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11141 Georgia Avenue, located in Wheaton, MD, is a 1960’s concrete office building on which a 7-story steel addition was completed in August 2014 for $20 million. The building is a high rise apartment building with one and two bedroom studios, a rooftop terrace and penthouse, and is conveniently located next to the metro station.

The thesis work in the spring semester will include a structural focus with breadth topics in the discipline areas of Construction Management and Mechanical. The proposed thesis will include a redesign of the addition’s gravity and lateral systems using wood as the alternative framing material. This work will recognize that taller wood structures do not quite meet code in the United States, and thus take an academic approach to the topic. Thesis work will include researching the feasibility of wood as a material for use in taller buildings as well as studying current research on the fire-resistance of wood framing assemblies.

The construction management breadth in the proposed thesis will include both a cost and schedule analysis of both the existing addition and the alternate wood-framed addition. The mechanical depth will involve work to determine any changes to mechanical system requirements as a result of a different construction type due to wood construction. This depth will also cover the cost and schedule implication of any changes to the mechanical systems.

The proposed thesis work will include topics to meet the MAE requirements. Computer modeling of the building’s alternate framing system will be completed using reference material from AE 530: Advanced Computer Modeling of Building Structures. RAM structural system will be the primary software used in the analysis of the gravity and lateral systems redesign.

This thesis will also be used to meet the Schreyer Honors College thesis requirements. To do so, proposed work will include studying current work and research towards the practical use of wood in taller buildings. This will include various papers and resources available on the internet as well as seeking advice from professionals such as Walter Schneider in the design of wood buildings, SOM in their conceptual design work of a wooden skyscraper, and other researchers in the field.
Purpose and Introduction

The Purpose of this proposal is to describe the thesis work to be completed in the spring semester. Included is the description of the existing building conditions from the first technical report. The proposal will discuss the choice and justification of wood as an alternate framing material for the redesign on the addition. Included as well is a description of work to be completed for the breadths including a construction management and mechanical breadths. The proposal will also provide information on how the MAE and Schreyer Honors College requirements will be met with this thesis.

Building Overview

11141 Georgia Ave is a high-rise residential apartment building. The original building, built in the 1960’s, was a 5 story concrete office building with 2 basement levels. When the building changed owners, rather than tearing down the old building, it was expanded to meet the needs of the new owner. Construction of a 7 story addition in steel framing on top of the existing building began in February of 2013 and was completed in August of 2014 at a cost of $20 million for the addition.

The residential units are one and two-bedroom studio apartments. There is a rooftop terrace with a small wading pool, nice views, and a penthouse lounge for residents of the building, which includes dining areas, kitchen space for events, a fitness center, and a game room. There is a location to store and repair bikes in the building, and the site is closely located to the Wheaton Metro Station. The building is located near the corner of Reedie Drive and Georgia Avenue in Wheaton, MD, as shown in figure 1.
Structural Systems Overview

The original structure was built in concrete on spread footing foundations. The addition to the structure was built in steel. The foundations include spread footings and a retaining wall, which required a few modifications due to layout changes. The original building is framed with structural two-way slabs and concrete columns. The original floor framing also required modifications to account for changes in the layout of stairwells and elevators, and the addition of other openings for new utilities, trash chutes, etc. The new addition of 7 stories is framed in steel with columns that match the original building’s concrete column grid. The floors are framed with W-shapes and composite joists, and the roof is framed with joists. The lateral system of the original building is concrete moment frame. Some columns required expansion to resist additional lateral forces from the building’s increased height. The steel addition has steel moment frames to resist lateral loads. Many of the connections and joint details include tie-in to the original building. The following sections will cover the building’s structural systems in further detail, covering the original building, its modifications, and the new addition’s structure.

