
- Design water drainage system of the green roof
- Compare the current Piez Hall with the redesign
Conclusion

In spring 2013, an alternative system will be designed and compared with the current system. The main goal in this proposal is to create a unified system to reduce overall lateral stiffness, building weight, construction time, project confusion and project cost. In addition, the foundation will be benefited from the lighter weight building. The number of columns and shear walls in the current structural system will be eliminated to allow more usable interior space and to achieve a long deck span. Torsion in the proposed design will also be analyzed and minimized. Specifically, this will be accomplished through composite steel system with long span trusses and eccentric braced frames with the help from ETABS and RAM. The breadth studies will focuses on construction and sustainability associated with the redesign. In the construction breadth, the schedule and cost of the redesign will be studied. In the sustainability breadth, a green roof and green interior walls will be incorporated into the redesign. If time permits, vibration concern will also be evaluated and addressed.