Materials

Structural materials and their specifications used in the building are listed below in Figures 3, 4, and 5. These are the strengths which will be referenced in future technical reports and re-design comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Strength (psi)</th>
<th>Weight (pcf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Foundations</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabs-on-Grade (Interior)</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slabs-on-Grade (Exterior)</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill on Metal Deck</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topping</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Grade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deformed Reinforcing Bars</td>
<td>ASTM A615, Grade 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welded Wire Fabric (WWF)</td>
<td>ASTM A185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Concrete and Reinforcing Specifications, from S/0.01
### Structural Steel and Steel Deck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member/ Item</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Fy (ksi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rolled Shapes</td>
<td>ASTM A992</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels, Angles, and Plates</td>
<td>ASTM A36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Tubing (Square and Rectangular HSS)</td>
<td>ASTM A500</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Strength Bolts</td>
<td>ASTM A325-N (unless otherwise noted)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth and Threaded Rod</td>
<td>ASTM A36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headed Shear Studs</td>
<td>ASTM A108</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding Electrodes</td>
<td>AWE A5.1 or A5.5, E70XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesive Anchors</td>
<td>Hilti Hit HY-150 Maz w/ Hit-TZ Rods System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion Anchors</td>
<td>Hilti Kwik Bolt TZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuts</td>
<td>ASTM A563</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washers</td>
<td>ASTM F436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Shrink Grout</td>
<td>ASTM C-11.07 Euclid Dry Pack Grout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galvanized Metal Deck</td>
<td>ASTM A653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painted Phosphated Metal Fl. Deck</td>
<td>ASTM A611</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Structural Steel and Steel Deck Specifications, from S0.01

### Masonry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Strength (psi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load Bearing Concrete</td>
<td>Hollow and Solid: ASTM C90, NW</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>ASTM C55</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortar (Above and Below Grade)</td>
<td>ASTM C270 – Type S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grout</td>
<td>ASTM C476</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Joint Reinforcing</td>
<td>ASTM A82, 9 Gage Truss-Type Galv.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry</td>
<td></td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Masonry Specifications, from S/0.01
Foundation System

The foundation system contains the original construction in the 1960’s and well as some modifications to account for additional modified layout requirements and new loads. Both will be discussed in the following sections.

Original Foundation System Prior to Addition

The original foundations of 11141 Georgia Ave were designed for 8000 psf from columns lines 1-5 and 4000 psf from column lines 6-12. The foundations consist of spread footings with a pier, on top of which rests the structural column (See Figure 6.) Larger combined footings are used along column lines C and D (See Figure 7 for example of combined footing.)

Figure 6: Typical Detail of Pier and Footing, from S1

Figure 7: Typical Combined Footing Shown Dashed in Plan, from S1
The building is built on a slight hill. (See figure 8 for relationship between lower levels and hill.) Therefore, there is a basement retaining wall in the basement structure along the north side of the building. Figure 9 shows a section through the cantilevered retaining wall on the north side of the building, and figure 10 shows a section through the retaining wall at the west edge of the lowest level.
Modifications to Foundations (and Lower Levels)

In November 2011, ATC Associates confirmed through geotechnical exploration the 4000 psf and 8000 psf values from the original 1960 drawing set. The Existing Footings at Columns A-7 and B-7 required underpinning due to the addition of an elevator pit to accommodate 3 new elevators (See Figures 11, 12, and 13). The lowest basement level slab was filled in where the 2 original elevators were removed. The existing stairwell was removed, and 2 new stairwells were added. New load bearing walls were added to support the slab edge at the new openings for the stairs and elevators.

Figure 11: Location of new elevator pit and foundation underpinning shown in plan, S1.01

Figure 12: Section showing demo of slab and footing projects with underpinning locations, 4/S3.02

Figure 13: Section showing existing footing and new underpinning (right) and Elevation of sequence for underpinning: All units numbered 1 must be fully installed before excavating for placement of all units numbered 2, etc. (left), 6/S3.02
Gravity System
The existing portion of the building is flat plate slab construction with drop panels at the columns and perimeter beams. Due to differences in the occupancy type of the original building and the new structure, the gravity live loads are smaller. Also, the original penthouse structure was removed. Due to the new live loads, the removal of the penthouse, and the use of steel for the addition which is significantly lighter construction than concrete, very little work on the foundations was required for gravity loads despite the 7-story addition in steel. The original stair and elevators were removed, and 2 new stairs and an elevator pit were added, resulting in modifications to location of slab openings and the addition of slab edge bearing walls. The addition was built out of steel to impose a lighter dead load on the original structure than if it were built out of concrete.

Original Building Floor System
The original building is a concrete structure. The layout consists of a square column grid of 3 bays by 10 bays, each bay approximately 21' by 20', with a single row of 25.5' bays on the west end of the building. See figure 15 on the next page for a typical floor plan.

Level B1 has a 6 ½", the first floor has a 6 ½” slab in the office area, and a 7” slab everywhere else, and all other floors (2nd – 5th) have a 6 ½” slab. The roof has a 9” slab in the penthouse to support the mechanical equipment, and all other areas of the roof as well as the penthouse roof have the typical 6 ½” slab. (See figure 14 for slab thicknesses). There are 7’x7’x3/4” drop panels typical at the columns.

Figure 14: Section through existing building showing slab thicknesses, base section from A10
Figure 15: Typical Floor Plan, Grid in new steel floors matches original building’s grid, S1.07
Modifications to Floor System

A few modifications were made to the slabs to accommodate layout changes and new openings. Typical on all floors were the demolition of slab to create new openings for new elevator and stairwell positions. A combination of load bearing CMU walls and new steel W-shapes were used to support the slab edges around the new openings. (See figure 16 for section through new CMU walls.) Existing openings at the old elevator and stairwell were filled in with new slab. In spots where new openings were added in drop panels and close to columns, (such as the openings for trash chutes), carbon fiber reinforcement was added. Several new shaft openings were cut in the slab more towards the inner portion of their respective bays and did not receive additional reinforcement. See figure 17 on the next page for locations of typical modifications on a typical floor plan.

Figure 16: Section through new Load-Bearing CMU Walls. Existing slab was cut to allow walls to bear on existing footings.
1/S3.02
Addition Framing

The 7-story addition is framed in steel with the column layout of W-shapes directly match the original concrete column layout. The typical girder size spanning south to north is a W10x33 due to the small bay size and lower residential live loads. The joists spanning east to west are typically 12” deep ecospan composite floor joists at 4’ on center with W12 shapes typical along the column lines. The structural slab consists of a 1” steel deck with 2 ½” of normal weight concrete topping reinforced with welded wire fabric.
Lateral System
This section will provide a brief overview of the lateral system, which will be studied more extensively in a future report. The original building’s lateral system and its modifications as well as the new addition’s lateral system will be discussed in the following sections.

Original Building Lateral System and its Modifications

The original building resisted lateral loads through its concrete moment frame structure. The addition of multiple stories resulted in increased shear and wind loading on the existing building’s concrete moment frames. Therefore, CMU shear walls were added around the stair and elevator cores up to the top of the concrete portion of the building. (See Figure 18 for Load Bearing CMU Wall Details.)

![Diagram of lateral system](image_url)
Addition Lateral System

The new steel frame addition has several moment frames which resist lateral loads. See Figure 19 for typical floor plan with highlighted locations of moment frames.

Figure 19: Typical Floor Plan, moment frames shown highlighted, S1.07
**Joint Details**

This building includes typical connections such as framed beam connections, stiffened beam connections, and fully restrained moment connections in the new steel addition, and the connection of new steel beams, columns, or new concrete to existing concrete members. It is typical for any connection into the existing building to involve drilling out a hole and embedding and grouting the rebar or bolt which will serve to tie in the new member.

**Typical Beam Connections**

A typical connection of a beam to a supporting member involves a steel angle which is welded and/or bolted at the flange of the beam. (See figure 20 for typical detail.) A stiffened seat beam connection has a stiffener plant and an angle to increase the stiffness of the connection. (See figure 21 for typical detail.)

![Figure 20: Typical Framed Beam Connections Detail, 1/S2.01](image)

![Figure 21: Typical Stiffened Seat Beam Connections Detail, 2/S2.01](image)
Typical Moment Connection

The lateral system in the steel addition includes the use of several moment frames. Figure 22 shows a detail of a typical moment connection, both when the beam frames into the flange, and also when it frames into the web. In both cases, the webs must be bolted or welded depending on the strength required, and the flanges must be welded using a complete penetration groove weld.

Typical Connection of New Steel Beam to Existing Concrete

Where large new openings in the slab exist for elevators or stairwells, the slab edge must be supported. At the lower levels, CMU load bearing walls are used to accomplish this, but from the second floor slab and up, steel W-shapes are added to support the slab edges. In this cases, the steel shape must be anchored properly into the existing column up against the bottom of the slab. (See Figure 23 for detail of new beam connection to existing concrete column.) In the case that a drop panel exists at a column, the W-shape must be custom-shaped to fit tight against the drop panel and the slab (see Figure 23 on next page for detail of beam at drop panel location.)
Typical Column Connection to Existing and New Piers

At the existing roof level, which is now the 6th floor, piers were required to serve as a connection base for the new steel columns above the existing concrete columns. The original columns at the penthouse level were demolished down to a portion that could be used as an existing pier. At all other column locations, a new pier was built and tied-into the original structure. See Figure 24 for details of both the existing and new piers and the connection of the new steel column.

Note: Building Drawing sets and images pulled from those sets which appear in this report are courtesy of Rathgeber Goss Association and Bonstra Haresign Architects.
Problem Statement

The newly completed seven story addition to 11141 Georgia Avenue is currently a steel framed system with floor joists sitting upon a 1960’s concrete building. One of the benefits of the original problem of retrofitting an existing building for new use is that it is a much more sustainable alternative than tearing down the building and starting from new. Although building retrofit is not always a feasible option, in this case, the reuse was a good design alternative for 11141 Georgia Ave. Even though keeping the old building sacrificed some design freedom, it also provided a sustainable design alternative while reducing construction costs and schedule time. Sustainability is an important factor moving forward in modern building practices, and because of this the proposed thesis work will maintain the original intent by looking at a sustainable light-weight framed addition alternative which also has the potential to be cost and schedule competitive. Therefore, the spring semester work will keep the original design problem, in which a six story addition with a penthouse is built above the existing concrete building.

To accomplish these goals, the work completed will include a study and analysis of a wood structure as an alternative framing system for the addition. Despite not currently meeting code without a justified exception, there are several significant benefits to using wood; it is a sustainable and renewable material, it provides a lightweight alternative for the construction of a multi-story addition to an existing building, and it has the potential to be built on a faster schedule resulting in a reduction in schedule-related costs. This thesis will acknowledge the current code limitations on wood construction, however it will also study the feasibility of using wood as the primary structural material for the addition as well as whether or not it could plausibly meet the goals of the code.

Justification for Alternative Wood Design

Currently, heavy timber construction for a residential occupancy is limited to four stories in the US, so the final wood re-design of 11141 Georgia Ave’s addition will not be immediately plausible with regard to current codes. However, an exception may be requested with proper justification. The current code limitations on heavy timber construction are due to today’s knowledge of overall building fire-resistance and the fact that the main structural material in wood construction is combustible. However, there is research which has been carried out or is ongoing
which indicates that properly detailed and designed wood construction can meet fire-rating standards and life safety goals equal to steel and concrete construction for taller building construction. Furthermore, other countries such as Canada and England have successfully built heavy timber buildings as tall as six and upwards of nine stories. The work in this thesis is aimed at justifying a code exception for the potential benefits of using heavy timber in taller buildings while still meeting the goals of the code.

As previously mentioned, wood construction has several benefits which would make it a competitive alternative material not only for buildings taller than the four story limit, but specifically for 11141 Georgia Ave. First, the redesign in wood will be a lightweight alternative framing system. The existing steel addition floor structure is approximately 40 psf, while an initial estimate of wood framing weight is approximately 20 psf. Therefore, a heavy timber structure is an alternative that would put considerably less load and stress on the existing structure.

Wood buildings also show the potential to be built to quicker schedules. Since the structural elements in a heavy timber building are all prefabricated, the structure can be built very quickly, similarly to the schedule of a pre-cast concrete building. Therefore, a wood addition may be built more quickly than the current steel design, allowing a reduction in overall schedule and related costs, and allowing the owner’s use of the building earlier.

Finally, wood shows great potential to be a sustainable construction alternative since certified forests in the US are using more sustainable forestry methods and are working to improve upon those methods. While steel and concrete are produced from non-renewable resources, wood is a renewable resource. With the development of engineered glulam wood products, smaller trees can be used in constructing large structural members rather than cutting down old growth forests. Wood used in construction also has the ability to sequester carbon, effectively removing it from the atmosphere for the lifetime of the building and potentially longer depending how the wood is used at the end of the building’s life. Next semester’s study of a wood framing alternate will include a review of the sustainability benefits of wood construction and will discuss how a wood redesign of 11141 Georgia Ave’s addition is a sustainable alternative design for the building. Because of the increasing need to reduce emissions and explore options for production practices which can be sustained moving forward, it is worthwhile to explore a wood design alternative in the context of a real building project.
Proposed Solution

The proposed new wood-framed building will include a design similar to the existing steel-framed addition. The 20’x20’ bay size will be kept since a smaller bay will be beneficial for span when designing a wood framing system. Glulam structural elements will be used to achieve a heavy timber design, where the minimum beam size and floor thickness for heavy timber is 6” wide by 10” deep and 4” thick respectively. Minimum column size for heavy timber is 8” by 8”. Initial strength calculations predict that the required beam size and floor thickness will be approximately 10” wide by 12” deep and 3” thick for beams spaced at five feet respectively, and the largest columns will be about 15” square, noting that the final sizes will have to be available glulam sizes in increments of 1 ½”. The work for this thesis will include design of the primary structural elements for strength, deflection, shear, and expected fire loadings.

A wood framed building will also require a different lateral system than the current steel moment frame system. Therefore, the elevator core shear walls, which currently extend only until the top of the original concrete building, will be carried through to the top floor. The existing CMU shear walls will be kept as they are currently. The portion of the shear wall extending to the roof will be either CMU continued or a wood shear wall. The design work and research will look into the feasibility of wood shear walls. Both CMU and wood will be explored. A benefit of continuing the shear walls up in wood is that it will add significantly less weight than continuing them in CMU, thus reducing the increased loads and foundation size due to the change in the lateral system for the addition.

Solution Method

The design of the wood floor system for gravity loads will be based on the Western Lumber Product Use Manual as well as information from AE 401: Design of Steel and Wood Structures, BE 462: Design of Wood Structures, and any other structural wood design manuals. The CMU shear wall design option will be based on the Masonry Building Code. The wood shear wall design alternative will use information from existing research on the topic as well as available design guides. Modeling of the structure will be completed in RAM Structural Systems. The research methods for this thesis work will also include seeking the advice of professors as well as professionals who are currently researching the use of wood in tall buildings and those designing and implementing tall wood buildings.
Breadth Topics

Both breadth topics will relate to the selection of wood as a framing alternate and the effects of that decision on cost, schedule, and mechanical equipment. Included in the breadths will be a construction management analysis that includes a cost analysis and schedule comparison. Additionally, the use of heavy timber construction dictates that there can be no concealed spaces, and therefore the mechanical equipment and ductwork will be visible throughout the building. The mechanical breadth will explore approaches to making the exposed systems aesthetically pleasing.

Construction Management

In the construction management breadth, cost and schedule analysis will both be completed for the existing and new addition. The cost analysis will provide a basis for investigating the economic feasibility of a wood-framed addition compared to the costs of the existing steel addition. The focus of the cost analysis will be specifically on the existing and new additions themselves, but will take into account any significant changes to foundations, renovations, and general conditions costs due to scheduling differences resulting from the shift from steel to wood for the primary structural material. The schedule analysis will help determine scheduling differences between the methods in order to identify any significant changes in schedule. The goal of the cost and schedule analysis in this breadth is to determine in general if a wood design alternative is feasibly competitive with an equivalent mid-rise steel addition.

Mechanical

Since no concealed spaces are allowed in heavy timber construction, the ductwork, wiring, and other mechanical systems which are normally hidden above a drop ceiling, will be exposed. This is an important difference between the proposed wood redesign and the existing steel structure with drop ceilings. Therefore, it is important for the mechanical equipment to be arranged aesthetically such that the apartments are just as appealing as in typical competing apartment buildings. The mechanical breadth will determine the changes that need to be made for aesthetic purposes and will look in detail at one instance of an equipment location change and how that would affect cost and the overall system.
MAE Coursework Requirement

The redesign of 11141 Georgia Avenue will incorporate requirements of the Graduate School of the Pennsylvania State University. Referenced course work will include AE 530: Advanced Computer Modeling of Building Structures and BE 462: Design of Wood Structures. Referenced information from AE 530 will be used to create a full building three-dimensional Bentley RAM model of the redesign. Other software such as ETABS or SAP2000 may also be used to analyze components of the building and redesign. The modeling will be completed with the goal of better understand the building response to loads. Referenced material from BE 462 will be used to assist in the design of the alternative wood framed addition.

Schreyer Honors College Requirement

This thesis will be submitted to fulfill requirements set by the Schreyer Honors College and the Department of Architectural Engineering. To meet the requirements, a study of tall wood building construction will be carried out, including the sustainability of wood construction, economic feasibility, a review of current fire code research, and any other topics as deemed relevant. Research into current fire-safety studies for taller wood buildings will be included as well. A comparison will be made between 11141 Georgia Ave in Wheaton, MD and the alternative wood framed addition design. This work will be completed to gain professional-level understanding of the overall feasibility of tall wood buildings. A working structural engineer may encounter this design type at some point in the future as research displays promise for practical use of wood in taller buildings.
Reference Materials

The following is an initial list of referenced journal articles, research reports, and other documents describing research related to the construction of heavy timber structures above current height limits. The references aided in learning the background of current research in order to create the current proposal and will aid in the research component of this thesis.


Tasks and Tools

1. Tall Wood Building Research
   a. Research lumber and wood product sustainability
   b. Study current research on fire-resistivity of wood systems
   c. Study general research of tall wood buildings and case studies
   d. Write up intro to heavy timber in the context of a project such as the 11141 Georgia Ave addition

2. Research Computer Modeling Programs
   a. Research computer modeling approaches for wood design
   b. Determine modeling approach for chosen floor system analysis
   c. Determine modeling approach for chosen lateral system analysis

3. Design New Addition
   a. Design gravity system
      i. Design floor system initially by hand
      ii. Design Columns for gravity loads initially by hand
   b. Model gravity system
      i. Use a structural analysis approach as determined in task 2 to model the gravity system
      ii. Confirm and refine gravity system design through modeling
   c. Design lateral system
      i. Use wind and seismic loads based on ASCE 7-05 as determined in the second technical report from the fall semester
      ii. Design Shear Walls using CMU initially by hand
      iii. Design Shear walls using wood initially by hand
   d. Model lateral system
      i. Use a structural analysis approach as determined in task 2 to model the lateral system
      ii. Confirm and refine lateral system design through modeling
   e. Gravity and lateral system write-up for thesis report
4. Breadth Topic: Construction Management  
   a. Cost analysis of existing addition system  
   b. Cost analysis of alternate addition system  
   c. Schedule analysis of existing addition  
   d. Schedule analysis of alternative addition  
   e. Additional analysis of difference in general conditions costs due to any significant changes in schedule for re-designed system  
   f. CM breadth write-up  

5. Breadth Topic: Mechanical  
   a. Determine general changes that would need to be made to mechanical layout for aesthetic purposes  
   b. Change the location of a single piece of equipment and determine the effects of such a change  
   c. Mechanical breadth write-up  

6. Final Report and Presentation Preparation  
   a. Outline final report  
   b. Prepare and finalize report  
   c. Outline final presentation  
   d. Prepare and finalize presentation  
   e. Practice presentation  
   f. Submit report and present to jury
**Spring 2015 Semester Project Timeline**

**11141 Georgia Avenue in Wheaton, MD**

**Samantha DeVries - Structural Option**

**Faculty Advisor - All Said**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone #1</th>
<th>January 23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tall Wood Building Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone #2</th>
<th>February 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Gravity System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeling Research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity System Modeling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone #3</th>
<th>March 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Lateral System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral System Modeling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Write-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone #4</th>
<th>April 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design Write-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine Mech. Aesthetic Req.'s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mech. Layout Change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mech. Write-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend**
- Structural Depth
- Computer Modeling
- Construction Management Breadth
- Mechanical Breadth
- Report Write-up Presentation
- Other/ Course Maintenance

**Milestone Activity Summary**

- **Milestone #1**: Initial research for both the structural depth and the breadth topics is complete.
- **Milestone #2**: Selection of structural and façade systems is complete and computer modeling research is.
- **Milestone #3**: Research and design for all topics is complete in preparation to work on write-ups after spring break.
- **Milestone #4**: Final Report is finished and presentation should be mostly developed with only revisions and practicing the presentation as tasks left to complete.

**Schedule**

- ABET Assessment & Update CPEP
Conclusion

Next semester’s work will include a wood-framed redesign of the addition to 11141 Georgia Ave in Wheaton, MD. The addition redesign will include the design of both the gravity and lateral systems. The breadth topics will cover construction management and mechanical disciplines. Included in the construction management breadth is a cost and schedule analysis of both the existing and alternate wood-framed additions to the original concrete building. The mechanical breadth will evaluate any changes in the mechanical system requirements due to the requirement that heavy timber cannot have concealed spaces. Finally the proposed thesis will include a study of current research and work related to advancing wood’s practical use in tall building construction and the primary framing material.